Appendix A - Summary of benefits/weighting

| _ | | |
|----|----|-----|
| ٧. | ca | IĐ. |

No weight/harm/benefit

Minimal weight/harm/benefit

Medium weight/harm/benefit

Substantial weight/harm/benefit

Very Substantial weight/harm/benefit

| Benefit | Appellant Weighting | LPA Weighting | Appellant Comment |
|---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|------------------------------------------------------------------------------|----------------------------------------------------------------------|-------------------|
| Delivery of allocations ISPA4.1 and SCLP12.24 | Very Substantial benefit | Very Substantial <u>harm</u> (due to alleged conflicts) | |
| Housing delivery | Very Substantial in the event of a 5 Year land shortfall, due to para 11(d). | Very Substantial benefit (IBC area, in light of 5 year supply) | |
| | Medium benefit (ESC area) | Substantial benefit (ESC area) | |
| Ability of the Appeal Scheme to increase delivery over and above Local Plan assumptions. | Substantial benefit (IBC area) | Very substantial <u>harm</u> | |
| | Medium benefit (ESC area) | | |
| Affordable Housing Delivery | Very Substantial benefit | Very Substantial benefit | |
| 10% Biodiversity Net Gain (on-site and off- site) | Medium benefit | Medium benefit | |
| Additional on-site ecology measures | Minimal benefit | | |

| | | I | |
|----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-----------------|-----------------------------------------------------|-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| Assisting in addressing a shortfall in Youth play opportunities | Medium benefit | | |
| Improved opportunity for participation in sport. | Medium benefit | | |
| Improved access to open space for existing residents. | Minimal benefit | No benefit | |
| Measures designed to support healthy communities. | Minimal benefit | | |
| Contribution to the "Green Trail" policy objective. | Medium benefit | Minimal benefit | |
| Improved availability of local facilities for existing residents | Minimal benefit | Minimal benefit | |
| Economic benefits | Medium benefit | Minimal benefits (construction activity only) | |
| Community infrastructure | Minimal benefit | Minimal benefit | |
| Contributions to health care, libraries, school places, and waste | No benefit | No benefit | |
| ADDITIONAL ITEMS | | | |
| Archaeological investigation | | Minimal benefit | I agree with Ms Evans |
| Improved accessibility for wider community between the Appeal Site and town centre (as per Mr Cantwell Forbes) | | | I agree with Mr Cantwell Forbes, and would assign this as a medium benefit, on the basis that whist the overall effects would be a substantial benefit, the Appeal Scheme would be only a part contributor to delivery. |

| Other local pedestrian/ | | Minimal benefit, as these |
|-------------------------|--|---------------------------|
| cycle improvements | | are likely to be smaller |
| that may be agreed as | | scale and more localised |
| part of the | | improvements. |
| s106/conditions | | |
| package. | | |
| | | |