

Revision 01

## SIZEWELL C – TRANSPORT REVIEW GROUP

### NOT PROTECTIVELY MARKED

|            |                              |   |
|------------|------------------------------|---|
| Date:      | 28/03/2024                   |   |
| Location:  | Microsoft Teams meeting      |   |
| Attendees: | East Suffolk (ESC)           | Carolyn Barnes (CB), Naomi Goold (NG), Rebecca Brooks (RBr)   |
|            | National Highways (NH)       | Thomas Lawman (TL)  |
|            | Sizewell C (SZC)             | Richard Bull (RB), Chris Heaney (CH), Simon Middleton-Burrows (SMB), Nick Cottman (NC), Niki Pieri (NP), Alex Fairhead (AF), David Stuart (DS), John Davies (JD), Pat McGuire (PMcG) Stephen Keighley (SK), James McLeod (JMcl) |
|            | Suffolk Constabulary (SC)    | Leigh Jenkins (LJ), Kevin Stark (KS)  |
|            | Suffolk County Council (SCC) | Steve Merry (SM), Isaac Nunn (IN), Julia Cox (JC), Neal Evans (NE), Michael Moll (MM), John Jousiffe (JJ)   |
|            | Invited Observers            | Scottish Power: David Lewis (DL), Paul Monaghan (PM), Andrew Ross (AR)  |
|            | Apologies:                   | Simon Middleton-Burrows (SMB), Richard Bull (RB)  |

## 1 INTRODUCTION

The following is a record of discussion of the Transport Review Group (TRG) meeting on 28<sup>th</sup> March 2024. This has been prepared for discussion at the next meeting on 25<sup>th</sup> April 2024.

## 2 NOTES OF DISCUSSION

These are shown in **Table 2.1** overleaf.

Revision 01

## SIZEWELL C – TRANSPORT REVIEW GROUP

### NOT PROTECTIVELY MARKED

Table 2.1 – Meeting Notes – 28/03/2024 TRG

| Item |                               | Summary                     |  | Lead(s) |
|------|-------------------------------|-----------------------------|--|---------|
| 1    | Introductions                 | 1.1                         | Introductions were made by all. Sizewell C confirmed that Niki Pieri (NP) was standing in for Richard Bull (RB) and John Davies for Simon Middleton-Burrows (SMB). This was noted.   | -       |
|      |                               | 1.2                         | SM confirmed that Scottish Power would be attending the TRG going forward, as discussed at recent coordination meetings between SZC / SCC / Scottish Power. This was useful to keep all parties up to date on the respective construction programmes and off-site highway improvement works. This was noted.   | -       |
|      |                               | 1.3                         | SM confirmed the agenda had been issued by SZC on 21 <sup>st</sup> March 2024 and would be issued by SZC going forward.  | CH      |
| 2    | Notes of the previous meeting | 2.1                         | Minute 4.1, 1 <sup>st</sup> bulletpoint – CH confirmed that the request for a response on the “indicative programme of dates / traffic routing for rail and road schemes” had been provided to SCC direct by JD. This was noted.   | -       |
|      |                               | 2.2                         | Minute 4.1, 3 <sup>rd</sup> bulletpoint – Pat McG confirmed that an update on the Delivery Management System tracking element would be provided to the next meeting of the TRG in April 2024. This was noted.  | Pat McG |
|      |                               | 2.3                         | Minute 4.1, 4 <sup>th</sup> bulletpoint – SM asked if a long-term forecast of deliveries by mode and direction could be provided by SZC. JD confirmed this would be reviewed by SZC and reported to the next meeting.  | JD      |
|      |                               | 2.4                         | Minute 5.5 – CH confirmed that weekly updates from Sizewell C on any HGV breaches was being explored by the Delivery Coordinator, and Sharepoint as a potential alternative to reducing emails. No further update.   | Pat McG |
| 3    | Decisions required by TRG     | <i>Early Site Accesses:</i> |  |         |
|      |                               | 3.1                         | CH introduced the item on the Early Site Accesses. A vote was required by TRG to enable limited use of some specific local highway routes to enable HGV access for advanced works to 8 No. construction sites. Technical Note 05 had therefore been submitted to TRG for approval.   | -       |
|      |                               | 3.2                         | SM was concerned at use of Littlemoor Road for HGVs given its narrow carriageway width. CH confirmed this had been discussed with the local highway and planning authorities at a briefing meeting on 26 <sup>th</sup> January 2024 and vehicle tracking would be provided to SCC to provide further review of each route’s suitability to accommodate a low loader. | -       |

## SIZEWELL C – TRANSPORT REVIEW GROUP

### NOT PROTECTIVELY MARKED

|          |                                    |            |  |                |
|----------|------------------------------------|------------|--|----------------|
|          |                                    | <b>3.3</b> | SM considered that Willow Marsh Lane could be accepted for 2-3 No. HGVs for a short period but would not be accepted for high volumes of construction traffic. This was noted.   | -              |
|          |                                    | <b>3.4</b> | SM confirmed that further information was requested on the total construction traffic forecast by SZC for the site operational phases, as well as the data on mobilisation and demobilisation provided in TN05. This was need before TN05 could be approved and it was agreed that the next TRG receive an updated report on this for approval.<br><br><i>TRG Vote – not approved.</i>   | JMcL / CH      |
|          |                                    | <b>3.5</b> | <b>CWTP – Early Action Plan:</b><br>CH introduced the item. The DCO for Sizewell C required the TRG to agree the Early Action Plan within the Construction Worker Travel Plan (CTWP) within 3 No. months of commencement. This would be a ‘living document’ to be implemented by Sizewell C throughout the construction period with regular updates on performance against targets provided to TRG meetings. The Plan was approved.<br><br><i>TRG Vote – approved unanimously.</i>   | -              |
|          |                                    | <b>3.6</b> | <b>AIL Escort Guide:</b><br>LJ introduced the item on behalf of Suffolk Constabulary. The Constabulary required a temporary change to the AIL escort guide requirements set out in the CTMP until 1 <sup>st</sup> April 2024 regarding AIL movements along the B1122. It was proposed that TRG permit a temporary increase in the vehicle width threshold from 2.9m to 3.5m requiring escort. This was agreed on the basis that AIL requirements for SZC revert to those in the CTMP from 1 <sup>st</sup> April 2024.<br><br><i>TRG Vote – approved unanimously.</i> | -              |
| <b>4</b> | <b>Transport Monitoring Report</b> | <b>4.1</b> | CH confirmed that the TRG had received 2 No. monitoring reports this month in respect to January and February data. SM requested that the TRG be permitted two weeks to review the reports. This was agreed and SCC would revert with their observations after the meeting.  | <b>SM</b>      |
|          |                                    | <b>4.2</b> | CH confirmed that the installation of Automatic Traffic Counters at the main development site had been delayed and that this was being prioritised for installation as soon as possible. An update would be provided to the next TRG.  | <b>DS</b>      |
|          |                                    | <b>4.3</b> | PMcG confirmed that use of the Delivery Management System was continuing in respect to deliveries, and it would also provide mode share data. CH confirmed this could be used in addition to the Workforce Travel Surveys to aid in the ongoing monitoring and review obligations of the TRG in respect to CWTP mode share attainment. DS confirmed the Workforce Travel Surveys were due for issue to workers in Q2 2024.   | <b>CH / DS</b> |
|          |                                    | <b>4.4</b> | CH confirmed no issues had been reported with fly parking in the months of January and February 2024, but some occurrences of HGV routing off prescribed routes had occurred. Remedial action had been taken immediately by SZC with the supply chain communicated to. This was noted.   | -              |

Revision 01

## SIZEWELL C – TRANSPORT REVIEW GROUP

### NOT PROTECTIVELY MARKED

|     |  |                          |  |            |
|-----|--|--------------------------|--|------------|
| 5   | Sizewell C Programme Updates   | <b>Main site update:</b> |  |            |
|     |  | 5.1                      | NP confirmed that Sizewell C would updating its Implementation Programme in due course.  | NP         |
|     |  | 5.2                      | NP confirmed work was ongoing with SCC to finalise the Detailed Design packs for the AD6 works and that Sizewell C will provide updates to the community regarding implementation of the works.  | Sizewell C |
|     |  | <b>Bus update:</b>       |  |            |
|     |  | 5.3                      | <b>Bus update:</b><br>DS provided an update: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Phase 1 of Sizewell C’s internal bus strategy (between MCA and TCA) would commence from April 2024 with a Hydrogen bus pilot planned for August 2024.</li> <li>Phase 2 was targeted for commence in June 2024, including 1 No. additional service;</li> <li>Tendering for main bus contract incorporating main P&amp;R services to begin in Q2 – looking to appoint by early 2025; and</li> </ul> This update was noted. | -          |
|     |  | <b>Rail Update:</b>      |  |            |
|     |  | 5.4                      | SK provided an update: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Sizewell C was making good progress with the AD4 rail programme, with GRIP 3 signed off in January 2024 and GRIP4 submitted to Network Rail for acceptance regarding the Saxmundham level crossing works; and</li> <li>Level Crossing Order – consultation was due to start in April – May 2024. Sign off for this was targeted for November 2024.</li> </ul> This update was noted.   | -          |
| 5.5 | LJ sought clarification on whether AILs at the Middleton Moor level crossing would need to wait for confirmation to proceed over the line before doing so. SM confirmed that was something that should be explored by the Constabulary outside the Group and direct with Network Rail. | LJ                       |  |            |

## SIZEWELL C – TRANSPORT REVIEW GROUP

### NOT PROTECTIVELY MARKED

|   |                                |     |  |   |
|---|--------------------------------|-----|--|---|
| 6 | Stakeholder Updates            | 6.1 | <u>SCC</u> – SM confirmed that review work was ongoing in respect to the A12 Road Improvement scheme, including receipt of a scoping report for the Lionlink which would cross the rail route and the Sizewell C link road. Work was ongoing and SCC would engage with its delivery partners at the appropriate point.   | SM  |
|   |                                | 6.2 | <u>ESC</u> – CB confirmed there were no updates from ESC on transport matters, with resource continuing to be dedicated to the Sizewell C project.   | -   |
|   |                                | 6.3 | <u>NH</u> – TL confirmed Orwell Bridge inspections were completed in early May and it would continue to work with SCC, SZC and ESC if any future traffic incidents occur on the SRN, via the agreed Traffic Incident Management Plan communications protocol.  | TL  |
|   |                                | 6.4 | <u>SC</u> – LJ confirmed the Constabulary had received reports of potholes from the public along sections of the A12. SM confirmed that such enquiries should be routed through its highways helpdesk reporting tool. This was noted.  | LJ  |
| 7 | Transport Groups and Forums    | 7.1 | <p>CH confirmed:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>There had been no meetings of the Transport Working Groups during the last reporting period (February 2024).</li> <li>Sizewell C was currently prioritising the next round of meetings. An update would be provided on this shortly.</li> <li>The Northern and Southern Transport Forums met in February 2024 and provided useful feedback in respect to Sizewell C’s delivery tracking obligations, recent reported increases in LGV movements and feedback on the latest stage of a range of on and off-site infrastructure projects, including the B1122 re-surfacing works.</li> <li>The minutes of the Northern and Southern Transport Forums were in draft for review with the respective independent chairpersons of each Forum, and would be circulated by SZC shortly.</li> </ul> | -<br>CH<br>-<br>SZC<br>Community<br>Relations |
| 8 | Updates from Invited Observers | 8.1 | <p>Scottish Power provided a brief update on the EA1N/2 programme:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Work was progressing with ecology, archaeology and other works including sub-stations.</li> <li>March 2025 was being targeted for off-site highway improvements, which would need further collaboration with SCC on potential traffic management elements.</li> <li>Ongoing coordination work was also being progressed with SZC in respect to the Friday Street Roundabout and the A1094 / B1069 junction.</li> <li>Work on the haul road was progressing with July 2025 the next key milestone for review.</li> </ul>  | Scottish Power                                |
| 9 | AOB                            | 9.1 | SM confirmed the next meeting was diarised as 25 <sup>th</sup> April 2024. The agenda and notes of this meeting would be circulated by Sizewell C in advance.  | CH  |