Chapter 11: Air Quality and Odour

Land off Duke's Park, Woodbridge

ENVIRONMENTAL STATEMENT

November 2015

11.1 INTRODUCTION

- 11.1.1 This Chapter assesses the air quality impacts of the Project. In particular it considers:
 - The potential effects of dust from the construction of the Project on existing sensitive receptors;
 - The potential impact of changes in NO₂ and PM₁₀ concentrations at existing sensitive receptors during the operational phase of the Project;
 - The potential impact of NO₂ and PM₁₀ concentrations on the proposed sensitive areas of the Project;
 - The potential impact of railway emissions associated with the Norwich to Ipswich railway line on the proposed sensitive areas of the Project; and
 - The potential impact of odour emissions associated with the Woodbridge Sewage Treatment Works (STW) on the proposed sensitive areas of the Project.
- 11.1.2 The Chapter describes the methods used to assess the air quality and odour impacts, the current baseline conditions in the vicinity of the Project, the potential air quality impacts of the Project, the mitigation measures required and the residual impacts. It has been written by Wardell Armstrong LLP.
- 11.1.3 A glossary of the key terminology used within this Chapter can be found in Section 11.11.

11.2 METHODOLOGY

Consultation and Scope of Assessment

- 11.2.1 Prior to undertaking the assessment, consultation was undertaken between 26th March and 22nd April 2014, with Ms Denise Lavender of the Environmental Protection Department at SCDC. The following works have been agreed upon:
 - A construction phase dust assessment will be undertaken in accordance with the most up-to-date guidance from the Institute of Air Quality Management (IAQM);
 - A screening assessment, using the guidance within the Design Manual for Roads and Bridges (DMRB), acceptable for receptors outside of the Woodbridge AQMA, including within the Project itself;
 - Concerns were raised by SCDC about the suitability of the DMRB screening tool for the assessment of the impact of the Project within the Woodbridge Air Quality Management Area (AQMA). However, given the complexity of the air quality situation within the AQMA, it was acknowledged by SCDC that even a more detailed assessment (using ADMS-Roads) may not provide sufficient information. As a result, it was agreed with SCDC that the DMRB screening tool will be used to predict the impact of the Project within the AQMA. As monitoring data has shown that there are already existing exceedances of the annual mean objective for NO₂, it was requested by SCDC that rather than focusing upon absolute pollutant concentrations, the assessment should focus just upon the road component of pollutant concentration at receptor(s) within the AQMA. In particular, the change between 'without development' and 'with development' scenarios should be presented. As a result, the road contribution NO_x and NO₂ concentrations will be included within the report for selected receptor(s) within the AQMA;

- Representative background monitoring data is not available for the Project. NO₂ and PM₁₀ background concentrations have therefore been obtained from the Defra default concentration maps for the appropriate grid squares. The base year backgrounds from 2011 based Defra LAQM maps are used for both the baseline year and opening/future year scenarios;
- There are no roadside monitoring locations considered to be representative of receptors in the vicinity of the Project, and within the Project site itself. In addition, given the complexity of the air quality situation within the Woodbridge AQMA, it is not possible to accurately verify modelled results at receptor(s) within the AQMA boundary. As a result, it has been agreed with SCDC that verification of the screening model will not be undertaken;
- A qualitative assessment will be undertaken to consider emissions associated with the Norwich to Ipswich railway line, which borders the Project to the south; and
- A qualitative assessment will also be undertaken to consider odour associated with the small STW, which is located to the south east of the Project.
- 11.2.2 Further consultation was undertaken with SCDC following the addition of the access road along Top Lane and the proposed retail unit, to confirm that the air quality methodology was still acceptable. Correspondence with Ms Lavender between the 23rd July and 31st July 2014 confirmed that the original air quality methodology was still regarded as appropriate for the Project.

Construction Phase Assessment – Dust and Fine Particulate Emissions

11.2.3 To assess the impacts associated with dust and PM₁₀ releases, during the construction phase of the Project, an assessment has been undertaken in accordance with the Institute of Air Quality Management (IAQM) guidance¹.

Step 1

- 11.2.4 Step 1 of the assessment is to screen the requirement for a more detailed assessment. The guidance states that an assessment will normally be required where there are existing human sensitive receptors within 350m of the site boundary and/or within 50m of the route(s) used by construction vehicles on the public highway, up to 500m from the site entrance(s).
- 11.2.5 With regards to ecological receptors, the guidance states that an assessment will normally be required where there are existing ecological receptors within 50m of the site boundary and/ or within 50m of the route(s) used by construction vehicles on the public highway, up to 500m from the site entrance(s).
- 11.2.6 Where there are existing sensitive receptors locations within 350m of the site boundary, it is necessary to proceed to Step 2 of the assessment.

Step 2

- 11.2.7 Step 2 of the assessment determines the potential risk of dust arising in sufficient quantities to cause annoyance, or health impacts and/or ecological impacts. The risk is related to:
 - The activities being undertaken (demolition, number of vehicles and plant etc.);

fdCr

¹ Institute of Air Quality Management, Guidance on the Assessment of Dust from Demolition and Construction, February 2014

- The duration of these activities;
- The size of the site;
- The meteorological conditions (wind speed, direction and rainfall);
- The proximity of receptors to the activity;
- The adequacy of the mitigation measures applied to reduce or eliminate dust; and
- The sensitivity of receptors to dust.
- 11.2.8 The risk of dust effects is determined using four risk categories: negligible, low, medium and high risk. A site is allocated to a risk category based upon two factors:
 - **Step 2A** the scale and nature of the works which determines the potential dust emission magnitude as small, medium or large; and
 - **Step 2B** the sensitivity of the area to dust impacts which is defined as low, medium or high sensitivity.
- 11.2.9 These two factors are combined in Step 2C to determine the risk of dust impacts with no mitigation applied.
- 11.2.10 The risk of dust effects is determined for four types of construction phase activities, with each activity being considered separately. If a construction phase activity is not taking place on the site, then it does not need to be assessed. The four types of activities to be considered are:
 - Demolition;
 - Earthworks;
 - Construction; and
 - Trackout.
 - Step 3
- 11.2.11 Step 3 of the assessment determines the site-specific mitigation required for each of the activities, based on the risk determined in Step 2. Mitigation measures are detailed in guidance published by the Greater London Authority², recommended for use outside the capital by LAQM guidance, and the IAQM guidance document itself. If the risk is classed as negligible, no mitigation measures beyond those required by legislation will be necessary.

Step 4

11.2.12 Step 4 assesses the residual effect, with mitigation measures in place, to determine whether or not these are significant.

Existing Dust Sensitive Receptors – Human Receptors

11.2.13 The closest sensitive human receptor locations to the Project are residential in nature, and are detailed in Table 11.1.

Table 11.1: Existing Dust Sensitive Receptors (Human)					
Receptor Direction from the Site Approximate Distance from the Site Boundary (metres) Boundary (metres) Boundary (metres)					
Existing properties along Crane Close	North	16 at closest point (12 Crane Close)			

² Greater London Authority (2006) The Control of Dust and Emissions from Construction and Demolition: Best Practice Guidance

Table 11.1: Existing Dust Sensitive Receptors (Human)					
Receptor	Approximate Distance from the Site Boundary (metres)				
Existing properties along Duke's Park	East	18 at closest point (11 Duke's Park)			
Existing properties along Sandy Lane	South	60 at closest point (The Roost)			
Existing properties along Top Street	West	25 at closest point (4 Top Street)			

Existing Dust Sensitive Receptors – Ecological Receptors

11.2.14 There are no ecological receptors, or potentially dust sensitive statutory designated habitat sites, within 50m of the site and/or within 50m of the route(s) used by construction vehicles on the public highway, up to 500m from the site entrance(s). Ecological effects do not therefore need to be considered within this assessment.

Significance Criteria

- 11.2.15 The IAQM guidance details criteria for assessing the sensitivity of an area to dust soiling effects and the health effects of PM₁₀, as summarised in Tables 11.2 to 11.4 below.
- 11.2.16 The guidance then goes on to provide significance criteria for the classification of dust effects from demolition, earthworks, construction activities and trackout, as summarised in Tables 11.5 to 11.7 below.

Sensitivity of Area – Human Receptors

11.2.17 The sensitivity categories for different types of receptors, to both dust soiling effects and the health effects of PM₁₀, are described in Table 11.2.

Table 11.2: Sensitivity Categories for Human Receptors					
Sensitivity Category	Dust Soiling Effects	Health effects of PM ₁₀			
High	Users can reasonably expect to enjoy a high level of amenity; Appearance, aesthetics or value of a property would be diminished; Examples include dwellings, museums and other culturally important collections, medium and long term car parks and car show rooms.	Locations where members of the public are exposed over a period of time relevant to the air quality objective for PM_{10} ; Examples include residential properties, hospitals, schools, and residential care homes.			
Medium	Users would expect to enjoy a reasonable level of amenity, but would not reasonably expect to enjoy the same level of amenity as in their home; The appearance, aesthetics or value of their property could be diminished; People or property wouldn't reasonably be expected to be continuously present or regularly for extended periods of time; Examples include parks and places of work.	Locations where people are exposed as workers and exposure is over a period of time relevant to the air quality objective for PM ₁₀ ; Examples include office and shop workers but will generally not include workers occupationally exposed to PM ₁₀ .			

Table 11.2: Sensitivity Categories for Human Receptors					
Sensitivity Category	Dust Soiling Effects	Health effects of PM ₁₀			
Low	Enjoyment of amenity would not reasonably be expected; Property would not be diminished in appearance, aesthetics or value; People or property would expected to be present only for limited periods of time; Examples include playing fields, farmland (unless commercially-sensitive horticultural), footpaths, short term car parks and roads.	Locations where human exposure is transient; Examples include public footpaths, playing fields, parks and shopping streets.			

11.2.18 Based upon the category of receptor sensitivity, the sensitivity of the area to dust soiling effects is determined using the criteria detailed in Table 11.3.

Table 11.3: Sensitivity of the Area to Dust Soiling Effects on People and Property							
Receptor	Number of	Distance from Source (m)					
Sensitivity	Receptors	<20m	<50m	<100m	<350m		
High	>100	High	High	Medium	Low		
	10-100	High	Medium	Low	Low		
	1-10	Medium	Low	Low	Low		
Medium	>1	Medium	Low	Low	Low		
Low	>1	Low	Low	Low	Low		

11.2.19 Based upon the category of receptor sensitivity, the sensitivity of the area to the health effects of PM₁₀ is determined using the criteria detailed in Table 11.4.

Table 11.4: Sensitivity of the Area to Human Health Impacts							
Receptor Sensitivity	Annual Mean	Number of	Distance from Source (m)				
	Concentration	Receptors	<20m	<50m	<100m	<200m	<350m
		>100	High	High	High	Medium	Low
	>32µg/m³	10-100	High	High	Medium	Low	Low
		1-10	High	Medium	Low	Low	Low
	28-32µg/m³	>100	High	High	Medium	Low	Low
High		10-100	High	Medium	Low	Low	Low
riigii		1-10	High	Medium	Low	Low	Low
	24-28µg/m³	>100	High	Medium	Low	Low	Low
		10-100	High	Medium	Low	Low	Low
		1-10	Medium	Low	Low	Low	Low
	<24µg/m ³	>100	Medium	Low	Low	Low	Low

tpcr

Table 11.4: Sensitivity of the Area to Human Health Impacts							
Receptor	Annual Mean PM ₁₀ Concentration	Number of Receptors	Distance from Source (m)				
Sensitivity			<20m	<50m	<100m	<200 m	<350m
		10-100	Low	Low	Low	Low	Low
		1-10	Low	Low	Low	Low	Low
Medium -	-	>10	High	Medium	Low	Low	Low
	-	1-10	Medium	Low	Low	Low	Low
Low	-	>1	Low	Low	Low	Low	Low

Risk of Dust Impacts

11.2.20 The risk of dust being generated by demolition activities at the Project site is determined using the criteria in Table 11.5.

Table 11.5: Risk of Dust Impacts - Demolition					
Sonoitivity of Area	Dust Emission Magnitude				
Sensitivity of Area	Large	Medium	Small		
High	High Risk	Medium Risk	Medium Risk		
Medium	High Risk	Medium Risk	Low Risk		
Low	Medium Risk	Low Risk	Negligible		

11.2.21 The risk of dust being generated by earthworks and construction activities at the Project site is determined using the criteria in Table 11.6.

Table 11.6: Risk of Dust Impacts – Earthworks and Construction					
Sensitivity of Area	Dust Emission Magnitude				
Sensitivity of Area	Large	Medium	Small		
High	High Risk	Medium Risk	Low Risk		
Medium	Medium Risk	Medium Risk	Low Risk		
Low	Low Risk	Low Risk	Negligible		

fpcr

11.2.22 The risk of dust being generated by trackout from the Project site is determined using the criteria in Table 11.7.

Table 11.7: Risk of Dust Impacts – Trackout					
Sensitivity of Area	Dust Emission Magnitude				
Sensitivity of Area	Large	Medium	Small		
High	High Risk	Medium Risk	Low Risk		
Medium	Medium Risk	Low Risk	Negligible		
Low	Medium Risk	Low Risk	Negligible		

Operational Phase Assessment – Road Traffic Emissions

Modelling of Road Traffic Emissions

- 11.2.23 The air quality assessment has been undertaken in accordance with the air quality guidance document LAQM.TG(09). The road traffic emissions associated with changing vehicle movements, as a result of the Project, are quantified using the methodology detailed in Design Manual for Roads and Bridges (DMRB)³.
- 11.2.24 DMRB contains a spreadsheet identified as 'The Local Impacts Screening Method'. This has been used to predict the concentrations of NO₂ and PM₁₀ at existing sensitive receptor locations; as these pollutants are considered to be the most likely to exceed the air quality objectives.
- 11.2.25 A DMRB screening assessment has been undertaken to consider the potential air quality impacts associated with the operational phase of the Project. The predicted impacts have been assessed against the air quality objectives and standards set out in the Air Quality Standards Regulations (2010). Changes in pollutant concentrations between 'without development' and 'with development' scenarios are also assessed against a set of significance criteria, detailed in Section 4 of this report.
- 11.2.26 NO₂ and PM₁₀ concentrations have been predicted for a Base Year (2015) and an Opening/Future Year (2025) for both 'Without Development' and 'With Development' scenarios. Predictions have been made for a total of three scenarios:
 - Scenario 1: 2015 Base Year;
 - Scenario 2: 2025 Opening/ Future Year 'Without Development'; and
 - Scenario 3: 2025 Opening/ Future Year 'With Development'.

Road Traffic Data

11.2.27 The DMRB screening assessment requires the input of detailed road traffic flow information for those routes which may be affected by the Project. The traffic flow information used in the assessment is included in Appendix 11.1.

³ Highways Agency, Design Manual for Roads and Bridges, Volume 11, Section 3, Part 1, HA207/07, May 2007

- 11.2.28 24 hour Annual Average Daily Traffic (AADT) flows and HGV percentages, for use in the DMRB screening assessment, have been obtained from Hydrock Group Limited, who have undertaken the transport assessment for the project. The traffic flow information takes into account committed developments in the local area and has been provided for the following roads:
 - A12 South West;
 - A12 North East;
 - Ipswich Road;
 - Cumberland Street;
 - California;
 - Old Barrack Road;
 - Station Road;
 - St John's Street;
 - Lime Kiln Quay Road;
 - Thoroughfare North;
 - Thoroughfare South;
 - Top Street;
 - Sandy Lane;
 - Cherry Tree Road; and
 - The Medical Centre.
- 11.2.29 Traffic flow information has also been provided for the two proposed site access roads off Ipswich Road and Top Street.

Existing Sensitive Receptor Locations

- 11.2.30 Eight representative existing sensitive receptor locations (identified as ESR 1 to ESR 8) have been considered in the air quality assessment. ESR 8 (93a Thoroughfare) is located within Woodbridge AQMA and has been considered at the request of SCDC.
- 11.2.31 Details of the existing sensitive receptor locations are given in Table 11.8 and are shown on the drawing in Figure 11.1.

Table 11.8: Existing Sensitive Receptor Locations									
		Grid Re	eference		Distance from				
Receptor	Address	Easting Northing		Roads Considered	Receptor to Road Centre (m)				
ESP 1	12 Crane Close	625731	248001	Ipswich Road	12				
LONT	12 Clane Close	025751	240091	Site Access (Ipswich Road)	30				
	46 California	625042 248222		California	10				
LOR 2	40 California	025945	625943 248233 -	Ipswich Road	18				
	2 Sandy Lana	y Lane 626285	249240	Sandy Lane	10				
LOKS	2 Sanuy Lane		020200	020205	020203	020205	020200	248319	Ipswich Road
	12 Inquish Dood	626794	13 Ipswich Road 626794	249622	Ipswich Road	15			
EOR 4	13 Ipswich Road			020794	020794	020794	240023	Cherry Tree Road	11
	ESR 5 66 Cumberland 626936 248766		Cumberland Street	9					
ESR 5		248766	Cumberland Street (North of Station Road)	31					
ESR 6	44 Station Road	627031	248744	Station Road	7				

Table 11.8: Existing Sensitive Receptor Locations												
		Grid Reference			Distance from							
Receptor	Address	Easting Northing		Roads Considered	Receptor to Road Centre (m)							
				Cumberland Street (North of Station Road)	48							
ESP 7	2 Top Street	625252	247722	Top Street	9							
	5 Top Sileer	020000	020000	020000	020000	020000	020000	020000	5 247752	020000 247702	Site Access (Top Street)	30
	02a Thoroughforo	607599	240260	Thoroughfare (North)	5							
LOKO	93a Thoroughfare	027566	249200	St John's Street	30							

Proposed Sensitive Receptor Locations

- 11.2.32 Three proposed sensitive receptor locations (identified as PR 1 to PR 3) have been selected along the site boundary to represent the proposed residential areas closest to Top Street, Ipswich Road and the Proposed Site access roads for the site.
- 11.2.33 Pollutant concentrations at the proposed receptor locations have been predicted for scenario 3 (as detailed in paragraph 2.26). It is only necessary to consider the 'with development' scenario for the proposed receptor locations as they will not experience any 'without development' conditions. It is not therefore necessary to consider the changes in pollutant concentrations at the proposed receptor locations.
- 11.2.34 Details of the proposed sensitive receptor locations are given in Table 11.9 and details of the proposed sensitive receptor points. All proposed receptors are shown on Figure 11.1.

Table 11.9: Proposed Sensitive Receptor Location					
Poportor	Location	Grid Reference		Poads Considered	Distance from
Receptor	Loodion	Easting	Northing		Centre (m)
PR 1	Location considered representative of proposed residential properties closest to	625704	Site Access (Ipswich Road)	10	
	both the proposed site access road and Ipswich Road	623704	241000	Ipswich Road	19
	Location considered			Top Street	10
PR 2	proposed residential properties closest to	625488	247832	99 Ipswich Road 32 Ipswich Road A12 South West	105
	A12			A12 South West	148
	Location considered representative of proposed residential			Site Access (Top Street)	10
PR 3	properties closest to both the proposed site access road and Top Street.	625413	247698	Top Street	73

tpcr

Model Verification

- 11.2.35 Defra Local Air Quality Management Technical Guidance, 2009 (LAQM.TG(09)) recognises that model validation generally refers to detailed studies that have been carried out by the model supplier or a regulatory agency.
- 11.2.36 Model verification is used to check the performance of the model at a local level. The verification of the DMRB model is achieved by modelling concentration(s) at existing monitoring locations(s) in the vicinity of the Project and comparing the modelled concentration(s) with the measured concentration(s).
- 11.2.37 There are currently twelve roadside/kerbside diffusion tubes in operation within Woodbridge. These are all located within the boundary of the Woodbridge AQMA and are not therefore considered to be representative of receptors in the vicinity of the Project, or within the Project site itself.
- 11.2.38 In addition, due to the complexities in the air quality situation within the Woodbridge AQMA, it is not considered possible to accurately verify modelled results at receptor(s) within the AQMA boundary. This has been discussed and agreed with SCDC. For receptor(s) within the AQMA, the uncorrected road contribution NO_x and NO₂ concentrations are presented in the report, as requested by SCDC.
- 11.2.39 As a result, it is not possible to verify the predicted NO₂ concentrations at any of the receptor locations considered. In addition, there is no representative PM₁₀ monitoring data available, and therefore predicted PM₁₀ concentrations cannot be verified. Full uncorrected predicted pollutant concentrations are included in Appendix 11.2.

Assessing the Impact of a Project

- 11.2.40 Guidance has been prepared by Environmental Protection UK (EPUK) and the IAQM with relation to the assessment of the air quality impacts of Projects and their significance⁴.
- 11.2.41 The impact of a development is usually assessed at specific receptors, and takes into account both the long term background concentrations, in relation to the relevant Air Quality Assessment Level (AQAL) at these receptors, and the change with the development in place.
- 11.2.42 The impact descriptors for individual receptors are detailed in Table 11.10.

Table 11.10: Impact Descriptors for Individual Receptors					
Long Term Average Concentration at	Rel	Percentage Change in Concentration Relative to Air Quality Assessment Level (AQAL)*			
Receptor in Assessment Year*	1%	2-5%	6-10%	>10	
75% or less of AQAL	Negligible	Negligible	Slight	Moderate	
76-94% of AQAL	Negligible	Slight	Moderate	Moderate	
95-102% of AQAL	Slight	Moderate	Moderate	Substantial	
103-109% of AQAL	Moderate	Moderate	Substantial	Substantial	

⁴ Environmental Protection UK and the Institute of Air Quality Management, Land-Use Planning and Development Control: Planning for Air Quality, May 2015

Table 11.10: Impact Descriptors for Individual Receptors				
Long Term Average Concentration at	Percentage Change in Concentration Relative to Air Quality Assessment Level (AQAL)*			
Receptor in Assessment Year*	1%	2-5%	6-10%	>10
110% or more of AQAL	Moderate	Substantial	Substantial	Substantial
*Percentage pollutant concentrations have been rounded to whole numbers, to make it easier to assess the impact. Changes of 0% (i.e. less than 0.5%) should be described as negligible				

Determining the Significance of Effects

- 11.2.43 Impacts on air quality, whether adverse or beneficial, will have an effect on human health that can be judged as either 'significant' or 'not significant'.
- 11.2.44 Once the impact of the Project has been assessed for the individual receptors, the overall significance is determined using professional judgement. This takes into account a number of factors such as:
 - The existing and future air quality in the absence of the development;
 - The extent of the current and future population exposure to the impacts; and
 - The influence and validity of any assumptions adopted when undertaking the prediction of impacts.
- 11.2.45 A discussion of the impacts of the Project, and their significance, is included in section 6.0 of this chapter.

Operational Phase Assessment – Sewage Treatment Works

Assessment of Odour Effects

- 11.2.46 To consider the potential for odour from the existing STW to give rise to an adverse effect on the Project, a qualitative odour risk assessment has been undertaken which takes into consideration meteorological data obtained for the recording station considered to be most representative of on-site conditions.
- 11.2.47 IAQM has recently published guidance on the assessment of odour for planning⁵. This guidance document sets out methods for assessments supporting planning applications and is the only UK odour guidance document which contains a method for estimating the significance of potential odour effects.
- 11.2.48 The IAQM guidance endorses the use of multiple assessment tools for odour, stating that "*best practice is to use a multi-tool approach, where practicable*".

Qualitative Risk Based Assessment

11.2.49 The IAQM guidance discusses the basis of the Source-Pathway-Receptor approach, which focuses on the concept that for an odour impact to occur, there must be a source of odour, a pathway to transport odour and a receptor to be affected by the odour.

⁵ Institute of Air Quality Management, Guidance on the Assessment of Odour for Planning, May 2014

11.2.50 The probability of an odour impact occurring and the likely magnitude of the effect resulting from the exposure determine the risk of an odour effect occurring. The risk can therefore be estimated using the following relationship:

Effect ≈ Dose x Response

11.2.51 The dose can be considered to be equivalent to the odour exposure (impact) and can be determined using a number of factors, referred to as the 'FIDOL' factors, which are defined in Table 11.11.

Table 11.11: Description of the FIDOL Factors			
Factor	Description		
Frequency	How often an individual is exposed to odour		
Intensity	The individual's perception of the strength of odour		
Duration	The overall duration that individuals are exposed to an odour over time		
Odour unpleasantness	Odour unpleasantness describes the character of an odour as it relates to the 'hedonic tone' (which may be pleasant, neutral or unpleasant) at a given odour concentration/intensity. This can be measured in the laboratory as the hedonic tone, and when measured by the standard method and expressed on a standard nine-point scale it is termed the hedonic score		
Location	The type of land use and nature of human activities in the vicinity of an odour source. Tolerance and expectation of the receptor. The 'Location' factor can be considered to encompass the receptor characteristics, receptor sensitivity and socio-economic factors		

- 11.2.52 In accordance with the IAQM guidance, the FIDO of the FIDOL factors are used to determine the dose (impact). The response (i.e. receptor sensitivity) is determined by the location factor (L) of FIDOL.
- 11.2.53 The IAQM guidance provides a framework for considering the potential for the risk of odour impacts, taking into account the odour-generating potential of relevant site activities (i.e. the Source Odour Potential) and the effectiveness of the pollutant pathway as the transport mechanism through the air to the receptor (i.e. the Pathway Effectiveness).
- 11.2.54 The Source Odour Potential takes into account the scale (magnitude) of the release from the odour source, how inherently odorous the emission is and the relative pleasantness/unpleasantness of the odour.
- 11.2.55 The Pathway Effectiveness is determined based on the distance between the receptor and source, whether the receptors are downwind, the effectiveness of the release point in promoting good dispersion and the surrounding topography and terrain.

Risk Factors for Source-Pathway-Receptor

11.2.56 Table 11.12 describes the risk-rating criteria for source magnitude, pathway effectiveness and receptor sensitivity used within the assessment adopted from the IAQM guidance.

Table 11.12: Risk Factors for Source-Pathway-Receptor				
Risk Rating	Source Magnitude	Pathway Effectiveness	Receptor Sensitivity	
Large Source Odour Potential/ Highly Effective Pathway for Odour Flux to Receptor/ High Sensitivity Receptor	 Large scale source Odourous compounds with low odour detection thresholds 	 Distance: Receptor is adjacent to source/site boundary Direction: high 	Examples: residential dwellings, hospitals, schools, education and tourist/cultural.	

tdCl

Table 11.12: Risk Factors for Source-Pathway-Receptor			
Risk Rating	Source Magnitude	Pathway Effectiveness	Receptor Sensitivity
	 Hedonic tones (where known) of -2 to -4 Mitigation: Open air operation with no containment 	 frequency (%) of winds from source to receptor or receptors downwind of source with respect to prevailing wind direction Effectiveness of dispersion/dilution: open processes with low level releases 	
Medium Source Odour Potential/ Moderately Effective Pathway for Odour Flux to Receptor/ Medium Sensitivity Receptor	 Medium scale source Moderately unpleasant odours Hedonic tones (where known) of -2 to 0. Mitigation: Some controls but significant residual odour remains 	 Distance: Receptor local to source Where mitigation relies on dispersion/dilution: releases are elevated but comprised by building effects 	Examples: places of work, commercial/retail premises and playing/recreation fields
Small Source Odour Potential/ Ineffective Pathway for Odour Flux to Receptor/ Low Sensitivity Receptor	 Small scale source Mildly odourous compounds with relatively high odour detection thresholds Hedonic tones (where known) 0 to +4 Mitigation: effective mitigation with little or no residual odour 	 Distance: receptor remote from source and exceeds set back distances where applicable Direction: Low frequency (%) of winds from source to receptor or upwind of source with respect to prevailing wind. Mitigation: high level stacks/vents not compromised by surrounding buildings 	Examples: Industrial, farms, footpaths and roads

- 11.2.57 Hedonic scores are the quantitative values assigned to the unpleasantness of source emission samples, by measurement in the laboratory by a panel of trained assessors in an odour panel following the German method VDI 3882 Part 2. Hedonic tone is scored on a nine-point scale ranging from very pleasant (a score of +4, e.g. bakery smell) through neutral to highly unpleasant (a score of -4, e.g. rotting flesh).
- 11.2.58 The risk ratings above are then combined with the matrix in Table 11.13 (as taken from the IAQM guidance) to estimate the overall risk of odour impact at the Project.

Table 11.13: Risk of Odour Impact at Receptor Location				
Pothway Effectiveness		Source Odour Potential		
Failway Ellectiveness	Small	Medium	Large	
Highly effective	Low Risk	Medium Risk	High Risk	
Moderately effective	Negligible Risk	Low Risk	Medium Risk	
Ineffective pathway	Negligible Risk	Negligible	Low Risk	

tpcr

11.2.59 The next stage of the risk assessment is to estimate the effect of that odour impact on the exposed receptor, taking into account its sensitivity, using Table 11.14 as taken from the IAQM guidance.

Table 11.14: Likely Magnitude of Odour Effect at the Specific Receptor Location				
Risk of Odour		Receptor Sensitivity		
Exposure (Impact)	Low	Medium	High	
High	Slight Adverse Effect	Moderate Adverse Effect	Substantial Adverse Effect	
Medium	Negligible Effect	Slight Adverse Effect	Moderate Adverse Effect	
Low	Negligible Effect	Negligible Effect	Slight Adverse Effect	
Negligible	Negligible Effect	Negligible Effect	Negligible Effect	

Significance of Effects

11.2.60 Once the likely magnitude of odour effects at the specific receptor locations has been determined, it is then necessary to consider the significance of these effects. In accordance with the IAQM guidance, where the overall effect is greater than 'slight adverse', the effect is likely to be considered significant.

11.3 PLANNING POLICY CONTEXT

Air Quality

Air Quality Standards and Objectives

- 11.3.1 The UK National Air Quality Strategy (NAQS) was published in March 1997 fulfilling the requirement under the Environment Act 1995 for a national air quality strategy setting out policies for the management of ambient air quality. The Strategy sets objectives for eight pollutants, which may potentially occur in the UK at levels that give cause for concern. These pollutants are: nitrogen dioxide (NO₂), sulphur dioxide, carbon monoxide, lead, fine particulates (PM₁₀), benzene, 1, 3–butadiene and ozone.
- 11.3.2 The Strategy was reviewed and a Review Report⁶ and Consultation Document⁷ were published by the Department of the Environment, Transport and the Regions in 1999. A revised version (The Air Quality Strategy (AQS) 2000), which supersedes the 1997 Strategy, was published in January 2000. The AQS 2000 strengthens the objectives for a number of pollutants with the exception of that for particulates, which was replaced with the less stringent EU limit value.
- 11.3.3 The objectives for the eight pollutants in the Strategy provide the basis of the implementation of Part IV of the Environment Act 1995. The Air Quality Strategy objectives for each pollutant,

tdCr

⁶ Department of the Environment, Transport and the Regions, January 1999. Report on the Review of the National Air Quality Strategy, Proposals to amend the Strategy

⁷ Department of the Environment, Transport and the Regions 1999, The Air Quality Strategy for England, Scotland, Wales and Northern Ireland. A consultation document

except ozone, were given statutory status in the Air Quality (England) Regulations, 2000⁸ and Air Quality (England) (Amendment) Regulations 2002⁹ ('the Regulations').

- In 2007 the Air Quality Strategy was revised. This latest strategy¹⁰ does not remove any of the 11.3.4 objectives set out in the previous strategy or its addendum, apart from replacing the provisional 2010 objective for PM₁₀ in England, Wales and Northern Ireland with the exposure reduction approach for PM_{2.5}. The UK Government and the Devolved Administrations have now therefore set new national air quality objectives for particulate matter smaller than 2.5µm diameter (PM2.5).
- EU Directive 2008/50/EC¹¹ came into force in June 2008 and was transposed into legislation in 11.3.5 England on 11th June 2010 as 'The Air Quality Standards Regulations 2010'¹². This EU Directive consolidates existing air quality legislation and provides a new regulatory framework for PM2.5.
- The current Air Quality Standards and Objectives, as set out in the Air Quality Standards 11.3.6 Regulations 2010, are detailed in 11.15.

Table 11.15: Air Quality (England) Regulations 2010. Summary of Current Air Quality Standards and Objective			
Pollutant	Averaging Period	Limit Value	
Sulphur Diovido	1 hour	350µg/m ³ not to be exceeded more than 24 times a calendar year	
	24 hour mean	125µg/m ³ not to be exceeded more than 3 times a calendar year	
Nitrogen Dioxide	1 hour	200µg/m ³ not to be exceeded more than 18 times a calendar year	
Nillogen Dioxide	Calendar year	40µg/m³	
Benzene	Calendar year	5µg/m³	
Lead	Calendar year	0.5µg/m³	
214	24 hour mean	50µg/m ³ not to be exceeded more than 35 times a calendar year	
	Calendar year	40µg/m³	
PM _{2.5}	Calendar year	25µg/m ³ to be met by 1 st January 2015	
Carbon Monoxide	Maximum 8 hour daily mean	10mg/m ³	
Pollutant	Target Value for the total content in the PM_{10} fraction averaged over a calendar year	Date by which target value should be met	
Arsenic	6ng/m ³	31 st December 2012	

⁸ The Air Quality (England) Regulations 2000. SI No 928

⁹ The Air Quality (Amendment) Regulations 2002

¹⁰ Department of Environment, Food and Rural Affairs, The Air Quality Strategy for England, Scotland, Wales and Northern Ireland. July 2007

¹¹ Directive 2008/50/EC of the European Parliament and of the Council of 21 May 2008 on Ambient Air Quality and Cleaner Air for Europe. ¹² Statutory Instruments 2010 No. 1001 The Air Quality Standards Regulations 2010.

Table 11.15: Air Quality (England) Regulations 2010. Summary of Current Air Quality Standards and Objective			
Cadmium	5ng/m ³	31 st December 2012	
Nickel	20ng/m ³	31 st December 2012	
Benzo(a)pyrene	1ng/m ³	31 st December 2012	

11.3.7 Examples of where the Air Quality Objectives should/should not apply are included in Table
 11.16. This table is taken from Local Air Quality Management Technical Guidance document
 LAQM.TG (09)¹³

Table 11.16: Examples of where the Air Quality Objectives should/should not apply				
Averaging Period	Objectives Should Apply at:	Objectives Should Generally Not Apply at:		
Annual Mean	All background locations where members of the public might be regularly exposed. Building facades of residential properties, schools, hospitals, libraries, etc.	Building facades of offices or other places of work where members of the public do not have regular access. Hotels, unless people live there as their permanent residence. Gardens of residential properties. Kerbside sites or any other location where public exposure is expected to be short term.		
24 hour (daily) mean	All locations where the annual mean	Kerbside sites, or any other location where		
8 hour mean	Gardens of residential properties ¹	public exposure is expected to be short term.		
1 hour mean	All locations where the annual mean and 24 and 8-hour objectives apply. Kerbside sites (e.g. pavements of busy shopping streets). Those parts of car parks and railway stations etc. which are not fully enclosed where members of the public might reasonably be expected to spend one hour or more. Any outdoor locations to which the public might reasonably be expected to spend one hour or longer.	Kerbside sites where public would not be expected to have regular access.		
15 min mean	All locations where members of the public might reasonably be exposed for a period of 15 minutes or longer.			
¹ : Such locations should represent parts of the garden where relevant public exposure is likely, for example where there is seating or play areas. It is unlikely that relevant public exposure would occur at the extremities of the garden boundary, or in front gardens although local judgement should always be applied.				

Local Air Quality Management Guidance

- 11.3.8 The Air Quality Strategy for England, Scotland, Wales and Northern Ireland, July 2007, establishes the framework for air quality improvements based on measures agreed at a national and international level. However, despite these measures, it is recognised that areas of poor air quality will remain and these should be dealt with through the Local Air Quality Management (LAQM) process using locally implemented measures.
- 11.3.9 LAQM legislation in the Environment Act 1995 requires local authorities to conduct periodic review and assessments of air quality. These aim to identify all those areas where the air quality objectives are being, or are likely to be, exceeded.

¹³ Part IV of the Environment Act 1995: Local Air Quality Management Technical Guidance 2009.

- 11.3.10 All authorities were required to undertake the first stage of review and assessment which concluded in September 2001. In those areas identified as having the potential to experience elevated levels of pollutants the authority was required to undertake a more detailed second stage review comprising two steps; Updating and Screening Assessments and Detailed Assessments. Where it was predicted that one or more of the air quality objectives would be unlikely to be met by the end of 2005, local authorities were required to proceed to a third stage and, if necessary, declare Air Quality Management Areas (AQMAs) and make action plans for improvements in air quality, in pursuit of the national air quality objectives.
- 11.3.11 In 2007 an Evaluation Report was commissioned by the UK Government and Devolved Administrations. Following this review revised LAQM Technical Guidance was published in February 2009 comprising LAQM.TG(09). This revised guidance draws together previous guidance and the recommendations of the 2007 Evaluation Report. LAQM.TG(09) maintains the phased approach to review and assessment established in previous technical guidance. The intention is that local authorities should only undertake a level of assessment that is commensurate with the risk of an air quality objective being exceeded.
- 11.3.12 Where a Detailed Assessment indicates that any of the air quality objectives are likely to be exceeded, an AQMA must be designated, or the geographical boundaries of an existing AQMA must be modified. An AQMA should only be declared if a Detailed Assessment has been undertaken.
- 11.3.13 Once an AQMA has been declared the local authority is required to undertake a Further Assessment within 12 months of the declaration.
- 11.3.14 A rolling programme of Review and Assessment based on a three-year cycle has been laid down by Defra in its LAQM.TG(09) policy guidance. This is supplemented by Progress Reports which are intended to maintain continuity in the LAQM process between the three-yearly cycle of Review and Assessment. Progress Reports are required in the years when the authority is not completing an Updating and Screening Assessment.

National Policy

- 11.3.15 The National Planning Policy Framework¹⁴, introduced in March 2012 requires that planning policies should sustain compliance with and contribute towards EU limit values or national objectives for pollutants, taking into account the presence of AQMAs and the cumulative impacts on air quality from individual sites in local areas. Planning decisions should ensure that any new development in AQMAs is consistent with the local air quality action plan.
- 11.3.16 The Planning Practice Guidance¹⁵ states that whether or not air quality is relevant to a planning decision will depend on the Project and its location. Concerns could arise if the development is likely to generate air quality impacts in an area where air quality is known to be poor. They could also arise where the development is likely to adversely impact upon the implementation of air quality strategies and action plans and/or, in particular, lead to a breach of EU legislation (including that applicable to wildlife).
- 11.3.17 Where a Project is anticipated to give rise to concerns about air quality an appropriate assessment needs to be carried out. Where the assessment concludes that the Project (including mitigation) will not lead to an unacceptable risk from air pollution, prevent sustained compliance

¹⁴ Department for Communities and Local Government. National Planning Policy Framework, March 2012

¹⁵ Department for Communities and Local Government. Planning Practice Guidance: Air Quality, March 2014

with national objectives or fail to comply with the requirements of the Habitats Regulations, then the local authority should process the decision with appropriate planning conditions and/or obligations

Suffolk Coastal District Council Local Air Quality Management Review and Assessment

- 11.3.18 Suffolk Coastal District Council (SCDC) began the review and assessment procedure in 2001 and since then has undertaken five detailed Progress Reports and four USAs to identify exceedances of the annual mean NO₂ and/or PM₁₀ objective.
- 11.3.19 From the most recent report available, the 2013 Progress Report, it is understood that there are currently two AQMAs declared within the district. These are located at the junction of St John's Street/Lime Kiln Quay Road/Thoroughfare, in the centre of Woodbridge; and at the Dooley Inn Public House on Ferry Lane, near to the Port of Felixstowe.
- 11.3.20 The site is located approximately 2.1km south east of the Woodbridge AQMA; and approximately 13.8km north east of the Felixstowe AQMA.
- 11.3.21 SCDC maintains a network of NO₂ diffusion tubes to monitor the air quality across the area. There are currently approximately 42 diffusion tubes in operation, with twelve of these being located within the Woodbridge AQMA. In addition, a kerbside automatic monitoring site is also in operation within the AQMA. The 2012 bias-adjusted data, the most recent available at the time of the assessment, shows that the monitoring locations within the AQMA ranged between 22 and 44µg/m³, with five exceedances of the annual mean objective for NO₂ recorded.
- 11.3.22 None of these monitoring locations are considered to be representative of the Project.

Odour Legislation and Policy

- 11.3.23 The Environmental Protection Act 1990¹⁶ is the legal framework dealing with odour from industrial, trade or business premises. If odour is present in sufficient quantity, this may constitute a statutory nuisance. The Local Authority is placed under a duty to inspect, detect any nuisance and to serve abatement notices where necessary.
- 11.3.24 NPPF sets out planning policy for England. Paragraph 120 advises planning policies and decisions should ensure that "development is appropriate for its location" and that "the effects... of pollution on health, the natural environment or general amenity and the potential sensitivity of the area or proposed development to adverse effects from pollution, should be taken into account".
- 11.3.25 Pollution is defined within NPPF as "anything that affects the quality of land, air, water or soils, which might lead to an adverse impact on human health, the natural environment or general amenity. Pollution can arise from a range of emissions, including... odour".
- 11.3.26 In addition, Section 11 of the NPPF advises that "The planning system should contribute to and enhance the natural and local environment by... preventing both new and existing development from contributing to or being put at unacceptable risk from, or being adversely affected by unacceptable levels of soil, air, water or noise pollution or land instability".

¹⁶ Environmental Protection Act, 1990

Operational Phase Assessment – Dust and Fine Particulate Emissions

Existing Dust Sources

- 11.4.1 The Project site is located in an edge of town setting, with residential properties located to the north east, roads to the north and west, and a railway line to the south. Existing dust sources in the vicinity of the site include:
 - Road traffic exhaust particulates and emissions from the road surface, particularly from Ipswich Road and Top Street;
 - Domestic fuel burning; and
 - Agricultural activities.
- 11.4.2 Dust transported from more distant sources, which may be apportioned to industrial or agricultural sources, will also contribute to the total dust levels experienced at the Project site.

Operational Phase Assessment – Road Traffic Emissions

Background Air Pollutant Concentrations

- 11.4.3 DMRB states that for local impact assessments it is necessary to specify background concentrations upon which local, traffic-derived pollution is superimposed. These may be through local long term, ambient measurements at background sites, remote from immediate sources of pollution. As an alternative to measured background levels, DMRB recommends the use of background concentrations obtained from default concentration maps, which have been prepared for use with the revised LAQM.TG(09) guidance.
- 11.4.4 In the absence of representative background NO₂ and PM₁₀ monitoring data being available for the local area, background concentrations have been obtained from the 2011 based default concentration maps provided by Defra on their Local Air Quality Management web pages¹⁷.
- 11.4.5 Current evidence suggests that background NO₂ concentrations are not decreasing in accordance with expected reductions. 2015 background concentrations and emission factors have therefore been applied to the 2025 opening/ future year scenario. This is considered to be a conservative approach, as it is likely that there will be some improvement in background air quality, and emission factors, before 2025.
- 11.4.6 The background pollutant concentrations used in the assessment are detailed in Table 11.17.

Table 11.17: Background Air Pollutant Concentrations. NOx, NO ₂ and PM ₁₀ Concentrations Obtained from 2011 Based Defra Default Concentration Maps				
	2014 Pollutant Concentrations (µg/m³)			
Receptors	Oxides of Nitrogen (NO _x)	Nitrogen Dioxide (NO ₂)	Particulates (PM ₁₀)	
ESR 1 and 2 (625500, 248500)	20.91	14.08	16.84	
ESR 3, 4 and 5 (626500, 248500)	19.33	13.09	16.04	
ESR 6 (627500, 248500)	18.21	12.38	15.87	

¹⁷ Department for Environment, Food and Rural Affairs Local Air Quality Management web pages (http://laqm.defra.gov.uk/reviewand-assessment/tools/background-maps.html)

Table 11.17: Background Air Pollutant Concentrations. NOx, NO₂ and PM₁₀ Concentrations Obtained from 2011 Based Defra Default Concentration Maps

	2014 Pollutant Concentrations (µg/m³)			
Receptors	Oxides of Nitrogen (NO _x)	Nitrogen Dioxide (NO ₂)	Particulates (PM ₁₀)	
ESR 7 (625500, 247500)	20.25	13.65	16.92	
ESR 8 (627500, 249500)	19.90	13.44	15.72	

Modelled Baseline Concentrations

11.4.7 The baseline assessment (i.e. scenarios 1 and 2) has been carried out for the seven existing sensitive receptors located outside of the Woodbridge AQMA (i.e. ESR 1 to ESR 7). The results of the assessment for ESR 8 (93a Thoroughfare) are detailed in section 6.0 of this chapter. The uncorrected NO₂ and PM₁₀ concentrations are detailed in Table 11.18 and are also included in Appendix 11.2.

Table 11.18: I 2025 'Without	Table 11.18: Predicted NO2 and PM10 concentrations at Existing Sensitive Receptor Locations for 2015 and 2025 'Without Development' Scenarios (Uncorrected)	
	Calculated Annual Mean Concentrations (µg/m³)	

	Calculated Annual Mean Concentrations (µg/m ³)				
Receptor	NO	2*	PM ₁₀		
	Scenario 1: 2015	Scenario 2: 2025	Scenario 1: 2015	Scenario 2: 2025	
ESR 1	17.74	18.21	17.71	17.83	
ESR 2	16.76	17.52	17.56	17.78	
ESR 3	15.00	15.48	16.53	16.66	
ESR 4	16.80	17.27	16.89	17.01	
ESR 5	17.19	17.98	16.98	17.18	
ESR 6	16.22	17.14	16.73	16.95	
ESR 7	16.98	19.69	17.79	18.52	

* NO_2 concentrations obtained by inputting predicted NO_x concentrations into the NO_x to NO_2 calculator¹⁸ in accordance with LAQM.TG(09).

Scenario 1: 2015 Base Year

- 11.4.8 The 2015 baseline annual mean NO₂ concentrations (uncorrected) are predicted to range from 15.00 to 17.74µg/m³ for the seven existing sensitive receptor locations considered. Exceedance of the annual mean objective concentration for NO₂ (40µg/m³) is not predicted to occur.
- 11.4.9 The 2015 baseline annual mean PM₁₀ concentrations (uncorrected) are predicted to range from 16.53 to 17.79µg/m³ for the seven existing sensitive receptor locations considered. Exceedance of the annual mean objective concentration for PM₁₀ (40µg/m³) is not predicted to occur.

¹⁸ NO_x to NO₂ Calculator, Defra Local Air Quality Management web pages (http://laqm.defra.gov.uk/tools-monitoring-data/no-calculator.html)

Scenario 2: 2025 Opening/ Future Year 'Without Development'

- 11.4.10 The 2025 'without development' annual mean NO₂ concentrations (uncorrected) are predicted to range from 16.53 to 17.79µg/m³ for the seven existing sensitive receptor locations considered. Exceedance of the annual mean objective concentration for NO₂ (40µg/m³) is not predicted to occur.
- 11.4.11 The 2025 'without development' annual mean PM₁₀ concentrations (uncorrected) are predicted to range from 16.66 to 18.52µg/m³ for the seven existing sensitive receptor locations considered. Exceedance of the annual mean objective concentration for PM₁₀ (40µg/m³) is not predicted to occur.

Operational Phase Assessment – Odour from Sewage Treatment Works

Existing Odour Sources

- 11.4.12 The STW is located approximately 90m to the south of the Project. It is recognised that STW can often be odorous in nature and this will contribute to background odour levels at surrounding receptors.
- 11.4.13 Existing odour sources in the vicinity of the Project site include:
 - Woodbridge STW; and
 - Agricultural activities within nearby farms and fields.
- 11.4.14 Sources of odour at the STW will be associated with the treatment of wastewater at various stages of the treatment process. The potential for the STW to give rise to odour will depend on factors such as site management, maintenance and the storage and odour mitigation of odourous materials such as sludge.

11.5 POTENTIAL EFFECTS

Construction Phase Assessment – Dust and Fine Particulate Emissions

- 11.5.1 The main potential dust impacts associated with the construction phase of works are as follows:
 - **Earthworks** which may be required prior to the construction phase of works. The main sources of dust can include:
 - Cleaning the Site;
 - Stripping and stockpiling of topsoil and subsoil;
 - o Ground excavation;
 - Bringing in, tipping and spreading materials on Site;
 - o Stockpiling materials;
 - Levelling ground;
 - Trenching;
 - Road construction;
 - o Vehicle movements on Site roads; and
 - Windblown materials from Site.
 - **Construction** which will involve the construction of individual building access roads, the car parking areas and the buildings themselves; and

Operational Phase Assessment – Road Traffic Emissions

- 11.5.2 The main potential impacts associated with the operational phase of the Project are as follows:
 - Elevated concentrations of NO₂ and PM₁₀ from increased traffic movements associated with the Project.

11.6 PROJECT DESIGN & MITIGATION MEASURES

- 11.6.1 The Project design is set out in Figure 2.1 Parameters and described within Chapter 2: Development Proposals.
- 11.6.2 The baseline air quality assessment identified that road traffic associated with the Project will be the dominant source of pollutant emissions. The assessment considered the impact of increasing road traffic on baseline pollutant concentrations at existing receptor locations.

11.7 ASSESSMENT OF EFFECTS

Construction Phase Assessment – Dust and Fine Particulate Emissions

Step 2A

11.7.1 Step 2A of the construction phase dust assessment has defined the potential dust emission magnitude from earthworks, construction and trackout in the absence of site specific mitigation. Examples of the criteria for the dust emission classes are detailed in the IAQM guidance.

Step 2B

- 11.7.2 Step 2B of the construction phase dust assessment has defined the sensitivity of the area, taking into account the significance criteria detailed in Tables 11.2 to 11.4, to earthworks, construction and trackout. The sensitivity of the area to each activity is assessed for potential dust soiling and human health.
- 11.7.3 For earthworks and construction, there are currently between 10 and 100 residential properties located within 50m of where these activities may take place which is assumed to be the site boundary for the purposes of this assessment.
- 11.7.4 It is not known at this stage which direction construction vehicles will travel along Ipswich Road. However, as a worst case scenario, it has been assumed that they will turn eastwards out of the Project onto Ipswich Road. Therefore for trackout, there are between 10 and 100 residential receptor locations within 50m of where trackout may occur, for a distance of up to 500m from the site entrance.

Step 2C

11.7.5 Step 2C of the construction phase dust assessment has defined the risk of impacts from each activity. The dust emission magnitude is combined with the sensitivity of the surrounding area.

tpcr

11.7.6 The risk of dust impacts from each activity, with no mitigation in place, has been assessed in accordance with the criteria detailed in Tables 11.5 to 11.7.

Summary

11.7.7 Table 11.19 details the results of Step 2 of the construction phase assessment for human receptors.

Table 11.19: Construction Phase Dust Assessment (Step 2) – Human Receptors					
	Activity				
	Demolition	Earthworks	Construction	Trackout	
	Step	2A			
Dust Emission Magnitude	N/A	Large ^a	Large ^b	Medium ^c	
Step 2B					
Sensitivity of Closest Receptors	N/A	High	High	High	
Sensitivity of Area to Dust Soiling Effects	N/A	Medium	Medium	Medium	
Sensitivity of Area to Human Health Effects	N/A	Low ^d	Low ^d	Low ^d	
	Step	2C			
Dust Risk: Dust Soiling	N/A	Medium Risk	Medium Risk	Medium Risk	
Dust Risk: Human Health	N/A	Low Risk	Low Risk	Low Risk	
a. Total site area estimated to be more than 10,000m ²					

b. Total building volume estimated to be more than 10,000m³

c. Estimation of the dust emission class based on the assumption of 10-50 HGV movements per day

d. Background annual mean PM₁₀ concentration taken from the LAQM Defra default concentration maps, for the appropriate grid squares, for 2014.

Operational Phase Assessment – Road Traffic Emissions

Existing Sensitive Receptor Locations Outside of Woodbridge AQMA

11.7.8 The impact assessment has been carried out for the seven representative existing sensitive receptor locations (i.e. ESR 1 to ESR 7). Table 11.20 shows the changes in pollutant concentrations for the 2025 Opening/Future Year scenario for 'Without Development' and 'With Development' scenarios. The uncorrected NO₂ and PM₁₀ concentrations are included in Appendix 11.2.

Table 11.20: Predicted NO_2 and PM_{10} Concentrations at Existing Sensitive Receptor Locations for 2025 'Without Development' and 'With Development' Scenarios				
Percentor	Lovel of Development	Calculated Annual Mea	ed Annual Mean Concentrations (μg/m³)	
Receptor	Level of Development	NO ₂	PM ₁₀	
ESR 1	Without development	18.21	17.83	
	With development	18.40	17.88	
	Percentage Change in Relation to AQAL	+0.47%	+0.12%	

TDCI

Table 11.20: Predicted NO ₂ and PM ₁₀ Concentrations at Existing Sensitive Receptor Locations for 2025 'Without Development' and 'With Development' Scenarios				
Deservices Level of Development		Calculated Annual Mean Concentrations (µg/m³)		
Receptor	Level of Development	NO ₂	PM ₁₀	
	Without development	17.52	17.78	
ESR 2	With development	17.59	17.80	
	Percentage Change in Relation to AQAL	+0.18%	+0.05%	
	Without development	15.48	16.66	
ESR 3	With development	15.50	16.67	
	Percentage Change in Relation to AQAL	+0.05%	+0.02%	
ESR 4	Without development	17.27	17.01	
	With development	17.30	17.02	
	Percentage Change in Relation to AQAL	+0.08%	+0.01%	
	Without development	17.98	17.18	
ESR 5	With development	18.02	17.19	
	Percentage Change in Relation to AQAL	+0.10%	+0.02%	
	Without development	17.14	16.95	
ESR 6	With development	17.22	16.96	
	Percentage Change in Relation to AQAL	+0.20%	+0.05%	
	Without development	19.69	18.52	
ESR 7	With development	19.75	18.54	
	Percentage Change in Relation to AQAL	+0.15%	+0.04%	

Scenario 3: 2025 Opening/Future Year 'With Development'

- 11.7.9 The 2025 'with development' annual mean NO₂ concentrations (uncorrected) are predicted to range from 15.50 to 19.75µg/m³ for the seven existing sensitive receptor locations modelled. Exceedance of the annual mean objective concentration for NO₂ (40µg/m³) is not predicted to occur.
- 11.7.10 The 2025 'with development' annual mean PM₁₀ concentrations (uncorrected) are predicted to range from 16.67 to 18.54µg/m³ for the seven existing sensitive receptor locations modelled. Exceedance of the annual mean objective concentration for PM₁₀ (40µg/m³) is not predicted to occur.

Assessment of Impact

11.7.11 Using the descriptors detailed in Table 11.10, the impact of the Project can be assessed at each of the seven existing sensitive receptors considered.

TDCr

11.7.12 The impact on NO₂ concentrations in 2025 is detailed in Table 11.21.

Table 11.21: Impact on NO₂ Concentrations in 2025			
Receptor	Percentage Change in Relation to AQAL	Annual Mean Concentration in Relation to AQAL	Impact
ESR 1	<0.5%*	<75%	Negligible
ESR 2	<0.5%*	<75%	Negligible
ESR 3	<0.5%*	<75%	Negligible
ESR 4	<0.5%*	<75%	Negligible
ESR 5	<0.5%*	<75%	Negligible
ESR 6	<0.5%*	<75%	Negligible
ESR 7	<0.5%*	<75%	Negligible
* Changes of less than 0.5% should be described as negligible			

11.7.13 The impact on PM_{10} concentrations in 2025 is detailed in Table 11.22.

Table 11.22: Impact on PM ₁₀ Concentrations in 2025				
Receptor	Percentage Change in Relation to AQAL	Annual Mean Concentration in Relation to AQAL	Impact	
ESR 1	<0.5%*	<75%	Negligible	
ESR 2	<0.5%*	<75%	Negligible	
ESR 3	<0.5%*	<75%	Negligible	
ESR 4	<0.5%*	<75%	Negligible	
ESR 5	<0.5%*	<75%	Negligible	
ESR 6	<0.5%*	<75%	Negligible	
ESR 7	<0.5%*	<75%	Negligible	
* Changes of less than 0.5% should be described as negligible				

Existing Sensitive Receptor Location Within Woodbridge AQMA

- 11.7.14 In addition to the assessment of the seven existing sensitive receptor locations outside of the Woodbridge AQMA, a further receptor has been considered within the AQMA boundary. ESR 8 (93a Thoroughfare) has been considered at the request of SCDC.
- 11.7.15 It has been requested by SCDC that rather than focusing upon absolute pollutant concentrations within the Woodbridge AQMA, the assessment should focus just upon the road component of pollutant concentrations at the chosen receptor location within the AQMA. The assessment has therefore focused upon NO_x and NO₂ concentrations, as the AQMA has been declared for exceedance of the annual mean objective for NO₂.

- 11.7.16 As a result, the DMRB screening tool has been run using the usual procedure to predict the road contribution NO_x concentration at ESR 8. The road contribution NO₂ concentration has then been derived using the NO_x to NO₂ calculator.
- 11.7.17 The results of the assessment for ESR 8 are detailed in Table 11.23.

Table 11.23: Predicted Road Contribution NO_x and NO_2 concentrations at an Existing Sensitive Receptor Location Within the Woodbridge AQMA, for 2015 and 2025 'Without Development' and 'With Development' Scenarios (Uncorrected)						
	Calculated Annual Mean Concentrations (µg/m³)					
Receptor	Road Contribution NO ₂ *		Road Contribution NO _x			
	Scenario 1: 2015	Scenario 2: 2025	Scenario 3: 2025	Scenario 1: 2015	Scenario 2: 2025	Scenario 3: 2025
ESR 8	4.46	5.47	5.50	8.67	10.70	10.75
* NO ₂ concentrations obtained by inputting predicted NO _x concentrations into the NO _x to NO ₂ calculator ¹⁹ in accordance with LAQM.TG(09).						

- 11.7.18 The results of the assessment show that, in 2025, the increase in the road contribution NO_x as a result of the Project is 0.05μ g/m³. The increase in the road contribution NO₂ is 0.03μ g/m³ (i.e. 0.08% of the AQAL).
- 11.7.19 Although these results do not present the total annual mean NO_x and NO₂ concentrations at this receptor, the criteria included within Table 11.10 do provide an indication of the increase in NO₂ concentrations with the development in place. The increase is 0.08% of the AQAL and this increase would be described as negligible.

Proposed Sensitive Receptor Locations

11.7.20 Air pollutant concentrations have also been modelled for three proposed receptor locations, for the 2025 'with development' scenario, as detailed in Table 11.24. The predicted NO₂ and PM₁₀ concentrations are included in Appendix 11.2.

Table 11.24: Predicted NO ₂ and PM ₁₀ Concentrations at Proposed Sensitive Receptor Location for the 2025 'With Development' Scenario (Uncorrected)			
Proposed Receptor	Calculated Annual Mean Concentrations (µg/m³)		
Location	NO2	PM ₁₀	
PR 1	17.42	17.82	
PR 2	19.20	18.38	
PR 3	14.96	17.26	

TDCI

¹⁹ NO_x to NO₂ Calculator, Defra Local Air Quality Management web pages (http://laqm.defra.gov.uk/tools-monitoring-data/nocalculator.html)

Scenario 3: 2025 Opening/Future Year 'With Development'

- 11.7.21 The 2025 'with development' annual mean NO₂ concentration (uncorrected) is predicted to range from 14.96 to 19.20µg/m³ at the three proposed receptor locations considered. Exceedance of the annual mean objective concentration for NO₂ (40µg/m³) is not predicted to occur.
- 11.7.22 The 2025 'with development' annual mean PM₁₀ concentration (uncorrected) is predicted to range from 17.26 to 18.38µg/m³ at the three proposed receptor locations considered. Exceedance of the annual mean objective concentration for PM₁₀ (40µg/m³) is not predicted to occur.

Significance of Effects

- 11.7.23 The significance of the overall effects of the Project has been assessed. This assessment is based on professional judgement and takes into account a number of factors, including:
 - Baseline pollutant concentrations in the 2015 Base Year are all below the relevant annual mean objectives;
 - With regard to the future baseline (i.e. the 2025 Opening Year 'without development' scenario), all pollutant concentrations are predicted to be below the relevant annual mean objectives, even when a worst case scenario is considered;
 - The assessment predicts a negligible impact on NO₂ and PM₁₀ concentrations at all seven existing sensitive receptor locations outside of the Woodbridge AQMA, with the Project in place;
 - The assessment predicts a negligible impact on NO₂ concentrations at the further receptor considered within Woodbridge AQMA; and
 - All pollutant concentrations within the Project site are predicted to be below the relevant annual mean objectives.
- 11.7.24 Based on these factors, and in accordance with the IAQM guidance, the effect of the Project on human health is considered to be 'not significant'.

Operational Phase Assessment – Rail Emissions

- 11.7.25 The Norwich to Ipswich railway line borders the Project to the south. The edge of the railway tracks are located approximately 7m from the site boundary.
- 11.7.26 The Defra technical guidance document LAQM.TG(09) provides guidance on those railway lines and associated infrastructure which experience heavy diesel traffic and that may therefore need to be assessed in detail.
- 11.7.27 A detailed assessment may be required, for these specific railway lines, where background NO₂ concentrations are higher than 25µg/m³ and there is existing or proposed relevant exposure within 30m of the edge of the railway line.
- 11.7.28 The section of railway line adjacent to the Project site is not included within LAQM.TG(09). In addition, background NO₂ concentrations for this area are well below 25µg/m³.
- 11.7.29 Rail emissions, from the Norwich to Ipswich railway line are not considered to be significant at the Project.

DCI

Operational Phase Assessment – Odour from Sewage Treatment Works

FIDOL Assessment

11.7.30 The scale of the odour exposure, using information provided by the met data, can be summarised using the FIDOL factors included in Table 11.11. The results of the assessment are detailed in Table 11.25.

Table 11.25: FIDOL Assessment		
Factor	Description	
Frequency	 Greater risks of high odour concentrations are likely to occur during relatively calm weather. The wind rose included in Appendix 11.3 shows that this is likely to be, at worst, 0.34% of the time Due to the nature of the STW, the site activity is likely to be constant throughout the year 	
Intensity	It has not been possible to determine the intensity of the odour; however the intensity is considered likely to be low due to dilation/dispersion that will take place over the distance between the source and receptors	
Duration	The source emissions are likely to be continuous throughout the year	
Odour unpleasantness	In accordance with guidance from the EA, odours associated with waste water may be described as 'most' offensiveness	
Location	The proposed land use is residential in nature. The closest boundary of the site is located approximately 85m to the south of the closest feature of the STW, however, the closest residential properties may be located at a distance slightly further away than this	

11.7.31 The FIDOL assessment demonstrates that the proposed development is subject to the three links in the Source-Pathway-Receptor chain, and is therefore subject to experience some odour exposure. The risk of odour exposure and subsequent odour effects (impacts) on the proposed development site, will therefore take into account the Source Odour Potential, Pathway Effectiveness and Receptor Sensitivity.

Source Odour Potential

- 11.7.32 The precise operational measures and mitigation of the STW are unknown and therefore a worst case approach has been considered to determine the Source Odour Potential.
- 11.7.33 Using the standard descriptor terms as contained on the odour wheel taken from odour guidance produced by DEFRA²⁰, odorous compounds such as Dimethyl Disulphide (associated with the odour of cabbage) and hydrogen sulphide (associated with the odour of rotten eggs) are characteristic of the odours associated with wastewater and bio solids. As detailed in in the SEPA guidance, these examples of odorous compounds have low odour detection thresholds of less than <0.02ppm.
- 11.7.34 Based on the hedonic tones scores in the SEPA odour guidance, and the categories included within the EA H4 guidance, odours from STW can be classed as being within the 'most offensive' category. The hedonic score could range between -3.68 (sewer odour) and -2.47 (ammonia).
- 11.7.35 A summary of the risk factors for the Source Odour Potential are detailed in Table 11.26.

²⁰ Department for Environment, Food and Rural Affairs, Odour Guidance for Local Authorities, March 2010

Table 11.26: Source Odour Potential		
Factors Affecting Source Magnitude	Risk Factors	
Magnitude of Odour Release (taking into account odour- control measures)	Medium scale	
Inherent Odorous Nature of Compounds	Odorous compounds with low odour detection thresholds	
Odour Unpleasantness	Hedonic scores likely to be between -2 and -4	

11.7.36 In accordance to the criteria detailed in Table 11.12, the Odour Source Potential is judged to be Large, using this worst case approach.

Pathway Effectiveness

- 11.7.37 It is important to consider the proposed receptors in terms of proximity to the odour source and the prevailing wind direction to determine the pathway effectiveness.
- 11.7.38 To provide information on how odour dispersion might be affected by the local weather conditions, wind speed and wind direction data have been obtained from ADM Limited for the period 2010 to 2014, for the Wattisham recording station which is located approximately 23km to the north-west.
- 11.7.39 The wind rose for this station is presented in Appendix11.3. This data shows that the prevailing wind at Wattisham is from the south westerly sectors. The proposed residential development site is not located downwind of the STW with respect to the prevailing wind direction.
- 11.7.40 Low wind speeds are most effective at carrying odour i.e. less than 3m/s (6 knots), as the wind fails to dilute and disperse the odour effectively. Higher wind speeds become increasingly effective at diluting and dispersing odour. The proposed development is downwind of the STW approximately 6.16% of the time, however, wind speeds are lower than 3m/s for only 3.46% of the time.
- 11.7.41 The closest proposed residential dwellings are located along the southern edge of the proposed development and are therefore situated approximately 90m to the south east of the STW, at the closest point. The closest proposed residential receptor to the STW is shown on drawing LE12277-001 and presented as OSR1.
- 11.7.42 There is also a proposed public open space within the south east corner of the proposed development site. This area would be considered as an area of lower sensitivity. The assessment, therefore, considers the highest sensitivity receptors (i.e. the proposed residential dwellings), as users are expected to be present continuously or at least for extended periods of time and therefore are at a greater risk of impact from odour exposure.
- 11.7.43 It should however be noted that there is an existing sensitive receptor located in close proximity to the STW. This residential dwelling (i.e. Creek Farm, Sandy Lane) is located approximately 60m to the north east of the STW. This receptor location is considered to be more 'worst case' than the location of the closest proposed residential dwelling, given that it is downwind of the STW in respect to the prevailing wind direction.

- 11.7.44 In April 2014, SCDC confirmed that there was no history of odour complaints associated with the STW.
- 11.7.45 The optimum conditions for odour generation are periods when there are higher temperatures, which are most likely to occur during the summer months. When considering that the potential for odour effects is likely to be highest when both warmer temperatures prevail and the proposed receptors are located downwind of the STW (particularly when wind speeds are less than 3m/s), this further reduces the proportion of time when odour effects may be experienced.
- 11.7.46 The precise details of the operational activities and management of the STW is unknown. Therefore the effectiveness of dispersion and dilution is likely to be subject to any existing mitigation of emissions, the location of the STW (in relation to the prevailing wind and the proposed development site), and the wind speed. In addition, the fact that there is no odour complaint history, despite the relative proximity of an existing sensitive receptor, has also been taken into account. The effectiveness of the odour pathway is presented in Table 11.27.

Table 11.27: Effectiveness of Odour Pathway				
Receptor	Distance from Source	Direction from Source	Downwind	Pathway Effectiveness
Proposed Residential Dwellings	90m at the closest point	South East	No	Ineffective

11.7.47 From this, the pathway effectiveness is judged to be Ineffective.

Receptor Sensitivity

11.7.48 The Project is residential in nature and is therefore judged to be of a High sensitivity.

Potential Odour Effects

11.7.49 The assessment of the potential odour effects at the Project is presented in Table 11.28.

Table 11.28: Likely Odour Effect at Proposed Residential Development				
Source Odour Potential	Effectiveness of Pathway	Risk Of Odour Impact	Receptor Sensitivity	Likely Odour Effect
Large	Ineffective	Low Risk	High	Slight Adverse Effect

- 11.7.50 Based on a large source odour potential, where the pathway is deemed to be ineffective, the risk of odour impact (dose) is deemed to be low in accordance with the criteria detailed in Table 11.13.
- 11.7.51 A low risk of odour combined with a high receptor sensitivity is deemed to lead to a Slight Adverse effect, in accordance with the criteria detailed in Table 11.14.

Assessment of Significance

- 11.7.52 With regard to reaching a conclusion on the overall significance of likely odour effects, the IAQM guidance states that the findings of the different odour assessment tools used in the assessment should be drawn together.
- 11.7.53 The significance of the overall odour effects arising from the STW has been assessed, taking into account the following points:

TDCI

- Based on a large source odour potential, and an ineffective pathway, the likely odour effect is deemed to be Slight Adverse. However, due to lack of detailed information about the operation of the STW, and any mitigation measures employed at the site, a worst case approach has been adopted;
- A review of meteorological data from the local area suggests that the proposed development is expected to be located downwind of the source of odour for 6.16% of an average year, however, low winds speeds are estimated for approximately 3.46% of the time, with still conditions only likely to be experienced for 0.34% of the time. Higher wind speeds become increasingly effective at diluting and dispersing odour;
- When considering that the potential for odour effects is likely to be highest when both warmer temperatures prevail and the proposed receptors are located downwind of the STW (particularly when wind speeds are less than 3m/s), this further reduces the proportion of time when odour effects may be experienced; and
- The proposed development is not located downwind of the STW in respect to the south westerly prevailing wind direction.
- 11.7.54 Overall, taking into account the results of the qualitative assessment, the local meteorological data and odour complaint history, the potential impact from odour at the proposed development site is judged to be 'not significant', in accordance with the IAQM guidance.

Mitigation Measures

Construction Phase Assessment – Dust Emissions

Step 3

- 11.7.55 During the construction phase the implementation of effective mitigation measures will substantially reduce the potential for nuisance dust and particulate matter to be generated.
- 11.7.56 Step 2C of the construction phase assessment identified that:
 - The risk of dust soiling effects is classed as medium for earthworks, construction and trackout; and
 - The risk of human health effects is classed as low for earthworks, construction and trackout.
- 11.7.57 This assumes that no mitigation measures are applied, except those required by legislation. Site specific mitigation measures do not need to be recommended if the risk category is negligible.
- 11.7.58 The risk of dust soiling and human health effects is not negligible for any of the activities and therefore site specific mitigation will need to be implemented to ensure dust effects from these activities will be 'not significant'.
- 11.7.59 A best practice dust mitigation plan will be written and implemented for the Project. This will set out the practical measures that could be incorporated as part of a best working practice scheme. This will take into account the recommendations included within the IAQM guidance, which may include but are not limited to:
 - Re-vegetate earthworks and exposed areas/ soil stockpiles to stabilise surfaces as soon as practicable;

- Ensure sand and other aggregates are stored in bunded areas and are not allowed to dry out, unless this is required for a particular process, in which case ensure that appropriate additional control measures are in place;
- Ensure bulk cement and other fine materials are delivered in enclosed tankers and stored in silos and suitable emission control systems to prevent escape of material and overfilling during delivery;
- Use water-assisted dust sweeper(s) on the access and local roads, to remove, as necessary, any material tracked out of site. This may require the sweeper being continuously in use; and
- Ensure vehicles entering and leaving sites are covered to prevent escape of materials during transport.
- 11.7.60 It is recognised that the final design solutions will be developed with the input of the Contractor to maximise construction efficiencies, to use modern construction techniques and sustainable materials, and to incorporate the particular skills and experience offered by the successful contractor.

Operational Phase Assessment – Road Traffic Emissions

Existing Sensitive Receptor Locations

- 11.7.61 An air quality assessment has been undertaken to consider the potential impact of developmentgenerated vehicles on air quality at seven existing sensitive receptor locations outside Woodbridge AQMA; as well as one location within the AQMA boundary.
- 11.7.62 The air quality assessment predicts that there will be a negligible impact on concentrations of NO₂ and PM₁₀ at the seven existing sensitive receptor locations outside Woodbridge AQMA, in 2025 with the development in place.
- 11.7.63 Exceedance of the NO₂ and PM₁₀ annual mean air quality objectives of 40µg/m³ is not predicted to occur in 2025, for the seven existing sensitive receptor locations outside of the Woodbridge AQMA, for the 'without development' and 'with development' scenarios.
- 11.7.64 In addition, road contribution NO_x and NO₂ concentrations have been considered at one receptor location within the Woodbridge AQMA. The increase in road contribution NO₂ concentrations, with the development in place, is considered to be negligible.

Proposed Sensitive Receptor Locations

- 11.7.65 The air quality assessment has also predicted pollutant concentrations at three proposed receptor locations within the proposed residential development. These receptors are considered to be representative of the proposed residential areas closest to the proposed site access roads, Top Street, Ipswich Road and the A12.
- 11.7.66 Predicted NO₂ and PM₁₀ concentrations are well below the annual mean air quality objectives of 40μg/m³, in 2025, at all three proposed sensitive receptor locations considered.

Mitigation Strategies

11.7.67 The impact of the operation of the Project is predicted to be negligible, even when a worst case approach is adopted which assumes no improvement in backgrounds or vehicle emissions. It

tdCr

- The implementation of a green travel plan; or
- Low NO_x boilers to be installed at the proposed dwellings.

Operational Phase Assessment – Odour from Sewage Treatment Works

11.7.68 The impact of odour is considered to be 'not significant', in accordance with the guidance detailed in the IAQM guidance. Mitigation measures are therefore not required.

11.8 RESIDUAL EFFECTS

Construction Phase Assessment – Dust Emissions

Step 4

- 11.8.1 Step 4 of the construction phase dust assessment has been undertaken to determine the significance of the dust effects arising from earthworks, construction and trackout associated with the Project.
- 11.8.2 The implementation of effective mitigation measures during the construction phase, such as those detailed in Step 3, will substantially reduce the potential for nuisance dust and particulate matter to be generated and any residual impact should be 'not significant'.

Operational Phase Assessment – Road Traffic Emissions

- 11.8.3 The impact of the operation of the Project is predicted to be 'negligible', even when a worst case approach is adopted which assumes no improvement in backgrounds or emission factors.
- 11.8.4 It is considered that the measures outlined in Section 11.7 would assist in mitigating any potential operational phase impacts of the Project.

Operational Phase Assessment – Odour from Sewage Treatment Works

- 11.8.5 The impact of the operation of the existing STW, located approximately 90m away from the proposed development at the closest point, is considered to be 'not significant' in accordance with the IAQM guidance.
- 11.8.6 Mitigation measures are therefore not required and it is considered that the residual effects on the Project will be 'not significant'.

Summary

11.8.7 Table 9.25 provides a summary of the residual effects associated with the Project.

Table 9.25: Summary of the Residual Effects Associated with the Project				
Potential Effect	Nature of Effect (i.e. Permanent or Temporary)	Significance	Mitigation / Enhancement Measures	Residual Effects
Dust generated during demolition/ construction phases.	Temporary	Not significant	Best practice dust mitigation plan	Not significant
Emissions of NO ₂ from development traffic.	Permanent	Not significant	Travel plan, low NOx boilers and / or low emission vehicle promotion	Not significant

TDCI

Table 9.25: Summary of the Residual Effects Associated with the Project				
Potential Effect	Nature of Effect (i.e. Permanent or Temporary)	Significance	Mitigation / Enhancement Measures	Residual Effects
Emissions of PM ₁₀ from development traffic.	Permanent	Not significant	Travel plan and / or low emission vehicle promotion	Not significant
Emissions from railway line	Permanent	Not significant	N/A	Not significant
Odour emissions from STW	Permanent	Not significant	N/A	Not significant

11.9 CUMULATIVE EFFECTS

- 11.9.1 The committed developments considered within the Transport Assessment, and therefore the traffic data used in the air quality assessment, include:
 - Ref TBC: Residential development at Woodbridge Town Football Club;
 - DC/14/0991/OUT: Land North of Woods Lane;
 - C/09/0555: Adastral Park; and
 - C/10/1906: Land South of Main Road, Martlesham.
- 11.9.2 The cumulative impacts of the Project and those developments mentioned above have therefore been assessed at both existing and proposed sensitive receptor locations.
- 11.9.3 As a result, the cumulative impact at existing sensitive receptors is considered to be negligible at all eight existing sensitive receptor locations considered.
- 11.9.4 Predicted concentrations are below the relevant air quality objectives at all three proposed sensitive receptor locations considered. The cumulative impact of the developments specified above is therefore considered to be negligible at all three proposed receptors considered.

11.10 STATEMENT OF EFFECTS

Construction Phase Assessment – Dust Emissions

- 11.10.1 The construction phase assessment has been undertaken to determine the risk and significance of dust effects from earthworks, construction activities and trackout from the Project. The assessment has been undertaken in accordance with the guidance on assessing the impacts of construction phase dust published by the IAQM.
- 11.10.2 With site specific mitigation measures in place, such as those detailed in Section 7.0 of this report, the significance of dust effects from earthworks, construction and trackout are considered to be not significant.

tdCl

Operational Phase Assessment – Road Traffic Emissions

Existing Sensitive Receptor Locations

- 11.10.3 The air quality assessment has considered the potential impact of development-generated vehicles on air quality at seven representative existing sensitive receptor locations outside Woodbridge AQMA; as well as one location within the AQMA boundary.
- 11.10.4 For both NO₂ and PM₁₀, all seven existing receptor locations outside of the Woodbridge AQMA are predicted to experience a significant impact, as a result of the Project in 2025.
- 11.10.5 All predicted NO₂ and PM₁₀ concentrations are well below the objective/limit values and no exceedances of the relevant annual mean air quality objective of 40μg/m³ are predicted to occur at the seven existing receptor locations outside Woodbridge AQMA in 2025, for both the 'without development' and 'with development' scenarios.
- 11.10.6 In addition, road contribution NO_x and NO₂ concentrations have been considered at one receptor location within the Woodbridge AQMA and compared to the criteria within the IAQM guidance.
- 11.10.7 The increase in NO₂ concentrations, with the development in place, is considered to be not significant at the receptor location considered within the Woodbridge AQMA.

Proposed Sensitive Receptors

- 11.10.8 The air quality assessment has also predicted pollutant concentrations at three proposed receptor locations within the proposed residential development. These receptors are considered to be representative of the proposed residential areas closest to the proposed site access roads, Top Street, Ipswich Road and the A12.
- 11.10.9 NO₂ and PM₁₀ concentrations are predicted to be well below the respective annual mean air quality objectives in 2025, at the three proposed sensitive receptor locations considered. The effect is therefore considered to be not significant.

Mitigation Strategies

- 11.10.10 The impact of the operation of the Project is predicted to be 'negligible/not significant', even when a worst case approach is adopted which assumes no improvement in backgrounds or vehicle emissions. It may however be possible to further reduce the impact with the implementation of various mitigation strategies, which could include:
 - The implementation of a green travel plan; or
 - Low NO_x boilers to be installed at the proposed dwellings.

Summary

11.10.11 This air quality assessment indicates that the Project generated traffic will have a not significant impact on existing sensitive receptor locations in 2025, even when taking into account the cumulative impact of other relevant developments. It may however be possible to further reduce the impact with the implementation of mitigation strategies.

TDCI

- 11.10.12 The assessment has also considered rail emissions from the Norwich to Ipswich railway line which borders the site to the south. This has been undertaken in accordance with the Defra technical guidance document LAQM.TG(09).
- 11.10.13 The Norwich to Ipswich railway line is not included within LAQM.TG(09) as a line which requires detailed assessment. In addition, background NO₂ concentrations for this area are well below 25µg/m³. As a result, rail emissions, as a result of the railway line are not considered to be significant at the Project.

Operational Phase Assessment – Odour from Sewage Treatment Works

- 11.10.14 An assessment has been carried out, in accordance with IAQM guidance, to consider the potential risk of odour effects at the proposed development due to the Woodbridge STW. This is located approximately 90m from the site, at the closest point.
- 11.10.15 Based on the source odour potential and pathway effectiveness, the risk of odour impact is considered to be low. Taking into account the high receptor sensitivity, there is predicted to be a Slight Adverse effect at the proposed development. The overall effect is therefore considered to be 'not significant' in accordance with the IAQM guidance.

11.11 GLOSSARY

- Air Quality Standards / Objectives: Internationally agreed maximum concentrations for recognised air pollutants;
- **Emission Factor:** The quantity of a pollutant released to the ambient air through the operation of a motor vehicle;
- **NO**_x: Collective term for all gaseous compounds which are comprised of nitrogen and oxygen atoms only;
- **Nitrogen Dioxide (NO₂)**: Naturally occurring gas which is recognised as a pollutant at concentrations exceeding its air quality standard / objective;
- **Particulate Matter**: Microscopic solid or liquid matter suspended in the Earth's atmosphere;
- **Pollutant**: A substance or energy introduced into the environment that has undesired effects; and
- PM₁₀: Particulate matter, with a diameter of 10µm or less, which is recognised as a pollutant at concentrations exceeding its air quality standard / objective.