

| Rep ID | Details |
|--------|---|
| 5381 | <p data-bbox="233 241 1265 315">(Object) Section 3: Evidence and Rate Setting - Community Infrastructure Levy Preliminary Draft Charging Schedule</p> <p data-bbox="233 376 662 427">Respondent: Mrs Carol Florey [166] Received: 21/6/2014 via Web</p> <p data-bbox="233 443 1430 573">1. Felixstowe should not be within the low band of charges. This will encourage developers to flood our town with applications whether appropriate or not. Your document clearly says 'parts of Felixstowe' so why does the whole of Felixstowe have to come within this band? I am aware the Core Strategy states the need for housing in Felixstowe and as such surely a mid range charge would provide better profit for the council!</p> <p data-bbox="233 629 1441 680">2. Comparison retail should not be zero rated. Businesses should be zero rated but comparison retail should not be any different to convenience retail.</p> <div data-bbox="233 696 1430 965" style="border: 1px solid black; padding: 5px;"> <p data-bbox="233 696 547 723">Full Text: (Show Full Text)</p> <p data-bbox="233 725 1398 855">1. Felixstowe should not be within the low band of charges. This will encourage developers to flood our town with applications whether appropriate or not. Your document clearly says 'parts of Felixstowe' so why does the whole of Felixstowe have to come within this band? I am aware the Core Strategy states the need for housing in Felixstowe and as such surely a mid range charge would provide better profit for the council!</p> <p data-bbox="233 911 1369 963">2. Comparison retail should not be zero rated. Businesses should be zero rated but comparison retail should not be any different to convenience retail.</p> </div> <p data-bbox="233 981 443 1008">Changes to Plan:</p> <p data-bbox="233 1010 727 1037">1. Felixstowe should be within the mid range</p> <p data-bbox="233 1061 1023 1088">2. Comparison retail should be charged the same as convenience retail</p> |