From:

Sent:20 February 2017 13:37To:suffolkcoastallocalplanSubject:Melton Neighbourhood Plan.

**Categories:** Red Category

Dear Hilary and team, thank you for the opportunity to comment on the above.

I make these comments constructively as opposed to destructively from someone who was born in the village 60 years ago and has resided with my family and been active in supporting its needs and activities both physically and financially since 1978.

The plan is in my opinion a "inventory check" & statement of where we are at when at the time it was produced. I am extremely concerned by the promotion that " we must get this document past in order that we can stop all the proposed housing developments "

This mantra is the 3 line whip being broadcast and published by the Chairman and Melton Parish Council. Which I am sorry to say is not what I would hope and perceive a NP should be aspiring to achieve in promoting a happy contented ,thriving , stimulated and effective community environment for future generations .

It is my concern that Melton Parish Council have failed to show how they intend to maintain and enhance the treasures they have inherited for future generations.

Two examples spring readily to mind, the Burness Rooms and the Melton Community Playing field, the later is described in the document as being the "Jewell in the Crown of the village"

At this time the Burness Rooms could use wisely spent investment to enhance and expand this unique gift that the village owns.

Melton Community Playing Field, over the last 5 years approximately 20% of this asset and treasure has become unusable because of the lack of investment in drainage and maintenance.

What is more surprising from a NP and Parish Council that is against overtly identifying land for much needed housing (as declared in HM Govt statute) however to their credit still aspire to maintain the valuable green open spaces they Melton Parish Council and their propose NP are advocating the building on and removal of a large section of the playing field to erect a 2 store substantial building and car parking at a potential cost of at least £1.2 million to Melton residents .

This proposal that has had no publicised business, or community case made or discussed neither has at meaningful financially planning for construction and future maintenance been prepared or published for the community to digest.

Indeed this lack of financial planning is amply illustrated by MPC legally signing up for a 2 year contract on offices recently within Woodbridge when no overt transparent enquiries were made or published to find suitable accommodation & premises in Melton or indeed share such premises within the locality or perhaps to invest the £16000 PA being currently paid in rent for the benefit of community , for example , if this type of accommodation was so urgently needed why could this money not have been invested in the Burness Rooms which would have been a long term investment for Melton as opposed to a substantial spending of village assets , also £7.5k was spent without overt financially audit on the plans for the undemocratic proposed development on the Community area.

The proposal to develop and destroy part of "The Jewell in the Crown of Melton" is in direct conflict with :-

The NP, Policy Mel 8 section 3 (page 26)

I am sorry to say that this conflict appears in a number of areas within the proposed NP this is both upsetting and causing concern for my family and the future of many Melton residents. I have spoken to and discussed these issues with .

Because of the aforementioned I would request that this NP is not adopted as it does not have an overt coherent practical housing and planning policy for the future needs of Melton plus there is an obvious conflict on what are acceptable areas for future housing and development within the village as is illustrated by the proposal to build and destroy part of the only green and unspoilt recreation area in the village and this is being proposed by the custodians of this asset namely Melton Parish Council and at the same time adopting the "NIMBY" principles and ignoring the commercial and housing needs of others , this strikes of an attitude of "Don't do as I do but do as I say !

Thank you for your time and deliberations & please could I be informed of the decision under Regulation 19.

Gratefully yours.
Allan Cole

From: Allan Cole

**Sent:** 21 February 2017 07:32 **To:** suffolkcoastallocalplan

**Subject:** Fwd: Melton Neighbourhood Plan.

Categories: Red Category

Dear Hillary and team.

I apologise for this second email, I have omitted in error a small section which I would request is read in conjunction with my main response dated 20.2.17 (as below)

I would like to draw to your attention the following as illustrating the lack of cohesion and conflicted strategy and thinking for the future of Melton Community Playing field.

Page 78 .Focus Point 5 "The playing field is a great asset let's look after it"

Page 84 points 10, 15, 17 & 18.

To propose building an unwarranted 2 storey vanity project which i would suggest is a Trojan horse project to obtain "Melton Parish Council Chambers" by slight of hand on this area appears to be in direct conflict to the previously highlighted points in the NP as well approximately 20% of the field becoming a quagmire and therefore unusable through lack of maintenance and drainage does not appear to be the best example of "The playing field is a great asset, let's look after it ".

Thank you for your patience and understanding with regards to this additional material.

Allan Cole

## Begin forwarded message:

From: Allan Cole

**Date:** 20 February 2017 at 13:36:33 GMT **To:** suffolkcoastallocalplan@eastsuffolk.gov.uk

Subject: Melton Neighbourhood Plan.

Dear Hilary and team, thank you for the opportunity to comment on the above.

I make these comments constructively as opposed to destructively from someone who was born in the village 60 years ago and has resided with my family and been active in supporting its needs and activities both physically and financially since 1978.

The plan is in my opinion a "inventory check" & statement of where we are at when at the time it was produced.

I am extremely concerned by the promotion that "we must get this document past in order that we can stop all the proposed housing developments"

This mantra is the 3 line whip being broadcast and published by the Chairman and Melton Parish Council. Which I am sorry to say is not what I would hope and perceive a NP should be aspiring to achieve in promoting a happy contented ,thriving , stimulated and effective community environment for future generations .

It is my concern that Melton Parish Council have failed to show how they intend to maintain and enhance the treasures they have inherited for future generations .

Two examples spring readily to mind , the Burness Rooms and the Melton Community Playing field , the later is described in the document as being the "Jewell in the Crown of the village"

At this time the Burness Rooms could use wisely spent investment to enhance and expand this unique gift that the village owns.

Melton Community Playing Field, over the last 5 years approximately 20% of this asset and treasure has become unusable because of the lack of investment in drainage and maintenance.

What is more surprising from a NP and Parish Council that is against overtly identifying land for much needed housing (as declared in HM Govt statute) however to their credit still aspire to maintain the valuable green open spaces they Melton Parish Council and their propose NP are advocating the building on and removal of a large section of the playing field to erect a 2 store substantial building and car parking at a potential cost of at least £1.2 million to Melton residents .

This proposal that has had no publicised business, or community case made or discussed neither has at meaningful financially planning for construction and future maintenance been prepared or published for the community to digest.

Indeed this lack of financial planning is amply illustrated by MPC legally signing up for a 2 year contract on offices recently within Woodbridge when no overt transparent enquiries were made or published to find suitable accommodation & premises in Melton or indeed share such premises within the locality or perhaps to invest the £16000 PA being currently paid in rent for the benefit of community , for example , if this type of accommodation was so urgently needed why could this money not have been invested in the Burness Rooms which would have been a long term investment for Melton as opposed to a substantial spending of village assets , also £7.5k was spent without overt financially audit on the plans for the undemocratic proposed development on the Community area.

The proposal to develop and destroy part of "The Jewell in the Crown of Melton" is in direct conflict with:-

The NP, Policy Mel 8 section 3 (page 26)

I am sorry to say that this conflict appears in a number of areas within the proposed NP this is both upsetting and causing concern for my family and the future of many Melton residents I have spoken to and discussed these issues with .

Because of the aforementioned I would request that this NP is not adopted as it does not have an overt coherent practical housing and planning policy for the future needs of Melton plus there is an obvious conflict on what are acceptable areas for future housing and development within the village as is illustrated by the proposal to build and destroy part of the only green and unspoilt recreation area in the village and this is being proposed by the custodians of this asset namely Melton Parish Council and at the same time adopting the "NIMBY" principles and ignoring the commercial and housing needs of others , this strikes of an attitude of "Don't do as I do but do as I say!" .

Thank you for your time and deliberations & please could I be informed of the decision under Regulation 19.

Gratefully yours. Allan Cole

Click  $\underline{\text{here}}$  to report this email as spam.