

Rep ID	Details
5424	<p data-bbox="225 237 1425 315">(Object) Section 3: CIL Rates - Community Infrastructure Levy Draft Charging Schedule</p> <p data-bbox="225 376 632 405">Respondent: Mr Harry Pynn [273]</p> <p data-bbox="225 405 592 434">Received: 6/10/2014 via Web</p> <p data-bbox="225 441 1222 495">Repeat objection to Adastral Park zero rate CIL from preliminary draft charging schedule. Thank you for your response to my previous objection.</p> <p data-bbox="225 495 1414 600">The s106 provisions can potentially be reduced by the developers lobbying that their development will not be viable. Adastral Park borders a high value residential area and it should also be high value. BT have assured us all about the fantastic quality development they have in store for us. At least with CIL for high value residential the levy will be non-negotiable.</p> <div data-bbox="225 611 1441 889" style="border: 1px solid black; padding: 5px;"> <p data-bbox="229 618 541 647">Full Text: (Show Full Text)</p> <p data-bbox="229 647 1230 676">Repeat objection to Adastral Park zero rate CIL from preliminary draft charging schedule.</p> <p data-bbox="229 676 847 705">Thank you for your response to my previous objection.</p> <p data-bbox="229 705 1433 882">I am concerned that because they are site specific, the s106 provisions can potentially be reduced by the developers lobbying that their development will not be viable. Any developer can argue that their proposed development is not viable yet developers make profits otherwise they wouldn't do it. They aren't doing it to be altruistic. They will do anything to suggest that their development is not viable. Since Adastral Park borders a high value residential area it should also be high value. Especially since the nice folks at BT have assured us all about the fantastic quality development they have in store for us. At least with CIL for high value residential the levy will be non-negotiable.</p> </div>