# Geo Estates Ltd

# Written Statement To SCDC CIL Draft Charging Schedule Examination

1) I write as a long term resident of Suffolk and as Director of a young Company involved in the delivery of small scale development in the County. My background is of 20 years in the property industry with experience in both the private and public sector.

2) I feel passionately about this wonderful County and want to see it thrive. The evidence and analysis in this statement is scoped to relate specifically to small residential developments only. I am referring to small developments as those of 10 units and under in line with Central Government thinking. This arises following the Ministerial Statement of 28<sup>th</sup> November 2014 (The Ministerial Statement).

3) I believe The Ministerial Statement is material to the CIL Examination process and requires a fundamental revisit of the viability analysis supporting the Draft Charging Schedule (DCS). Also I believe the Peter Brett response to Initial Examiner Questions 12<sup>th</sup> Jan 2015 (PBA Response) contains significant errors and flaws and I will set out below how these should be amended alongside evidence as to why they should be amended.

4) Friends tell me what a great place Suffolk is - and they're right. If we don't get the Draft
Charging Schedule right for small developments it will be to the detriment of this wonderful County
– both in terms of the Economy and of the Built Environment; so please, lets get it right.

# Small Schemes - Why Is This Sector Important?

5) Small developments are an important part of both plan delivery and of the make-up, style and "grain" of Suffolk residential development. Small developers using a local supply chain for both consultancy and construction support the economic development of an area. The importance of small developments in local communities is huge and often include individually designed high quality homes. Suffolk would be a massively different place, to its detriment, if at a strategic level we stifle the delivery of small developments in order to focus on numbers purely in large and strategic schemes. The DCS as drafted will stifle delivery of small schemes and as such is prejudiced towards this important sector. 6) In Suffolk Coastal we have an historic under delivery of housing numbers causing us to now rely heavily on windfall sites. Many of these windfall sites will be small schemes and their delivery should be promoted through financial unburdening in line with Central Government thinking. Current proposals have the opposite effect and will stifle supply of small developments, which in turn has a disproportionately large effect on the overall plan delivery in Suffolk Coastal.

## PBA Response to Initial Examiner Questions : Question 5 - Ministerial Written Statement

7) I won't repeat my belief that the Ministerial Written Statement is of such material significance to CIL Examination that it requires a fundamental revisit – because it appears we are beyond that now.

8) The viability analysis of 10 and 11 unit schemes in appendix B of the PBA Response is both flawed in concept and inaccurate in detail and I set out below the reasons why.

## Viability Analysis

## EVIDENCE: CIL GUIDANCE

9) Paragraph 21 (differential rates) provides that "Charging schedules with differential rates should not have a disproportionate impact on particular sectors or specialist forms of development."

10) Paragraph 19 adds "Charging authorities that decide to set differential rates may need to undertake more fine-grained sampling, on a higher proportion of total sites, to help them to estimate the boundaries for their differential rates. Fine-grained sampling is also likely to be necessary where they wish to differentiate between categories or scales of intended use."

11) The "Broad Brush" viability analysis used to set differential rates for small schemes is contrary to Guidance and is causing as disproportionate impact on small developments.

12) With the Summary Overage Tables based on residual valuations there will always be opportunities to disagree on minor details and just to be clear I am not proposing that at all.

13) There are, importantly, 3 fundamental issues with the viability analysis in the PBA response and these are: Site Area/Density, Small Scheme Build Costs and Benchmark Land Values.

# Site Area/Density

# The Common Sense Approach

14) Standard density used on a pro rata basis is not realistic baseline data for a small development. Small developments suffer from an exponential relationship between site area and boundary length (ie small sites have proportionally more boundary) and this problem is magnified with irregularly shaped sites typical of small developments. The space requirement of small schemes regarding access/infrastrucure/turning will be greater and requirements of design and landscaping and constraints on scale and massing, particularly in conservation areas further compound the problem.

15) The site area for a single dwelling used in the viability analysis is 285m2 or equivalent to a site approximately 12metres by 24metres and availability of such small sites are the exception rather than the rule. Irregular boundaries and other issues already mentioned require that non standard densities should be used for small schemes.

# The Evidence Based Approach

# EVIDENCE: SCDC SHLAA March 31st 2014

(Publicly available document not attached here for brevity but copy available on request)

16) From page 3 SHLAA:

# Site Size

The PPG5 states that the assessment should normally consider all sites capable of delivering five or more dwellings, but that alternative site size thresholds can also be considered where appropriate. In this assessment, sites of less than 0.25 hectares were removed from the detailed assessment of sites without planning permission (Appendix C), because such sites would be unlikely to yield five dwellings or more, due to the densities which are normally achieved in the district.

17) If 0.25 Ha is unlikely to yield 5 units then a density of 20 per Ha is not acheivable on small schemes. If we then make an assumption that 0.3Ha could yield 5 units this gives us a density of 16.6 Later in the SHLAA it refers to Key service centres having a density of 18 per Ha. 18 per Ha is a regular plot size of 555m2. This is equivalent to a plot size of 15x37 metres.

18) On SHLAA evidence a density of 18 per Ha. should be used for small scheme rather than a standard density of 35 DPH. This has been reflected in the Viability Analysis in Appendix A and the

Summary Overage tables.

# Small Scheme Build Cost

19) The BCIS figures used are not relevant to small developments which can not benefit from large scheme economies of scale. This has a huge effect on the viability analysis and should be changed to reflect scheme size.

20) A relevant figure for small scheme Build Costs is £1150 per sq. metre and this has been reflected in the viability analysis.

# **Benchmark Land Value**

# The Common Sense Approach

21) The industry rule of thumb is that site value is roughly one third of Gross Development Value. This industry standard is coming under pressure from policy contributions and will vary from site to site, however it is a valid ready reckoner.

22) Looking at the Summary Tables above paragraph 5.1.5 in the PBA Response shows that per sq. m Benchmark Land Values for Low, Medium and High value are £159, £317 and £397 respectively.

23) We need to compare those against per sq.m GDV in the residual valuations in the sum of  $\pounds 2,050, \pounds 2,350$  and  $\pounds 2,600$  respectively.

24) This gives us site values as a percentage of GDV as 7.75%, 13.5% and 15.2% respectively. In general terms these are all low, by a factor of at least 2. These benchmark values don't pass the common sense test.

# Evidence Based Approach

EVIDENCE: DCLG Land Value Estimates for Policy Appraisal February 2015

(Publicly available document not attached here for brevity but copy available on request)

# Ref: ISBN 978-1-4098-4508-9

https://www.gov.uk/government/uploads/system/uploads/attachment\_data/file/407155/February\_20 15\_Land\_value\_publication\_FINAL.pdf 25) This DCLG Document confirms a land value of  $\pounds 1,330,000$  per Ha for Suffolk Coastal. Affordable Housing is excluded from the values stated, therefore these numbers are relevant for the 10 unit viability examples. We should take this average as the mid value and apply similar sized bands to the existing benchmark values either above or below  $\pounds 1,330,000$  for Low and High Benchmark Values.

26) This gives us Benchmark Values per Ha of £830,000 (Low), £1,330,000 (Mid) and £1,580,000 (High).

27) If we apply the achievable density of 18DPH and 90sq.m dwellings then this gives per sq. m Benchmark Land Values of £512 (Low), £820 (Mid) and £975 (High).

28) Using the rule of thumb as above gives a percentage of GDV for land as 24.9%, 34.8% and 37.5% respectively. These figures pass the common sense test.

29) The revised Benchmark Land Values and Density of dwelling per hectare for small schemes have been used in the Summary Overage Tables overleaf.

## **Summary**

30) Viability Appraisals supporting the Summary Overage Tables below have been included in Appendix A.

31) These Appraisals take the form of the PBA Response appendix B Appraisals, however have been changed to reflect the stated residual valuation model used (para 3.1.1 of the PBA Response).

32) For consistency the PBA ResponseViability Appraisal numbers have been used and areas which could be subject to minor tweaks have been left unchanged. Through the Viability Analysis and the carry forward values to the Summary table below, the only changes made are to Density, Small Scheme Build Cost and Benchmark Land Value for the reasons explained above.

## Suffolk Coastal Low Value Area 10 and 11 Unit Schemes

(Including Allowance for Code for Sustainable Homes Level 4 & Ministerial Statement Revisions)

			Total Floor Space per sq.m	CIL Chargeable Floor Space per sq.m		d Value After ibutions (b/f)	DCLG Feb 2015 based Benchmark Suffolk Coastal		Overage	
	No of Dwellings	Density	Floor Space	Floor Space	Per Ha	Per sq.m	Per Ha	Per sq.m	Per Ha	Per sq.m
Suffolk Coast	al Low Value							-		-
	10	SHLAA	900	900	-£1,501	-£1	£830,000	£512	-£831,501	-£513
	11	Standard	990	660	£703,374	£223	£830,000	£263	-£126,626	-£40

## Suffolk Coastal Mid Value Area 10 and 11 Unit Schemes

(Including Allowance for Code for Sustainable Homes Level 4 & Ministerial Statement Revisions)

			Total Floor Space per sq.m	CIL Chargeable Floor Space per sq.m	Residual Lan Policy Contr		Bonchmark Suttolk		Overage	
	No of Dwellings	Density	Floor Space	Floor Space	Per Ha	Per sq.m	Per Ha	Per sq.m	Per Ha	Per sq.m
Suffolk Coast	al Mid Value									
	10	SHLAA	900	900	£329,222	£203	£1,330,000	£820	-£1,000,778	-£617
	11	Standard	990	660	£1,292,102	£410	£1,330,000	£422	-£37,898	-£12

## Suffolk Coastal High Value Area 10 and 11 Unit Schemes

(Including Allowance for Code for Sustainable Homes Level 4 & Ministerial Statement Revisions)

			CIL Total Floor Space per sq.m Clargeable Floor Space per sq.m Clargeable Floor Space per sq.m Clargeable Floor Space Policy Contributions (b/f) Clargeable Policy Contributions (b/f) Clargeable Policy Contributions (b/f)		k Suffolk	Overage				
	No of Dwellings	Density	Floor Space	Floor Space	Per Ha	Per sq.m	Per Ha	Per sq.m	Per Ha	Per sq.m
Suffolk Coast	al High Value			•		•		•		<b>!</b>
	10	SHLAA	900	900	£604,811	£373	£1,580,000	£975	-£975,189	-£602
	11	Standard	990	660	£1,775,453	£564	£1,580,000	£502	£195,453	£62

33) The Summary Overage Tables above show that there is not an overage to provide for CIL on small developments.

34) These Viability Appraisals are based on assumptions and the viability of individual schemes must be considered on a case-by-case basis, however, the picture from above is quite clear and happily it is in line with Central Government thinking.

35) If SCDC goes ahead with setting a CIL rate then it should be BOTH informed by the above Viability Appraisals AND it should be in line with Central Government thinking. On the basis of the evidence available the CIL rate for small schemes should be set at zero.

Jonathan Woodruff Director Geo Estates Ltd 6<sup>th</sup> March 2015

# APPENDIX A

Viability Analysis 10 and 11 unit schemes Low, Mid and High Value

## Suffolk Coastal – Low Value

### 10 Unit Scheme

### **Development Value**

Private Units	No of units 10	Size sq.m 90	total sq.m 900	£/sq.m 2050	Total Value £1,845,000
Social Rent	0	0	0	823	£0
Affordable Rent	0	0	0	1293	£0
Intermediate	0	0	0	1528	£0

£1,845,000

-£875

-£42

-£834

### (GDV) VALUE OF COMPLETED DEVELOPMENT SCHEME

### **Development Cost**

...

. .

Site Acquisition SEE BELOW Site Value SEE BELOW **Build Costs** total sq.m Build Cost (units as above) £/sq.m Private Units 900 1150 1035000 Social Rent 0 1150 0 Affordable Rent 0 1150 0 Intermediate 0 1150 0 Build Cost £1,035,000 Additional Build Costs Plot External 15% Build Costs £155,250 Code for Sustainable Homes £2,004 per unit £20,040 Professional Fees 8% Total Build Costs £96,823 Contingency 5% Total Build Costs £60,515 **Developer Contributions** S106 £1,000 per unit £10,000 CIL £0 per sq.m £0 Sale Costs Legals £500 per unit £5,000 Sales agents fees 1.25% £23,063 Marketing costs £1,000 per unit £10,000 **Developers** Profit Based on percentage of GDV 20.00% Private £369,000 Affordable 6.00% £0 Finance APR PCM 7.00% 0.565% £61,185 LESS DEVELOPMENT COSTS, PROFIT and POLICY COSTS £1,845,875

# EQUALS REMAINDER FOR LAND Less Purchaser Costs 4.75% SITE VALUE SITE VALUE 1.75%

Residual Land Value per Hec	tare (Carry Forwa	rd to Summary)	
Site Value	-£834		
Units	10	Carry Forward	d to Summary
Site Value per plot	-£83	Residual Land Value per Hectare after Policy Contributions	-£1,501
SHLAA Density per Hectare	18		

Suffolk	Coastal -	Low	Value

Using SCDC/PBA Figures

£1,737,417

## 11 Unit Scheme

## Development Value

Private Units	No of units 7.33	Size sq.m 90	total sq.m 660	£/sq.m £2,050	Total Value £1,352,385
Social Rent	0	0		£718	£0
Affordable Rent	2.93	90	264	£1,128	£297,454
Intermediate	0.73	90	66	£1,333	£87,578

## (GDV) VALUE OF COMPLETED DEVELOPMENT SCHEME

	Site Acquisition	SEE BELOW							
	Site Value	SEE BELOW							
Build Costs	Private Units	(units as above)	total sq.m 660	£/sq.m 861	Build Cost £568,002				
	Social Rent			861	£				
	Affordable Rent		264	861	£227,046				
	Intermediate		66	861	£56,568	0054.045			
Additional Bu	ild Costs Plot External Code for Sustainable Homes	15% Build Costs £2,004 per unit	Bu	ild Cost		£851,615 £127,742 £22,044			
Professional	Fees	8% Total Build Costs				£80,112			
Contingency		5% Total Build Costs				£50,070			
Developer Co	ontributions								
	S106 CIL	£1,000 per unit £0 per sq.m				£11,000 £0			
Sale Costs									
	Legals Sales agents fees Marketing costs	£500 per unit 1.25% £1,000 per unit				£5,500 £16,905 £7,330			
Developers P									
	Based on percentage of GD Private Affordable	20.00% 6.00%				£270,477 £23,102			
Finance									
	APR 7.00%	PCM 0.565%				£44,208			
LESS DEVE	LOPMENT COSTS, PROFIT a	and POLICY COSTS					£1,510,105		
EQUALS RE	MAINDER FOR LAND					_	£227,311		
		Le	ess Purchaser (	Costs	2.75%		£6,251		
		SI	TE VALUE				£221,060		
Residual La	Residual Land Value per Hectare (Carry Forward to Summary)								

Site Value	£221,060		
Units	11	Carry Forward to	o Summary
Site Value per plot	£20,096	Residual Land Value per Hectare after Policy Contributions	£703,374
Standard Density	35		

## Suffolk Coastal – Mid Value

## 10 Unit Scheme

### **Development Value**

	No of units	Size sq.m	total sq.m	£/sq.m	Total Value
Private Units	10	90	900	2350	£2,115,000
Social Rent	0	0	0	823	£0
Affordable Rent	0	0	0	1293	£0
Intermediate	0	0	0	1528	£0

£2,115,000

### (GDV) VALUE OF COMPLETED DEVELOPMENT SCHEME

	Site Acquisition	SEE BELOW					
	Site Value	SEE BELOW					
Build Costs	Private Units	(units as above)	total sq.m 900	£/sq.m 1150	Build Cost 1035000		
	Social Rent		0	1150	0		
	Affordable Rent		0	1150	0		
	Intermediate		0	1150	0		
Additional Bu	uild Costs Plot External Code for Sustainable Homes	15% Build Costs £2,004 per unit	Βι	uild Cost		£1,035,000 £155,250 £20,040	
Professional	Fees	8% Total Build Cost	S			£96,823	
Contingency		5% Total Build Cost	S			£60,515	
Developer Co						640.000	
	S106 CIL	£1,000 per unit £0 per sq.m				£10,000 £0	
Sale Costs							
	Legals Sales agents fees Marketing costs	£500 per unit 1.25% £1,000 per unit				£5,000 £26,438 £10,000	
Developers P		,					
	Based on percentage of GD Private Affordable	20.00% 6.00%				£423,000 £0	
Finance							
	APR 7.00%	PCM 0.565%			_	£78,875	
LESS DEVE	LOPMENT COSTS, PROFIT a	and POLICY COSTS					£1,920,940
EQUALS RE	MAINDER FOR LAND					_	£194,060
		L	.ess Purchaser	Costs	5.75%		£11,158
		s	SITE VALUE				£182,901
Residual La	nd Value per Hectare (Carry	/ Forward to Summary)					

Site Value	£182,901		
Units	10	Carry Forward t	to Summary
Site Value per plot	£18,290	Residual Land Value per Hectare after Policy Contributions	£329,222
SHLAA Density per Hectare	18		

Suffolk Co	astal –	Mid '	Value
------------	---------	-------	-------

Using SCDC/PBA Figures

£1,991,649

## 11 Unit Scheme

## **Development Value**

Private Units	No of units 7.33	Size sq.m 90	total sq.m 660	£/sq.m £2,350	Total Value £1,550,295
Social Rent	0	0		£823	£0
Affordable Rent	2.93	90	264	£1,293	£340,964
Intermediate	0.73	90	66	£1,528	£100,390

## (GDV) VALUE OF COMPLETED DEVELOPMENT SCHEME

			SITE VALUE			Г	£406,089
			Less Purchaser C	Costs	4.75%		£20,251
EQUALS RE	MAINDER FOR LAND					_	£426,340
LESS DEVEL	OPMENT COSTS, PROFIT a	Ind POLICY COSTS					£1,565,308
inance	APR 7.00%	PCM 0.565%			_	£53,976	
-:	Affordable	6.00%				£26,481	
Developers P	Based on percentage of GD\ Private	20.00%				£310,059	
	Sales agents fees Marketing costs	1.25% £1,000 per unit				£19,379 £7,330	
Sale Costs	Legals	£500 per unit				£5,500	
	CIL	£0 per sq.m				£11,000 £0	
Developer Co	ntributions S106	£1,000 per unit				£11,000	
Contingency		5% Total Build Co	sts			£50,070	
Professional	Fees	8% Total Build Co	sts			£80,112	
Additional Bu	ild Costs Plot External Code for Sustainable Homes	15% Build Costs £2,004 per unit				£127,742 £22,044	
	Intermediate		66 Bui	 ld Cost	£56,568	£851,615	
	Affordable Rent		264	861	£227,046		
	Social Rent			861	£		
Build Costs	Private Units	(units as above)	total sq.m 660	£/sq.m 861	Build Cost £568,002		
	Site Value	SEE BELOW					

Site Value	£406,089	
Units	11	Carry Forward to Summary
Site Value per plot	£36,917	Residual Land Value per Hectare after Policy Contributions £1,292,102
Standard Density	35	

## Suffolk Coastal – High Value

### 10 Unit Scheme

## **Development Value**

Private Units	No of units 10	Size sq.m 90	total sq.m 900	£/sq.m 2600	Total Value £2,340,000
Social Rent	0	0	0	823	£0
Affordable Rent	0	0	0	1293	£0
Intermediate	0	0	0	1528	£0

£2,340,000

(GDV) VALUE OF COMPLETED DEVELOPMENT SCHEME

	Site Acquisition	SEE BELOW					
	Site Value	SEE BELOW					
Build Costs	Private Units	(units as above)	total sq.m 900	£/sq.m 1150	Build Cost 1035000		
	Social Rent		0	1150	0		
	Affordable Rent		0	1150	0		
	Intermediate		0	 uild Cost	0	C1 025 000	
Additional Bu	uild Costs Plot External Code for Sustainable Homes	15% Build Costs £2,004 per unit	Ы			£1,035,000 £155,250 £20,040	
Professional	Fees	8% Total Build Costs	i			£96,823	
Contingency		5% Total Build Costs	;			£60,515	
Developer Co							
	S106 CIL	£1,000 per unit £0 per sq.m				£10,000 £0	
Sale Costs	Legals Sales agents fees Marketing costs	£500 per unit 1.25% £1,000 per unit				£5,000 £29,250 £10,000	
Developers P	rofit						
	Based on percentage of GDV Private Affordable	/ 20.00% 6.00%				£468,000 £0	
Finance							
	APR 7.00%	PCM 0.565%			_	£93,617	
LESS DEVE	LOPMENT COSTS, PROFIT a	and POLICY COSTS					£1,983,495
EQUALS RE	MAINDER FOR LAND					_	£356,505
		Le	ess Purchaser	Costs	5.75%		£20,499
		SI	TE VALUE				£336,006
Residual La	nd Value per Hectare (Carry	/ Forward to Summary)					

Site Value	£336,006		
Units	10	Carry Forward to Summary	/
Site Value per plot	£33,601	Residual Land Value per Hectare after Policy Contributions £604,81	ĩ
SHLAA Density per Hectare	18		1

Suffolk	Coastal -	High Value	
•••••	•••••		

**Using SCDC/PBA Figures** 

£2,203,344

### 11 Unit Scheme

### **Development Value**

Private Units	No of units 7.33	Size sq.m 90	total sq.m 660	£/sq.m £2,600	Total Value £1,715,220
Social Rent	0	0		£823	£0
Affordable Rent	2.93	90	264	£1,430	£377,091
Intermediate	0.73	90	66	£1,690	£111,033

## (GDV) VALUE OF COMPLETED DEVELOPMENT SCHEME

	Site Acquisition	SEE BELOW					
	Site Value	SEE BELOW					
Build Costs	Private Units	(units as above)	total sq.m 660	£/sq.m 861	Build Cost £568,002		
	Social Rent			861	£		
	Affordable Rent		264	861	£227,046		
	Intermediate		66	861	£56,568	C0E1 C1E	
Additional Bu	ild Costs Plot External Code for Sustainable Homes	15% Build Costs £2,004 per unit	Bui	ld Cost		£851,615 £127,742 £22,044	
Professional	Fees	8% Total Build Cost	s			£80,112	
Contingency		5% Total Build Cost	S			£50,070	
Developer Co	ntributions S106 CIL	£1,000 per unit £0 per sq.m				£11,000 £0	
Sale Costs							
	Legals Sales agents fees Marketing costs	£500 per unit 1.25% £1,000 per unit				£5,500 £21,440 £7,330	
Developers P	rofit						
	Based on percentage of GD\ Private Affordable	V 20.00% 6.00%				£343,044 £29,287	
Finance							
	APR 7.00%	PCM 0.565%			_	£62,117	
LESS DEVE	LOPMENT COSTS, PROFIT a	and POLICY COSTS					£1,611,302
EQUALS RE	MAINDER FOR LAND					-	£592,042
		L	.ess Purchaser C	Costs	5.75%		£34,042
		s	SITE VALUE				£557,999
Residual La	nd Value per Hectare (Carry	/ Forward to Summary)					
Site Value	£557 990	a					