

101402905

Revision 01

ECOLOGY WORKING GROUP

NOT PROTECTIVELY MARKED

Meeting:	8 th October 2024, 10:00-16:00
Location:	Hybrid: Sizewell C MCA / MS Teams
Chair:	James Meyer (JM) - ESC
Attendees:	<p>SZC Voting member – Steve Mannings (SMan), SZC Observers - Nick Stayt (NS), Alan Lewis (AL), Jack Raven (JR), Natalie Clifton (NC), Alison Milward (AM)</p> <p>SCC Voting Member – Andrew Murray-Wood (AMW),</p> <p>ESC Voting Member – James Meyer (JM) ESC Observer – Naomi Hayes (NH), Richard Hunt (RH)</p> <p>Natural England Voting member - Patrick Robinson (PR) Natural England Observer – Charis Russel-Smith (CRS), David White (DW), Sean Mahoney (SMah)</p> <p>Environment Agency voting member–Chris Strachan (CS) Environment Agency Observer - Ayden Hassan (AH),</p> <p><u>Invited parties</u> RSPB – Adam Rowlands (AR) Suffolk Wildlife Trust – Ben McFarland (BM) Forestry England – Neal Armour-Chelu (NAC) National Trust – Richard Gilbert (RG), Claire Wright (CW)</p>
Apologies:	N/A

101402905

Revision 01

ECOLOGY WORKING GROUP

NOT PROTECTIVELY MARKED

Meeting Notes:

SZC PROJECT UPDATES (SM)

SMan provided an update related to the works on site which were supported by the latest aerial imagery from Sizewell C's drone surveys. The following updates were provided against the main areas of the site:

Main Development Site

- **Temporary Construction Area (TCA):** Early-stage earthworks are underway to prepare key areas of the temporary construction area. This activity will continue for the next couple of years to establish the site, involving large scale earthworks.
 - **Early Access Road:** Progressing rapidly, with two-thirds of the work completed on approach to the Sizewell Marshes Site of Special Scientific Interest (SSSI) crossing.
 - **Infilling and Levelling:** This has been taking place across large parts of the site as it is required to create a base for the concrete batching plant.
 - **Contractor Compounds:** a number of contractor compounds have been established.
- **Ancillary Construction Area (ACA):** Almost completely cleared, with the Sizewell C main site office and hub located here with access of King George's avenue.
- **Main Construction Area (MCA):**
 - SSSI permanent land-take area: Entire footprint almost fully cleared. The aim is to complete this by the end of the month (October 2024). Works carried out subject to relevant protected species licences
 - SSSI temporary land-take: Work ongoing within retained SSSI corridor to clear patches of reeds and scrub to ground level while leaving trees intact as per approved SSSI method statements. Aim of this exercise is to temporarily reduce attractiveness of retained SSSI land within order limits to breeding birds
 - Sheet pile trials have started, testing hydraulic, vibration, and hammer methods. All three methods will be used as needed across MDS based on geology, logistics and noise/disturbance impact.
 - The coastal footpath diversion is constructed but not yet in use, with implementation expected Q4 2024.
- **Planned Work over next 6 months:**
 - Continuation of site access road, earthworks across TCA etc as outlined above
 - SSSI crossing/Sizewell drain diversion/environmental barrier: To start late Q4 2024 and continue throughout next year. Some of these aspects subject to grant of relevant environmental permits
 - Temporary Sea Defence: Scheduled to start Q1 2025 beginning in the north and working south.
- **Water Supply:**
 - Two abstractions are proposed to supply water for dust suppression activities. The northern abstraction is currently operational with commissioning works for the southern abstraction commencing later in October 2024. The southern abstraction involves an above-ground pipe crossing the Sizewell Marshes SSSI.
 - Northern water management zone construction will start winter 2024, providing resilience for next summer. Pump testing for a temporary borehole in the south corner of Ashwood will also commence this week.
 - SZC investigating feasibility of new temporary borehole from the Crag located at Ash Wood to supply high grade industrial water to site from summer 2025 prior to availability of temporary desalination.
- **Other matters:**
 - SMan noted there is currently around 600 people on site, having peaked briefly at around 700 the previous month. The workforce is expected to ramp up to between 1,200-1,500 in 2025.
 - SMan also noted that Pontins Pakefield has around 500 existing units. The site is no longer open to public

101402905

Revision 01

ECOLOGY WORKING GROUP

NOT PROTECTIVELY MARKED

bookings and is being refurbished by SZC for use during construction. Current SZC occupancy – 50 units.

Q&A session:

- AR inquired about abstraction volumes of the two abstractions compared to previous licenses, taking into account seasonality. SMan explained that the impact of the two abstractions is less as limit remains the same and the new abstraction is spread over 12 months whilst the previous abstraction was limited to 6 months. AR raised water quality concerns from existing abstraction points. SMan clarifies that the water, is suitable for dust suppression and is tested regularly and is not a potable water supply.
- A question is raised about providing notification to RSPB for piling trials following the recent agreement for the protocol around UXO detonations. SZC will consider this.

ACTION – SZC to consider providing RSPB with advance notification re. piling trials

- Clarification is sought on the beach area currently fenced off, which is primarily dune and grassland habitats. The public will have access to the shingle and sand beach while the dune area is fenced off for piling works. SMan confirms the new diversion route will be encouraged.
- CS asks if certain piling methods will be prioritised. SMan explains that the trials aim to determine the best mix to meet technical and operational requirements while minimising noise impact.
- BM inquired about the Ash Wood borehole's location and its relation to the DCO Order limits. SMan clarifies that it is within the Order limits in the southwest corner of the site next to Ash wood.
- SMan noted there would be some piling associated with the construction of the railway route as it progresses through the site. BM queried the piling along the railway line near Kenton Hills and its potential impact on bat commuting routes and potential roost features in oak trees along northern boundary. SMan stated there will be a lot of piling carried out across the site which was considered in the EIA. All such works will comply with DCO requirements (e.g. CoCP, TEMMP) as well as other consents (e.g. bat licence).

STAKEHOLDER UPDATES (INCLUDING LOCATIONS CONSIDERED IN NEED OF ADDITIONAL MITIGATIONS)

NC sought updates on observations on recreational matters from each of the organisation presented.

• **RSPB (AR)**

- AR envisions focusing on access routes into Minsmere, specifically the two roads from Westleton and Eastbridge. Attention is needed on these roads and access points, as well as formal and informal car parking around heathlands. There is a mix of dedicated and informal parking, and the goal is to formalise these arrangements. Some areas, previously used for timber removal and other activities, are now being utilised as informal parking spots as clearing have been created.
- AR suggested it is challenging to make decisions without understanding the bigger picture from other stakeholders, including input from the National Trust etc
- AR noted that to the south, attention is needed on the northern extent of Sandlings SPA, particularly around Aldringham Walks and access along the beach. Additionally, in the south, National Grid projects are identifying routes through the area. This could be challenging, and it is necessary to consider the cumulative effect over time.
- SMan suggests marking up a plan following input from all parties, developing a long list of potential interventions, and examining the interfaces between the different landowners. This is needed to collectively assess the cost and determine if it is a reasonable expenditure.

- NC suggest all member parties of the MMP discussion produce a map that can be collated into one comprehensive document. NC will send out a link to software used to identify locations, and stakeholders are to work on their areas and provide feedback within the next couple of weeks. **Suffolk Wildlife Trust (BM)**

101402905

Revision 01

ECOLOGY WORKING GROUP

NOT PROTECTIVELY MARKED

- BM notes that at Dingle, where there is an access track between Dunwich Forest and Dingle, there has been an increasing members of the public jumping over the fence to walk through the marshes as a shortcut to a car park.
- BM stated that at Darsham Marshes, there is a nature reserve with a small car park that cannot accommodate more visitors. If any modelling indicates an increase in visitors, it would be problematic.
- BM noted for Hazelwood Marshes, the DCO modelling looked at the estuary as a whole for displacement. BM suggests there would likely be differences between displacement to the north and south banks in, affecting not just Hazelwood but other marshes and reserves as well. If modelling indicates that the north bank would receive more visitors than the south bank, this could have a substantial impact and the reserves.
- AR mentions that the route with the track at Dingle Marshes is the proposed route for the King Charles III Coast Path. Natural England has determined that this area is outside the spreading room for disturbance and safety grounds. They are considering some form of interpretation at the access point to clarify that access does not extend to the seaward side of the path. This reinforces the need for coordination with Natural England, which is on the verge of dedicating sections of the coast path and planning to install signage and interpretation as part of that initiative.

- **Natural England (SMah)**

- SMah states that their primary concerns are related to the impacts on the Sizewell Marshes SSSI and its designated features. Specifically, they are worried about the permissive footpath between Leiston Common, Sizewell Marshes, and Kenton Hills, and the potential for dogs to encroach on the SSSI from the footpath. They question whether wardening will be effective in managing this.
- DW points out that there are several other NSIP projects with interconnectors at various stages of the NSIP process, which are not as advanced in terms of detail. This presents an opportunity to be proactive.
- AL inquiries about the landholding at Dunwich Road car park, asking if there has been any consideration regarding its purpose, the need for enhancements, or any restrictions on signage. DW will follow up on this with the reserve manager.
- SMan reminds group that the context is the impact on European protected sites, not national ones and therefore a focus would not be on the Sizewell Marshes SSSI.

- **National Trust**

- RG will share locations of vehicle and bicycle counters with NC, which are expected to be installed in November 2024.

ACTION – RG and NC to discuss and share data on vehicles and bicycle counters

- This year has been positive for breeding (birds), with significant heather recovery reflected in increased bird breeding data. Notable 2 Stone Curlew.
- RG noted that NT are currently recruiting for a range of positions with interviews scheduled in a couple of weeks. One of the roles will involve practical and monitoring work, and it would be beneficial to coordinate with the SZC warden (once appointed). They also plan to appoint a project manager to be the main contact for SZC, this will likely in December 2024.
- RG noted high compliance with dogs on leads at Dunwich Heath (at least 80 percent) based on surveys. Noting this was probably an underestimate.
- Signage and RAMS are important for interpretation and messaging. They already have significant signage and would like to align and understand how this will look across the area. While signage is good, having more people to engage with visitors would be beneficial.

101402905

Revision 01

ECOLOGY WORKING GROUP

NOT PROTECTIVELY MARKED

- Parking is a concern, with issues of fly parking and antisocial behaviour in the past.

ACTION – NC and RG to liaise on interaction, wardening, and collaboration with the new National Trust project manager role

- RG stated the focus of National Trust is working on the King Charles III path and wider car park works starting in November. The key focus is on wardening, understanding pressures, and linking them to potential effects.
- SMan raised that it seemed that from the discussion NT were not aware of any physical works required at this stage and that perhaps NT were of the view that no funds were required from the European Sites Access Contingency Fund as part of the initial measures to address existing issues within the NT Ownership. RG agreed that there was nothing in the form of physical works to be funded by the European Sites Access Contingency Fund, considered the works NT are progressing already. AR noted that it is important to consider potential knock on effects of other mitigation that may be agreed.

- **Forestry England (NAC)**

- NAC mentions that Dunwich Forest is situated between sensitive European sites and has the potential to serve as a mitigation site, but would require investment to support increased use. The area is currently subject to dog fouling, fly-tipping, and fly parking along the main road.
- NAC noted additional wardening efforts combined with mitigation measures would be welcome at Dunwich Forest.
- SMan suggested Sizewell C examining the Dunwich Forest area to determine how much it could utilise it as a green space area for Sizewell C construction workers. NAC noted that while much of the forest is robust and can accommodate casual recreation, there are sensitive areas with heathland habitats and ground-nesting birds.

Action - Sizewell C to review Dunwich Forest as an area of recreational resource

- NAC inquiries about the MMP and the proposed watching brief (wardening). NAC noted he would be grateful to know the baselines and thresholds for initiating actions. AL noted that Sizewell C is committed to monitoring as detailed in the Mitigation and Monitoring Plans (as part of the DCO) to determine if reactive mitigation is needed. As a minimum, Sizewell C will be using automated people counters (APC). When the warden collects data, they will also inspect for changes in behaviour, damage, dog fouling, etc. NC mentions that all APCs require data collection, which Sizewell C intend to do monthly. This provides an opportunity to inspect the site and identify any signs of detrimental effects.
- NAC asked if there is an established protocol for data gathering at these sites. NC confirms that while the primary data is footfall, the focal data will come from qualitative assessments, including questionnaires and observations. There are five key indicators of detrimental effects identified in the previous baseline reporting, which will be the focus, along with photographic evidence. Full questionnaire surveys will gather additional data. The methodology remains the same, but they aim to ensure comprehensive data collection through site observations.
- AL noted that the goal is to move away from triggers, and focus on defining advanced mitigations. Monitoring will detect any material changes, and questionnaires will help understand the true displacement caused by the development.

101402905

Revision 01

ECOLOGY WORKING GROUP

NOT PROTECTIVELY MARKED

- **Suffolk Coastal Recreational Disturbance Avoidance and Mitigation Strategy (RAMS) Update (RH)**

- RH mentions that they are nearing the start of recruitment for two rangers who will cover the entire Suffolk coast and designated areas.
- The integration of monitoring, information sharing, and mapping from this update will be beneficial. RAMS is currently reviewing its existing strategy, and upcoming consultation meetings will incorporate feedback on mapping, areas of concern, and issues discussed today.
- RH has been looking at the interpretation and signage program, aiming to bring consistency and roll it out across the wider area. This ties into the development of the RAMS project website, which is expected to go live by the end of October 2024.
- They have been developing surveys for rangers to conduct across the site. The focus is on integrating these efforts with existing rangers and partners to ensure consistency in the information gathered and the methods used for future interventions.
- NC noted that the latest recreational surveys will be sent out after today's meeting. These surveys will help assess how costs relate to the areas discussed.
- SMan addresses the points raised by RH and NAC regarding the coordination of rangers and the need for a consistent data collection protocol. He emphasises the importance of ensuring everyone is aligned and working from the same guidelines. AR agrees, noting that having a unified approach to human messaging and interaction is crucial.

Decision – NT confirmed that that there was nothing in the form of physical works to be funded by the European Sites Access Contingency Fund as part of the initial measures and the sole focus was on the Minsmere.

ACTION – SZC to share the latest Recreational Monitoring Survey Report

ACTION – RSPB, SWT, Forestry England to provide heat map to flag areas of concern (up to 5 or 6 areas each) as they have noted specific concerns which might need actioning. Once collated sub group members to consider any issues / interfaces on their land with the identified mitigation.

MMP IMPLEMENTATION PLAN (UPDATE AND ACTION REVIEW)

- Lead warden appointment
 - The initial candidate withdrew their application, but a new candidate is being considered. The role will start part-time with plans to increase hours over time.
- Code of Conduct:
 - The code has been updated by the EWG and approved by internally by Sizewell C.. This initiative aims to be operational in Q1 2025.
- Sizewell Beach Car Park Subsidy
 - This initiative aims to fully subsidise parking costs for visitors, starting with a 12-month pilot to assess its impact on visitor volume and potential benefits like reducing informal parking. Approval has been received from the ESC parking services team, approval from this group is needed to recommend the proposal to the ERG. The request is to draw down £30,000 (the expected revenue from March to September). An additional subsidy from Sizewell C will extend the period beyond the bird nesting season, covering a full 12 months. The rationale is to encourage site use despite disruptive works starting in January, allowing for early testing and monitoring. The total subsidy, supplemented by Sizewell C, amounts to £43,500.

101402905

Revision 01

ECOLOGY WORKING GROUP

NOT PROTECTIVELY MARKED

- PR raises a concern about the potential impact on vegetative shingle if visitor numbers increase, leading to trampling and damage. JR responds that the aim is to maintain current visitor levels and that discussions with the RAMS team suggest temporary fencing off of vegetative shingle may be needed. Monitoring surveys will help assess any impact, with more details available once wardening is in place. SMan noted that it has been agreed by all parties that the focus would be to maintain or increase levels at this site noting it is less sensitive than other sites in the area. AR noted that if PR felt this needed to be addressed following the implementation of the subsidy this could be raised as a matter for discussion in future EWG meetings.
- SMan notes that several measures are being implemented simultaneously, including enhancements at Kenton Hills and Wild Aldhurst. AR asks for a timeline for these interventions. SMan agrees to share this noting that the Kenton Hills car park will be ready in January 2025, and additional parking at Wild Aldhurst is under consideration.

ACTION – SZC to share anticipated timing with RSPB in terms of interventions in Kenton Hills and Wild Aldhurst, showing the timelines for delivery

- JM, as chair of the EWG, asked if there are any objections to the Sizewell Beach car park Subsidy as described above for the duration of 12 months starting in January 2025. The subsidy would be partly drawn from the European Sites Access Contingency Fund (£30,000) for 9 months with a separate top up by Sizewell C limited for a further 3 months. With no objections, the proposal is put forward for Environment Review Group sign-off.

DECISION – Sizewell Beach Car Park Subsidy from January 2025 for a period of 12 months (9 months subsidised by the European Sites Access Contingency Fund - £30,000) is agreed and put forward to Environment Review Group for sign-off

- Signage

- JR noted that in a previous EWG meeting, Sizewell C proposed funding for signage templates and artwork to ensure consistent messaging and a uniform look and feel. They plan to develop nine editable signage templates, allowing for customisation of text and images. The brand and visual identity, known as the "Wildlife Wise" colour scheme, has been launched. There is a library of 35 individual species and habitats to be used across the signage.
- SMan noted that for dog walking signage, suggesting that fewer messages might be clearer. JR advocates for a three-sign approach (dogs off lead, dogs on lead, dogs under very close control on short leads), based on best practices and other studies that have been reviewed. A traffic light colour scheme is under consideration. They are also working on ensuring the correct messaging on signs around not disturbing ground-nesting birds.
- JR added that the developing signage content will be brought to the EWG for feedback and comment. A small working group will be formed, including the King Charles III Path team, National Landscapes, RH and SK from the RAMS team, and NC from SZC. The finalisation of templates is expected in December 2024, after which they will be shared offline with the MMP subgroup. The implementation and installation will begin with sites under Sizewell C ownership, which should take no more than a couple of months. Sizewell C Limited will then collaborate with landowners to roll out the signage further. It's important to balance the use of consistent signs across different areas with landowner-specific signs

101402905

Revision 01

ECOLOGY WORKING GROUP

NOT PROTECTIVELY MARKED

- Mitigation and Monitoring Priorities (From Ecology Baseline Data)
 - AL discusses data related to Minsmere SPA (Heathland bird subset). The critical feature here is nightjars, with key considerations being the sensitive habitat that supports these species, their sensitivity to recreational impacts, existing recreational pressures, and the proximity to SZC with significant access. This southern part of Minsmere is a high priority for advanced mitigation, as reflected in its inclusion in MMP North. AR provided his general agreement.
 - AL noted that contrast to this with the wetlands bird subset, which includes breeding species located within core reserves in robust, well-protected habitats that are largely inaccessible to casual recreational access. These areas, also within MMP North, are a much lower priority for advanced mitigation compared to heathland areas.
 - AL highlights the importance of drift lines and sensitive habitats, noting that access points at Dunwich provide immediate access to these habitats, making them a real priority.
 - In Sandlings Central, survey work indicates that the area is relatively remote from Sizewell C and not a primary destination for visitors, who tend to focus on beach locations. Although it sits in MMP South with no advanced mitigation proposed, sensitive species will still require monitoring, particularly in Tunstall Forest.
 - AL noted that as raised by BM earlier in the meeting visual disturbance, especially on the northern bank of the Alde, needs consideration. AL confirmed that there would be no advanced mitigation but there would be monitoring. BM agreed there is no need for advanced mitigation.
 - BM reiterated comments on the north bank, suggesting that current monitoring is adequate for the status quo but emphasises the need for contingency plans for unexpected breeding in the area.
 - SMan states that the goal is to implement mitigation where it is clearly needed, thereby reducing pressure and allowing for intelligent, responsive actions when necessary.
 - Embedding stakeholder feedback is crucial and can be facilitated through this EWG forum or via email for quick review. SMan emphasises the importance of a swift process for specifying physical interventions and obtaining costs, which should take no more than a couple of days. Stakeholders need to be aware of this process, and a mechanism for fast-tracking should be established
 - SMan suggests considering a "quick access fund" for immediate deployment of resources, with approved funding and a streamlined process for quick action. The value of the quick access fund would need to be agreed and approved by the EnvRG. If this fund is approved the EWG will be able to vote on drawing down funds with no further approvals of the EnvRG.

ACTION – Sizewell C Limited and JM to investigate use of a 'quick access fund' with the EnvRG, available for immediate deployment regarding mitigation and monitoring priorities

- Informal parking and access routes
 - NC mentions that Sizewell C are focusing on four areas of informal car parking: Westleton Heath, Minsmere Outer, Aldringham Walks, and North Warren, and are exploring ideas to encourage people to use designated parking areas and discourage parking in undesired locations.
 - Additional areas not listed in the Deed of Obligation and MMP (such as Dunwich Cliffs, Heath, and Beach) are also being considered. This is an opportunity for the EWG to identify other areas of focus.
 - The goal is to create a shortlist and decide on actions to present to the next review group for approval and implementation.
 - Regarding APCs Sizewell C will be looking to coordinate with landowners to seek agreement and to gain access. This process is likely to start the before Christmas.

101402905

Revision 01

ECOLOGY WORKING GROUP

NOT PROTECTIVELY MARKED

- A technical paper will be prepared to consolidate information on the short list, ensuring it is evidence-based. This will take into account ongoing discussions about ecology, concerns, and the heat maps being developed
- Deed of Obligation Payment Process (SMan)
 - SMan summarised the steps for the payment process to draw down from the contingency fund have been outlined.
 - SMan stated these steps include making a recommendation, agreeing on actions within the EWG, and then submitting the recommendation to the ERG for fund release approval. The next steps involve two mechanisms: one for Sizewell C to carry out the works directly, and another for funding to be passed via the local authority, allowing landowners to secure and procure the works themselves.
 - Since there is no prior experience with this commercial exercise, it would be beneficial to outline what this looks like in practice and establish connections with the relevant commercial teams.

ACTION – confirm process for release of funds to landowners to implement agreed mitigation.

- There is a central log where all payment information is recorded by Sizewell C. It's important to account for indexation. SMan noted the fund is a fixed amount with a negative index link applied to any payments made. This adjustment will occur once costs are determined, and the adjusted sum will be recorded in the log.
- This process will evolve as a long list of mitigations is identified and agreed upon. This will use a certain proportion of the total fund and provide clarity on the planned drawdown of funds over the project's lifetime.
- NH notes that ESC's reporting will differ from SZC's. NG will ensure that ESC can meet the agreement to report back to SZC on the fund passing through them.

RECREATIONAL SURVEY – UPDATE PAPER

- NC noted that overall, the statistics have remained largely unchanged from the previous results .
- NC noted the survey provides a direct comparison of the number of people who feel they would be displaced by Sizewell C construction (data from 2021-2023 shows little change). Additionally, the new evidence provides various statistics, including the top three categories for total visitors, reasons for selecting specific locations, and top activities.

NEXT STEPS/AOB AND CLOSE

- AR mentioned the need for a discussion on the operation of the Minsmere Sluice, especially considering the increased flooding last winter, which made the footpath from Minsmere Sluice to Eastbridge inaccessible and underwater..
- It was noted that it would be beneficial to bring Annette Robinson (Suffolk County Council) into this forum to ensure the EWG is fully coordinated with Rights of Way.

ACTION – Engage Annette Robinson from RoWWG to EWG forum to ensure coordination

- MMP Subgroup
 - The action from the last EWG session was to review the composition and responsibilities of different parts of this subgroup.
 - NS noted Core members with voting rights include Sizewell C, ESC, SCC, Natural England and Environment

101402905

Revision 01

ECOLOGY WORKING GROUP

NOT PROTECTIVELY MARKED

Agency, and other members as per Deed of Obligation Schedule 12 include RSPB and Suffolk Wildlife Trust, along with other invited parties including National Trust and Forestry Commission. While these other members do not have voting rights, they are landowners with valuable information that can inform the group and assist core members in making decisions.

- NS noted Core members need to adhere to their responsibilities as outlined in the agreed terms of reference.

101402905

Revision 01

ECOLOGY WORKING GROUP

NOT PROTECTIVELY MARKED

Actions raised during the meeting:

Date Raised	Ref.	Description	Lead	Date Due
08/10/2024	1	SZC to consider providing RSPB with advance notification re. piling trials	Sizewell C Limited	Next Meeting
08/10/2024	2	RG and NC to discuss and share data on vehicles and bicycle counters	Sizewell C Limited & National Trust	Next Meeting
08/10/2024	3	NC and RG to liaise on interaction, wardening, and collaboration with the new National Trust project manager role	Sizewell C Limited & National Trust	Next Meeting
08/10/2024	4	Sizewell C to review Dunwich Forest as an area of recreational resource	SZC & National Trust	Next Meeting
08/10/2024	5	SZC to share the latest Recreational Monitoring Survey Report	Sizewell C Limited	Next Meeting
08/10/2024	6	RSPB, SWT, Forestry England to provide heat map to flag areas of concern (up to 5 or 6 areas each) as they have noted specific concerns which might need actioning. Once collated sub group members to consider any issues / interfaces on their land with the identified mitigation.	Sizewell C Limited	Next Meeting
08/10/2024	7	SZC to share anticipated timing with RSPB in terms of interventions in Kenton Hills and Wild Aldhurst, showing the timelines for delivery	Sizewell C Limited	Next Meeting
08/10/2024	8	Sizewell C Limited and JM to investigate use of a 'quick access fund' with the EnvRG, available for immediate deployment regarding mitigation and monitoring priorities	Sizewell C Limited	Next Meeting
08/10/2024	9	Confirm process for release of funds to landowners to implement agreed mitigation.	MMP Subgroup	Next Meeting
08/10/2024	10	Engage Annette Robinson from RoWWG to EWG forum to ensure coordination	Sizewell C Limited	Next Meeting

101402905

Revision 01

ECOLOGY WORKING GROUP

NOT PROTECTIVELY MARKED

Key decisions made during the meeting:

Date	Ref.	Decision
08/10/2024	1	NT confirmed that that there was nothing in the form of physical works to be funded by the European Sites Access Contingency Fund as part of the initial measures and the sole focus was on the Minsmere.
08/10/2024	2	Sizewell Beach Car Park Subsidy for a period of 12 month from January 2025. 9 months of this would be funded by the European Sites Access Contingency Fund (£30,000).

Author: Caitlin Murphy (SZC)