

EAST SUFFOLK COUNCIL

Return to: Mrs N Biddall, Public Rights of Way Officer
East Suffolk Council, Riverside, 4 Canning Road, Lowestoft, NR33 0EQ

Email: rightsofway@eastsuffolk.gov.uk

From: [REDACTED]

File Ref: RoW.013.

SUBJECT:

Highways Act 1980.Section 16.

Proposed creation of footpath between Hill Farm Road and Holton footpath no 6.

Please delete as appropriate:

a) ~~I/We have no comments on or objections to the above proposal.~~

b) ~~I/We support the above proposal.~~

c) My/Our comments on the above proposal are as follows (please expand on a separate sheet if necessary):

.....

.....

.....

.....

d) I/We object to the above proposal for the following reasons (please expand on a separate sheet if necessary):

We the owners of [REDACTED] would like to inform you that firstly the terminology 'TRACK' is not the case, it is in fact our tarmac roadway serving our property and Orchard House, and our business of touring caravan park.
This entails considerable vehicle movement.
Several of our visitors have had near misses with children and adults, mostly dog walkers.

The creation of this path on and over our roadway is fraught with danger with vehicle movement on a blind bend.

The paragraph regarding Holton Primary school is not the catchment area for Hill Farm

Road.

Since Hopkins Homes illegally tore down our fence and hedge exposing access to our property, and installing said pathway on their land all attempts to restricted access have been futile due to the imbecilic pedestrians coming through after tearing down temporary fencing, many times depositing it onto the drive.

The illegal act by Hopkins Homes was the first that we knew that a path had been designated to upset the enjoyment of our property and business, which was blamed on a planning officer not doing his job properly at the inception of Hopkins Homes gaining planning approval for this estate.

The subsequent meeting with Mr Ben Woolnough and Mrs Nikky Biddall being that of apologies bordering on indifference with no mention of compensation, has led us to object to this proposal.

Signed: [REDACTED].....

Dated: 14 December 2023

EAST SUFFOLK COUNCIL

Return to: Mrs N Biddall, Public Rights of Way Officer
East Suffolk Council, Riverside, 4 Canning Road, Lowestoft, NR33 0EQ

Email: rightsofway@eastsuffolk.gov.uk

From: [REDACTED]

File Ref: RoW.013.

SUBJECT:

Highways Act 1980. Section 16.

Proposed creation of footpath between Hill Farm Road and Holton footpath no 6.

Please delete as appropriate:

- ☒ a) I/We have no comments on or objections to the above proposal.
- ☒ b) I/We support the above proposal.
- ☒ c) My/Our comments on the above proposal are as follows (please expand on a separate sheet if necessary):

.....
.....
.....

- ☐ d) I/We object to the above proposal for the following reasons (please expand on a separate sheet if necessary):


possible youths using pathway to create havoc, knife
crime through Holton's estate on Stead Drive / eating drive
area

Signed: [REDACTED]

Dated: 24.11.23



'Proposed' footpath to link
Hill Farm Road with Holton footpath,
can you explain to me what
proposed means, as the said
footpath is already complete.
Not being informed of the said
footpath initially, since then the
footpath has caused several problems
i.e. unrestrained dogs in our garden,
dog faeces, tennis balls and
various pieces of rubbish (beer
bottles, crisp pks etc) which
were never a problem before.



EAST SUFFOLK COUNCIL

Return to: Mrs N Biddall, Public Rights of Way Officer
East Suffolk Council, Riverside, 4 Canning Road, Lowestoft, NR33 0EQ

Email: rightsofway@eastsuffolk.gov.uk

From: [REDACTED]

File Ref: RoW.013.

SUBJECT:

Highways Act 1980. Section 16.

Proposed creation of footpath between Hill Farm Road and Holton footpath no 6.

Please delete as appropriate:

- a) I/We have no comments on or objections to the above proposal.
- b) I/We support the above proposal.
- c) My/Our comments on the above proposal are as follows (please expand on a separate sheet if necessary):

.....
.....
.....

- d) I/~~We~~ object to the above proposal for the following reasons (please expand on a separate sheet if necessary):

.....
.....
.....

Signed:

Dated: 28.11.23



EAST SUFFOLK COUNCIL

Return to: Mrs N Biddall, Public Rights of Way Officer
East Suffolk Council, Riverside, 4 Canning Road, Lowestoft, NR33 0EQ

Email: rightsofway@eastsuffolk.gov.uk

From: [REDACTED]

File Ref: RoW.013.

SUBJECT:

Highways Act 1980.Section 16.

Proposed creation of footpath between Hill Farm Road and Holton footpath no 6.

Please delete as appropriate:

- a) ~~I/We have no comments on or objections to the above proposal.~~
- b) ~~I/We support the above proposal.~~
- c) ~~My/Our comments on the above proposal are as follows (please expand on a separate sheet if necessary):~~
- d) I/We object to the above proposal for the following reasons (please expand on a separate sheet if necessary):

I object to the above proposal on multiple grounds.

I object on safety grounds, the position of the connection at the Holton end of the proposed footpath is in a dangerous place, hidden by plants on the property adjacent to the southern end of the driveway. It is also on a corner that serves to further reduce visibility. It crosses a driveway that is used by large vehicles (caravans, motor homes, farm machinery, some of which cannot stop in a short distance).

I object on principal, on how the situation has been handled by various council representatives. I object on the grounds that the impression of having no practical option to prevent the crossing was given, the insinuation that to object would only mean going to higher officials and having the crossing implemented regardless.

I object on the grounds of the footpath being built right up to the Holton Orchards property line prior to any degree of approval or consultation being sought. This has served no other purpose but to actively insight vandalism and invite trespass to Holton Orchards property (i.e.: repeated damaged to pre-existing barbed wire fencing, shrubbery, grass verge, despite frequent repairs). It has created animosity from public towards the rightful landowner for protecting his legal rights. The temporary fencing has been an eyesore and can only have negatively affected the owners' business. All the above being at no fault of the property owner.

I object on the grounds that it is not necessary connection, that existing footpath connections are adequate.

Signed: [REDACTED]

Dated: 14 December 2023

From: [REDACTED]
To: [RightsofWay](#)
Subject: Proposed creation of public footpath No 6
Date: 21 November 2023 21:05:22

Regarding the proposed footpath to link Hill Farm Road with Holton footpath No6

Dear Nicky Biddall

While I have not received a copy of the letter regarding the footpath as I am outside the zone for Hill Farm Road, the new estate that has been built. I am very aware of the issues for both being in favour and against the proposal.

I live at [REDACTED] and while the address is The Street Holton. The access to the property is along the farm track [REDACTED] property used to be part of [REDACTED]. I have a right of access only. [REDACTED] the idea of a footpath across the newly designated green area is very appealing and I would make use of it.

I am aware of issues, however, that could arise for the land owner who runs a business and I believe is being addressed for safety purposes. There has always been a small but none the less problematic nuisance with littering and dog poo bags being thrown over the hedge onto my land which is the orchard running along side the existing footpath. This increased during the pandemic and has not decreased. I often have to walk the perimeter to pick up various items, some being glass and cans. I am aware that my neighbour at Holton Orchard has had similar issues.

I don't know how this can be addressed, as unfortunately it appears to be getting worse since the new footpath situation has arisen. On various occasions a dog (sometimes more than one) runs through the orchard, which in itself is not an issue, but if small children are around and especially for my neighbour who rents caravan spaces for holidays, this can be unnerving. Both ours and my neighbours enjoy deer using the land, that make runs through hedging and therefore attracts dogs. They do have clients with dogs but are very strict about them being on leads for the safety of all. They are also strict regarding fires and barbecues not being used, especially with last year and the dry weather. We have in the past walked the orchard to make sure nothing has caught or scorched. Notices at least need to be put in place and people must be made aware of the country code to hopefully make them feel responsible towards where they are, other people and wildlife. There also needs to be some form of notices to make sure people do not park on the driveway to Holton Orchard. At best this would be a great nuisance, at worst this could be extremely dangerous if emergency vehicles were ever needed.

Apart from being an expense I do not wish to address, I don't want to have to make where I live a fortress. The vast majority of my neighbours in Orchard Valley and those walking the path are a delight and always stop to pass the time when I or my husband are working outside.

I do hope this is the right place to send my concerns, as I was unable to find anywhere else, as I do not have a letter or form to fill in. Thank you for taking the time to read

this.

Kind Regards



Click [here](#) to report this email as spam.

EAST SUFFOLK COUNCIL

Return to: Mrs N Biddall, Public Rights of Way Officer
East Suffolk Council, Riverside, 4 Canning Road, Lowestoft, NR33 0EQ

Email: rightsofway@eastsuffolk.gov.uk

From: [REDACTED]

File Ref: RoW.013.



SUBJECT:

Highways Act 1980. Section 16.

Proposed creation of footpath between Hill Farm Road and Holton footpath no 6. *!!

IT'S ALREADY THERE

Please delete as appropriate:

- a) I/We have no comments on or objections to the above proposal.
- b) I/We support the above proposal.
- c) My/Our comments on the above proposal are as follows (please expand on a separate sheet if necessary):

IT IS NOT "PROPOSED" - IT HAS BEEN THERE SEVERAL MONTHS.

GET YOUR FACTS RIGHT BEFORE YOU SEND ANY MORE LETTERS

- d) I/We object to the above proposal for the following reasons (please expand on a separate sheet if necessary):

WAS NEVER ADVISED OF FOOTPATH EITHER BY COUNCIL OR HOPKINS. IT WOULD BE VERY DANGEROUS FOR CHILDREN TO RUN INTO THE FARM DRIVE TODAY, MY NEIGHBOUR, WHO IS ALSO SERIOUSLY ALLERGED BY FOOTPATH, HAS NOT RECEIVED THIS LETTER WHY??

Signed: [REDACTED]

Dated: 17-11-23

IS THIS WHAT HAPPENED TO OUR 'SUPPOSED' ORIGINAL LETTERS

P.T.O. →

LIST OF OBJECTIONS RE PROPOSED (ALREADY THERE)
FOOTPATH.

- ① 23/3/23 Wrote to Philip Ridien re footpath which was going to be constructed at the end of my property about 1 mtr away from boundary. I asked why I had never been advised either by Council or Hopkins Homes.

I AM STILL WAITING FOR REPLY.

- ② Phoned & spoke to Miss Elars who eventually came out & sent me a letter; I would have been disgusted with - spelling & grammar mistakes. She advised she would ensure her 'boss' contacted me
STILL WAITING. ³⁻⁵⁻²³ ENF/23/0079/COND

- ③ Contacted 'Green' councillor re dog poo, beer bottles & cans already being thrown into my garden for people who repeatedly broke down the fences erected to stop people going onto farm drive.
Re councillor B. Wakeling contacted Hopkins & Council to ask I had a security fence erected to allay my fears of break ins. = 21/4/21

- ④ Spoke to Mr Bathgate Hopkins Homes he dismissed my pleading for a fence & more or less laughed in my face.

- ⑤ I am a 76 yr old single disabled person living in fear of being broken into & I cannot defend myself.

PLEASE HELP ME

Yours faithfully

