

Meeting Note



Main Development Forum

Meeting Type:	Main Development Site Forum	Meeting held on:	Wednesday 15 th January 2025
Chair:	Christine Abraham - Chair	Council Representatives:	Cllr Nicky Corbett, representing Leiston-cum-Sizewell Town Council Cllr Stephen Brett, representing Theberton and Eastbridge Parish Council Cllr Charles Macdowell, representing Middleton-cum-Fordley Parish Council Cllr Maureen Jones, representing Aldringham-cum-Thorpe Parish Council Cllr Marianne Fellowes, representing Aldeburgh Town Council Pat Hogan, Sizewell Village Cllr Sarah Whitelock, representing East Suffolk Council
Sizewell C Attendees:	Rick Watler, Site Delivery Lead David Peacop, Site Operations Director Marjorie Barnes, Head of READ Richard Knight, Senior Community Relations Manager Rebecca Quigg, Transport Co-Ordinator David Seal, Off-Site Delivery Manager Dr Stephen Roast, Marine and Environment Manager Stephen Keighley, Rail Lead Zoe Botten, Community Relations Manager Kirsty McMullan, Transport Planning Lead Darren Benford-Brown, Community Safety Officer Jez Porter, Stakeholder and External Roads Lead Spencer Bowdler, Site Operations	Apologies and substitutions:	Cllr T-J Haworth-Culf, representing Suffolk County Council Richard Bull, SZC Head of Planning Knodishall Parish Council
External Attendees:	Imogen Fawcett - SEC Newgate Drew Aspinwall - SEC Newgate		

Meeting Agenda

1. Welcome and Introductions
2. Meeting note and matters arising from Main Development Site Forum (15th October 2024)
3. Report back from: Community Forum, Northern Transport Forum and Southern Transport Forum
4. Community Relations update
5. Main Site Update
6. Marine and Coastal Update
7. Rail Update
8. Traffic and Transport
9. Q&A via Town and Parish Council Representative
10. Dates of Future Meetings

DRAFT Meeting Note – 17/01/2025



Main Development Forum

Minute Ref	Actions/Comments	Who	By when
1	Welcome and Introductions – (Chair)		
1.01	Christine Abraham, ‘The Chair’, opened the meeting and welcomed all attendees, wishing them a happy new year. The Chair introduced the Sizewell C team presenting at the Forum and ran through the venue’s housekeeping arrangements.		
2	Meeting note and matters arising from Main Development Site Forum (15th October 2024) – Chair		
2.01	The Chair noted that the meeting note from the previous Main Development Site Forum was provided in a timelier manner within 4-6 weeks.		
2.02	2.01 – request for an action log. It was confirmed this action is still ongoing and the team are working on getting a clear action log that will clearly provide actions across all forums.		
2.03	2.08 – request for ongoing data collection regarding car sharing It was noted that this is still being agreed how the collection will take place.		
2.04	2.10 – request for Aldringham to have deer signage. This is now completed and signage installed.		
2.05	8.03 – request for Dr Stephen Roast to attend the next Main Development Site Forum (MDSF). Chair noted that Dr Stephen Roast is in attendance and will provide an update on marine issues.		
2.06	8.06 Cllr Brent requested an update on desalination works. It is noted this will be covered in the presentation.		
2.07	8.13 – there was positive feedback from the drone footage at the last MSDF, and a request was made for this to be regular. It is confirmed that the latest drone footage will be shown as part of the presentation.		
2.08	The Chair asks for any other matters arising. Cllr Jones requests that the spelling of Aldringham be corrected on 2.10. This amendment has been made in the final meeting note. It was agreed that the meeting note would be updated to reflect this, and the meeting note was approved.		



Main Development Forum

Minute Ref	Actions/Comments	Who	By when
2.09	<p>Richard Knight (RK) gave an update on ‘you asked, we responded’ from 2024, running through changes to the Main Development Site Forum and other communications.</p> <p>This includes updates on issuing the draft meeting note so it’s available sooner; updates made to the website to improve accessibility and ease of use; attendance of specialists at the MDSF and the new works tracker due to be released in February.</p> <p>He confirmed that the team are also developing an email and SMS notification service so those subscribed can receive notifications / updates when changes are made to the works tracker.</p>		
3.00	Report back from: Community Forum, Main Development Site Forum and Southern Transport Forum – (Richard Knight)		
3.01	<p>RK gave an update on Southern Transport Forum (STF), held on 13th November in which the following points were raised:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Little Bealings Parish Council was welcomed as a new member to the STF. • East Suffolk Housing attended and talked through the housing fund. • Two village bypass works were discussed • The Southern Park and ride was covered which continue at pace and is in the archaeology phase • Orwell logistics park, update was given on 3 warehouses • Update on A12 improvement works and the traffic safety measures • Railway update • Update on traffic monitoring <p>The Q&A includes what traffic monitoring data looked like and when it will be shared, ongoing work, and the Sizewell C code of conduct specially in context of driving conduct</p>		
3.02	<p>RK gave an update on the Northern Transport Forum (NTF), held on 6th November in which the following points were raised:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • MB gave an update • Infrastructure offsite on northern park and ride, • How we are working with other DCO projects • Community safety officer discussed <p>The Q&A focused on requests for updates on timelines for compounds and roll out of vehicle identification plates. Discussions included how the project communicates to communities, and the police attending forums.</p>		
4	Corporate and Community Relations – (Marjorie Barnes)		
4.01	<p>Marjorie Barnes (MB) said that a year on from commencing construction, the Sizewell Team have awarded over £100m in local contracts and have made significant headway in early manufacturing of key components for the power station.</p> <p>MB highlighted this included signing multiple contracts with businesses across Suffolk.</p>		



Main Development Forum

Minute Ref	Actions/Comments	Who	By when
	<p>She gave examples of a £19m contract for Ipswich-based business, Jackson Civil Engineering, and contracts with local third-sector partners including providing a two-year licence to the Royal British Legion Industries to run the Sizewell C site coffee shop, 'Combat2coffee', a community interest company which invests profits to benefit veterans, ex-uniformed personnel, their families and communities.</p> <p>MB encouraged representatives to report back on people applying to the community benefit fund.</p> <p>MB reported that the project have been including articles in parish council newsletters, including the Sizewell Observer.</p> <p>Work on establishing Sizewell Health is underway, designed to serve the workforce and not to add pressure to existing services. MB said that there more information about Sizewell Health going forward.</p>		
4.02	MB discussed how Sizewell is supporting local employment with around 1000 people driving the project forward, estimated to grow to 2000 by the end of the year. This included 61 apprentices with 52 from local schools.		
4.03	MB then reminded the members of the Sizewell C Community Fund which has £2m available to local organisations each year for ten years and encouraged representatives to pass onto their communities details of how to apply and promote this fund		
4.04	MB presented information on workforce welfare and suggested a representative from the welfare team attend a future forum.		
4.05	MB displayed a graph from the results of the SZC Fora Survey results from the Autumn; and explained key feedback received including improving audio and visual, and the quality of presentations.		
4.06	<p>MB then closed the corporate and communications update by reminding representatives of all the different channels available for updates that can be shared with the community.</p> <p>These include:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Information office in Leiston - Works tracker - Mailed out newsletters - Parish newsletters - Forums 		
5	Main Site Update – (Rick Watler, David Peacop)		
5.01	<p>Rick Watler (RW) showed and provided spoken commentary on the drone footage of the offsite work, including the axillary construction area, the temporary construction area, the main access route to site, and the sheet piling work in place needed to build a bridge to the main construction area.</p> <p>The video is available to view at the SZC Information Office.</p>		



Main Development Forum

Minute Ref	Actions/Comments	Who	By when
5.02	A site delivery 'look ahead' was shown. RK confirmed that more specialists will attend community events, and this includes 'Meet the Contractors' events, once they are appointed.		
5.03	A map was shown to highlight where the team have site access in place and where they are to be constructed, plus the management of vegetation on main development site roads.		
5.04	A map was shown to illustrate the Lovers Lane utility diversions and closures. RW describes that coordination is taking place with UKPN, Openreach, Essex and Suffolk Water, and City Fibre to minimise impact where possible.		
5.05	RW moved on explain the Abbey Road works which will take place after the Lovers Lane works are complete. Works on Abbey Road are due to take place March to May.		
5.06	A map was shown to detail the Eastbridge Road utilities diversion.		
5.07	RW showed a map of the Abbey Lane closure and confirmed that another meeting is taking place next week before any closures commence. RW clarifies that while the permission allows the road to close for 2 years, the intent is to reduce it down. The team are committed to working with local stakeholders to try and find solutions and allow access. Further detailed discussions will take place outside of this forum. RW confirmed that the road is too narrow for the site to be just partially closed with traffic lights in place. This is why Abbey Lane needs to be closed.		
5.08	RK provided an update on the Q1 - Q2 works for Sizewell Link Road, including vegetation management, archaeology mitigation, site security and fencing.		
5.09	David Peacop (DP) gave an update on freight management facility and confirmed more detail will be available at the transport forum.		
5.10	DP acknowledged that the buses will be key as the people working on site increases. He confirmed that hydrogen buses have been on trial around county, around 5 vehicles in all; as the fuel supply is stabilised then they will be used more. A trial operation will take place over the next 3 months and this includes the fuel supply.		
5.11	DP then moved on to discuss the vehicle maintenance unit for the buses (Ransomes Maintenance Facility) in Ipswich where training and maintenance will take place.		
5.12	A bus route map was shown to highlight main pick-up points and stressed that only designated bus stops will be used, buses will not be picking people up along the route outside of these stops. This includes passenger services from: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Martlesham P&R 		



Main Development Forum

Minute Ref	Actions/Comments	Who	By when
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Pakefield • Orwell Logistics Park • Ipswich Train Station • Northern park and ride (Darsham) • Southern park and ride (Wickham Market) 		
5.13	The Chair then allows a period for questions given the detail presented.		
5.14	<p>Cllr Macdowell requests to see the Abbey Road closure again and highlighted it would be helpful if road numbers are given in addition to the names.</p> <p>Cllr Macdowell asks what contingencies have been made for emergency services, citing an example of the police headquarters in Halesworth.</p> <p>DP confirms that the approach nationally is to maintain access to emergency vehicles.</p> <p>Cllr Macdowell asked if a full map can be provided, and this is confirmed by DP.</p> <p>ACTION: DP to provide a map.</p>	SZC	
5.15	<p>Cllr Corbett raised a concern with how residents will cope with works being on and off for the foreseeable with the amount of activity, and an increase in traffic going through Leiston.</p> <p>DP responded that the decision was taken to move car parking away from Leiston due to this issue. He confirmed the team have been briefed to go left out of the carpark, and some have been disciplined for turning right into the town. He also said that coordination will be very important to minimising impacts.</p> <p>ACTION: An action is taken to provide a further briefing on the upcoming transport forums.</p> <p>Cllr Corbett then asks why the Lovers Lane scheme is not shown in the timeline.</p> <p>RW confirms it's part of what is known as the 'minor roads package' and is still out for supplier tender. When the contractor is appointed, they will be able to give a more comprehensive update on how the phasing will take place.</p> <p>The appropriate transport forums will be used to provide the further detail.</p>	SZC	
5.16	<p>Cllr Fellowes expressed thanks at the date of the meeting being brought forward considering the number of changes and level of activity.</p> <p>Cllr Fellowes raised the increase in glow and light pollution across the community and asked what night working has been arranged to manage this.</p> <p>ACTION: An action is taken to provide an update on glow</p> <p>Cllr Fellowes also raised concern over the number of vehicles parked at Yoxford and said that each worker seemed to be coming in their own vehicle. She checks if they can car share and if these vehicles can qualify for the number stickers.</p>	SZC	



Main Development Forum

Minute Ref	Actions/Comments	Who	By when
	<p>ACTION: An action is taken to update on this at the appropriate transport forum.</p> <p>Cllr Fellows then asks to know the status of the recent archaeological find of coins and queries if work should be reevaluated as a result.</p> <p>MB responses that the coin collection is now with the British Museum and flags the upcoming session at the Waterloo centre on 22nd and 23rd February 2025 with archaeologists. The archaeologists have also been approached about future sessions to share finds with local people.</p>		
5.17	<p>Cllr Hogan checks if the drone footage and slides are available in the office in Leiston High Street.</p> <p>RK confirmed it is.</p>		
5.18	<p>Cllr Whitelock commented that she saw two large HGVs passing each other casing an obstruction, and requests more information on what the stickers look like and what vehicles they go on.</p> <p>DP responded that stickers will go on plates on back of HGVs and LGVs of regular deliveries, stickers can't be fixed permanently to vehicles that are not part of the Sizewell fleet, there are ongoing issues to resolve this and will update as thing progress.</p> <p>DP added if Cllr Whitelock can provide the photo and number plate it will be followed up to see ownership.</p> <p>Cllr Whitelock confirms she will send a photo that was taken of the HGVs in question.</p> <p>AFTERNOTE: The photos were examined by Spencer Bowdler (SB) who later confirmed these vehicles were not related to SZC.</p> <p>The contact details to make an enquiry or to report any issue is:</p> <p>info@sizewellc.com 0800 197 6102 Or use the short form at www.sizewellc.com/contact-us/</p> <p>Please include any details such as VRN, location, date and time.</p>		
5.19	<p>Cllr Jones asked about vegetation at Southern Park and Ride, questioning why it's been cut down, and are their plans for it to be replaced?</p> <p>DP replied that logistically to access areas trees and hedges need to be taken out for the work to take place. Now the design has developed they are reviewing DCO plans to ensure as much can be left as possible.</p> <p>Cllr Jones asked what screening will happen at the park and ride.</p> <p>DP comments that at future meetings we can have a specific item on the park and rides.</p> <p>ACTION: to include park and ride at the next MDSF</p>	SZC	



Main Development Forum

Minute Ref	Actions/Comments	Who	By when
5.20	<p>Cllr Brett asked how the one-way diversion on the East Bridge Road will be advertised so people know in advance.</p> <p>DP confirmed various people need to be spoken to regarding it, now they have got the period down to a minimum they are ready for the next stage.</p>		
6	Marine and Coastal Update (Dr Stephen Roast)		
6.01	<p>SR recaps the work that is happening in the marine area including the following which are ordered chronologically in the sequence they will take place.</p> <p>Temporary:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Temporary Sea Defence • Desalination plant intake and outfall • Combined Drainage Outfall • Marine Bulk Import Facility <p>Permanent:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Beach Landing Facility • Cooling Water Intake Shafts / Heads • Cooling Water Outfall Shafts / Heads • Fish Recovery Return Outfalls • Hard Coastal Defence • Soft Coastal Defence 		
6.39	<p>SR then moves on to discuss the marine works that are planned for 2025.</p> <p>Unexploded ordinate (UXO) surveys are still ongoing. UXO identification will take place from March – June, and clearance will follow from June – August. There is a hierarchy in place of how to deal with UXO consisting of leave, move, low order (a lower energy means of disarming the explosive), and detonation. Each one increases in underwater noise and detonation is the last option.</p> <p>In the second half of the year, preparation will also be starting on the desalination plant and in Q4 it is expected to start work on the temporary marine bulk import facility.</p> <p>SR comments that the North Sea is a protected are for marine mammals so extreme care is taken during these works.</p>		
6.44	<p>SR talks through the approximate dates for the marine works programme. He comments the marine work happens early in the overall programme as they are needed to facilitate construction.</p>		
	<p>Cllr Hogan requests slides are sent to her.</p> <p>ACTION: RK confirms the slides will be on the website and he will send Cllr Hogan a link.</p>	SZC Team	
7	Rail Updates – (Stephen Keighley)		



Main Development Forum

Minute Ref	Actions/Comments	Who	By when
7.01	<p>Stephen Keighley (SK) opened the rail update by commenting that 2025 is a key year for the rail on the project and by 2026, the project is due to be using the railway for deliveries.</p> <p>SK displayed a 'plan on page' which showed the work due to happen in 2025. He summarised the engagement taking place with Network Rail and Balfour Beatty. SK gave an overview of the programme saying that in February 2025 activity will accelerate with the upgrade of the branch line itself, and vegetation works taking place. He confirmed this work is to mobilise for May 2025 when the main upgrade works will happen at the western end of the branch line.</p> <p>After May 2025, the primary focus will be upgrading the branch line itself.</p> <p>SK also commented that the team are closely coordinating the phasing of activities, as previously mentioned under agenda item 5 with the Lovers Lane and Abbey Road roadworks. SK said this is with the goal to make the work as undistruptive to the local community as possible.</p>		
7.02	<p>SK showed a timeline explaining the phasing of the level crossing works and explained how it would minimise impacts. He confirmed work is ongoing to determine what road closures are needed and how to coordinate them with the other closures.</p> <p>SK commented that over the next few months there will be limited road closures to complete the design activity.</p>		
7.03	<p>SK took the opportunity to remind the attendees that branch live is a live railway and requests they remind residents to 'stay safe and stay off the railway'.</p>		
8	Traffic and Transport – (Kirsty McMullan / Rebecca Quigg)		
8.01	<p>The Chair confirmed there is a change to presenters and Kirsty McMullan (KM) will be covering this item rather than Richard Bull.</p>		
8.02	<p>RQ shows the transport monitoring report from Q4 2024 covering September to November.</p> <p>She confirms HGV and LGV movements are well below the early years cap.</p>		
8.03	<p>Rebecca Quigg (RQ) says that traffic counts have been proactively implemented following discussion with parish councils. This includes 30 count sites that are operational.</p> <p>RQ commented that additional count sites and the survey results will be discussed and presented at the Transport Working Group the following evening (16th Jan).</p>		
8.04	<p>RQ presents a map displaying where the transport working groups are taking place to discuss improvements. These improvements include:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • New and enhanced pedestrian footways and crossings • New road surfacing 		



Main Development Forum

Minute Ref	Actions/Comments	Who	By when
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Village gateways Safety improvements and side road visibility <p>RQ closed the traffic and transport update by showing the upcoming meeting dates for the Transport Working groups, and commented that as contractors are decided for local road schemes, they will also be attending these meetings.</p>		
9	Q&A via Town and Parish Council Representatives – (Chair)		
9.01	<p>Submitted by Aldeburgh Town Council</p> <p>Q.1 Temporary and permanent sea defence We understand from the Environment Agency that you have submitted an application for installation of an 800m temporary sea defence (steel sheets). Please explain why this is needed now, how it will interface with the permanent sea defence and its design, and the methodology for installation (working hours, methods of piling etc). Can you also please explain how you will mitigate noise/light pollution and reduce impact on beach users and the marine environment during construction.</p> <p>Response: SR replied that the Environment Agency application was an additional standard consent and is not new. All the potential impacts were captured within the DCO including the temporary sea defence, and specific details of the sheet piling were added during the examination phase.</p> <p>Cllr Fellow asked for further clarification on the timeline and highlights it would have been helpful to cover the temporary sea defense in the presentation. She also comments more detailed design is needed for the public to understand when work will start and how it will impact them.</p> <p>SR refers to the slide with the program on which was provided on agenda item 6.</p> <p>ACTION: more detail will be provided in the next MDSF on the program for marine works</p> <p>Cllr Hogan thanks the team for arranging for SR to attend and explain.</p> <p>Q.2 Impact of and opportunity for local workers Please confirm how many workers/contractors are on the SZC project, split by % white versus blue collar, and length of contract/task? How many were recruited from the IP15 postcode and were resident at the point of application and how many are temporarily occupying accommodation in IP15 versus travelling daily? What welfare services are provided to contractors and does this include changing facilities, as they appear to wear soiled overalls when out in the community after shifts?"</p> <p>Response: MB responded that the split is office-based roles are 75% and construction related roles are 25%. Contract lengths vary across the roles and phases of the project. For example, bespoke work requires shorter contracts.</p> <p>There is a commitment to recruit over 2000 of the future workforces and 540 of the 1500 apprentices from the county of Suffolk. Currently, 0.5% of workforce are for IP15 home address.</p>		



Main Development Forum

Minute Ref	Actions/Comments	Who	By when
	<p>MB then recapped the team take welfare of the workforce seriously and are committed to and currently providing a range of facilities, along with having clear plans to develop more, including:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> On-site catering offering meals and refreshments. Dedicated rest areas for breaks during the working day. Comprehensive changing facilities with lockers and showers. <p>MB reminded members that a member from the welfare team can attend a future forum if this is a topic of interest.</p> <p>Cllr Fellows asks for exact survey results and questions to be shared with members, and the information to be updated in each meeting.</p> <p>ACTION: AFTERNOTE: it was agreed after the meeting that updates from the employee survey will be shared regularly with the members but not be added as a recurring agenda item.</p>	AFTERNOTE SZC	
9.02	<p>Submitted by Pat Hogan, Sizewell Residents</p> <p>Q1). Can we have a clear picture of what is entailed in the marine works, with outline timetable, and including any dredging, and any marine work required for the desalination plant?</p> <p>Response: this was provided in item 6.</p> <p>Q2). What were the results of the feedback on the Forum?</p> <p>Response: this was provided in item 3. The Chair also explained the independent chairs have reviewed the 2024 forums, alongside East Suffolk District Council to provide feedback on future improvements.</p>		
9.03	<p>Submitted by Cllr Nicky Corbett from Leiston-cum-Sizewell Town Council</p> <p>Q1) Please can you provide a copy of the emergency plan for this area (following your consultation with the emergency services)? Cakes and Ale park and a number of individual dwellings are accessed via Abbey Lane and Buckleswood Road and are now surrounded in every direction by temporary infrastructure activities (which will also surely impact on tourism routes to the coast, especially from the holiday park).</p> <p>Response: this was covered in agenda item 4. DP clarified emergency services are subject to a 21-day consultation period to review road closures and national requirements mean they will always have access</p> <p>Q2) How will traffic from SZA/B, usually travelling to Saxmundham and the A12 via Abbey Lane, be discouraged from using King George’s Avenue, Sizewell Road and Leiston Town Centre, which is already becoming troublesome at peak times, due to SZC traffic?</p>		



Main Development Forum

Minute Ref	Actions/Comments	Who	By when
	<p>Response: SB encouraged people to report trucks to the community team and the transport team will respond to this. He recaps that all HGVs are tracked and given clear routing information.</p>		
9.04	<p>Submitted by Cllr TJ Haworth-Culf SCC</p> <p>Q1). What can Sizewell C do to assist Leiston and the surrounding towns and villages in getting a NHS dental service back within the town or nearby. Many do not drive and can not afford private or monthly care. Can Sizewell C work with the local town and parish councils and schools to help with funding with this please.</p> <p>Response: MB responded that dentistry is being explored as an option on site, and as a result the team will review community use.</p> <p>Q2). And a comment/question about the rising number of empty business premises happening locally. Is there something that Sizewell C etc need that can be accomplished/used by everyone within the communities that could be accommodated in these empty buildings. I've had contact about access to cakes and ale caravan site being closed for 2 years? Can you provide an update as social media is catching onto it.</p> <p>Response: MB confirmed there is a diversion is in place for Cakes and Ales Caravan site so people are able to access the business. Regarding the empty business, MB commented that the team are conscious of not taking over Leiston by occupying more buildings. Aside from the building neighbouring the office, there is no active exploration into renting other premises.</p>	DP / SZC	
9.05	<p>Submitted by Cllr Charles Macdowell from Middleton-cum-Fordley Parish Council</p> <p>Q1) What is the planned scale and duration of the use of Littlemoor Road from Middleton Moor and Norwood House to access the new compound and SLR works? This is causing considerable congestion and anxiety to residents.</p> <p>Response: DP confirmed a follow up visit will take place with Cllr Macdowell.</p> <p>Q2) What is the status of the SZC Traffic Incident Management Plan, and how was it enacted when the B1122 was blocked near Leiston Abbey by an accident on Tuesday 7 Jan between 4.30 - 6.45pm?</p> <p>Response: SB confirms that operation SWORD (Sizewell operational road and diversions) is in place to work in conjunction with site management plan.. When raised senior leadership is informed.</p> <p>In the instance mentioned, the team were made aware of the blockage due to potential HGV movements, then the control room and management were informed. The traffic management incident plan was not implemented on this occasion due to the time of day and no planned HGV movements within the vicinity.</p> <p>Cllr Macdowell asked for clarification on workforce leaving the site during the accident on 7 Jan.</p>		



Main Development Forum

Minute Ref	Actions/Comments	Who	By when
	SB confirmed operation SWORD meant they knew how many people were left on site and communications were issued to expect delays. This included a reminder to turn left, and not right into Lesiton.		
9.06	<p>Submitted by Theberton and Eastbridge Parish Council</p> <p>Q1). With regards to the planned closure of part of Abbey Lane for up to 2 years; please can you explain why it is necessary to make this planned road closure? Abbey lane is used by local people and tourists as a route to Saxmundham and the A12 it is also used by local farms as a vital link for farms either side of the B1122. The planned diversion using George Road to Moat Road, which are both single lane are also designated quite lanes are unsuitable for large agricultural vehicles so this will probably mean most diverted traffic will instead go through Leiston and this would put a large strain on Leiston road systems. Can you please also explain why there was no consultation with affected communities about this road closure?</p> <p>Response: RW acknowledged communications had room for improvement and that the SZC team will endeavour to ensure the times the road is closed is minimise.</p> <p>Q2). Please can you confirm that there will be at least one road open at all times from the B1122 to Saxmundham (Pretty Road, Moat Road or Abbey Lane) without going through Leiston or via the A12 at Oxford during the build and before the opening of the SLR?</p> <p>Response: DP confirmed the above.</p>		
9.7	<p>The Chair thanked members who pre submitted questions and noted that when questions are pre-submitted it allows for the team to consult with specialists and provide a more detailed response in the forum.</p> <p>The Chair also highlighted that the community team have received queries from residents, and that for residents to be able to ask a question in the forum they can put it to their local representative.</p> <p>The Chair then noted the time of the meeting and stated she will take one succinct question per representative.</p>		
9.8	<p>Cllr Jones raised her concern on the marine developments and requests the forum continue to be kept up to date on marine works. She says there are four substantial communities along the coast who will be impacted by this work.</p> <p>SR responded that he is happy to attend future meetings in and outside the forum as arranged. He explained there is extremely comprehensive monitoring in place approved by marine management and the local authorities. He commented there is thorough reporting in place and the baseline for this will be reported all through construction, operation, and decommissioning.</p> <p>Action: SR offered to attended meeting with residents of Aldringham upon request.</p>	SZC / Cllr Jones	
9.10	Cllr Brett asked what's happening to the soil that was shown in the drone footage.		



Main Development Forum

Minute Ref	Actions/Comments	Who	By when
	RW confirmed it's a case-by-case basis. Topsoil is retained in some areas. When there are permanent assets such as the Sizewell link road, it will not be retained. However, when it is temporary work, it will be going back.		
9.11	<p>Cllr Corbett commented that there are concerns from residents regarding noise on the railway work that will take place overnight. She requested further information on what will happen with properties that fall outside the noise monitoring.</p> <p>SK said as part of the DCO obligations there is a need to develop a rail noise mitigation plan, this is currently with East Suffolk Council to review. Certain commitments are made in this document, and while work will create some disturbances, concerns will be taken on board to try and keep disruptions to a minimum.</p> <p>SK confirmed that work will be happening overnight to speed up works and avoid longer closures.</p>		
9.12	<p>Cllr Whitelock thanked SR for his update and asks for further information on the sewage discharge including where it's coming from, is it treated, how far is it being discharged and how much is there?</p> <p>SR responded that it is treated and will need to be permitted by the environment agency. As part of the DCO a water quality assessment must be done which is currently underway.</p> <p>SR confirmed it is coming from the site and says he will need to come back on the specific numbers of flow rates.</p> <p>AFTERNOTE</p> <p>The contractor provided the following information:</p> <p>The sewage treatment plants for SZC will treat the water to the level required by the Environment Agency to make it suitable for discharge into the sea in Sizewell Bay. The discharges will be permitted under a Water Discharge Activity (WDA) permit by the Environment and be required to meet the required standards with monitoring undertaken to demonstrate this.</p> <p>The site will have 3 treatment plants in total.</p> <p>Two will be based on a form of package sewage treatment plant which that will provide the equivalent of primary and secondary treatment of the foul water from the eastern areas of the Sizewell construction site. As stated, secondary treatment provides the necessary level of treatment to make it safe to be discharged to sea and must, as a minimum, meet the standards set by the Environment Agency in the WDA permit.</p> <p>The third treatment plant will treat the water to a higher level than required by normal Environment Agency discharge requirements and will include screening, primary, secondary and tertiary treatment with disinfection required for water re-use on site.</p>	AFTERNOTE SZC	
9.13	<p>Cllr Hogan asked a question regarding accessibility of information via the resident's association and who is invited to meetings.</p> <p>She also asked if the coastal erosion monitoring extends to Thorpeness.</p>		



Main Development Forum

Minute Ref	Actions/Comments	Who	By when
	<p>SR confirms it doesn't extend past Thorpeness but will send the zone.</p> <p>ACTION - to send Cllr Hogan zone.</p>		
9.14	<p>Cllr Fellows commented that she believes the desalination plant will now be permanent</p> <p>SR confirmed that the desalination plant will only be needed during construction and confirmed they must stop discharging from the desalination plant before they can start unit one.</p> <p>Cllr Fellows suggested that the format residents are being kept up to date with transport may also work well regarding marine work.</p> <p>Cllr Fellow then requested more information on BNG and suggests this can be a future agenda point in future.</p> <p>The Chair confirmed this will be noted for a future meeting.</p> <p>ACTION – BNG to be on a future agenda for MSDF</p>		
9.15	<p>Cllr Macdowell asked if all HGV contractors will have some form of identification to show they are from Sizewell C.</p> <p>DP confirmed they will be identified at the front; they cannot be on the back. This will happen in the next few months. He also explains the delay on this is because the freight centre is delayed the stickers have been delayed.</p>		
10	Dates of Future Meetings – (Chair)		
10.01	It was confirmed the next MDSF will be on 5 th April 2025		