

## Sizewell C Stage 4 Town and Parish event 26 July 2019

<b>Question</b>	<b>Are there areas that you expected to be covered in Stage 4 that haven't been that you want to see addressed?</b>
-----------------	---

<b>Town / Parish / District / County</b>	<b>Table 1</b>
Westleton Parish Council	Implementing marine option.
Pettistree Parish Council	Marine option. Workable solution to congestion in Wickham Market.
Blythburgh Parish Council	A145 junction not discussed. Traffic figures did not align to our VAS equipment but did align in Westleton. Huge discrepancy in their figures. Haven't sought to respond about comments made in Stage 3. Positive Stage 3 had taken all comments on board from Stage 2 about Darsham Park & Ride. Is slow corner on hill an issue with high volumes of traffic.
Hacheston Parish Council	EDF Energy advised very few differences in Hacheston. Noise levels, air quality, traffic movement in relations to Park & Ride, have these been answered? Not got the numbers.
Campsea Ashe Parish Council	B1078 issues with 90 degree bend, not seen any response from EDF Energy on this.
	<b>Table 2</b>
	Nothing on the accommodation campus, Stage 4 has not address the concerns the parish council had at Stage 3.
Darsham Parish Council	One of the biggest that ignored.
Great Glemham Parish Council	Need to have clear justification of why prefer Theberton bypass and not the D2 & why they ignored the marine option.
Facilitator	Any views on pylons the council response at Stage 3 not happy saying not feasible underground.
Clopton Parish Council	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Does not show very well it's hard to judge – with all the other issues as well.</li> <li>Are the pylons so bad compared to the Main Site building?</li> </ul>
Darsham Parish Council	Darsham Park & Ride EDF Energy not answering to the Parish council responses from Stage 3 & looks like Wickham Market they feel the same way.
Great Glemham Parish Council	The Freight Management Facility.
Darsham Parish Council	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Concerns of the Orwell Bridge closing, whole thing is a nightmare.</li> <li>Also fed up of saying same thing again and again but EDF Energy ignore.</li> <li>The local skilled workforce will all be sucked into SZC, but will be hard to get trades people for local service.</li> </ul>
Clopton Parish Council	Example when big housing developments, was hard to get skilled workers.

Facilitator	Have being raising the awareness about work force issues, the knock on affect for example carer's, low skill work force being sucked into the Sizewell C project.
Clopton Parish Council	Asked have you learned from Hinkley Point C?
Facilitator	Yes, but they might not add up to Sizewell.
Clopton Parish Council	It's hard to here and to hear from Darsham that there concerns have been ignored by EDF Energy in the consultation.
	<b>Table 3</b>
Aldeburgh Town Council	Everything!
Suffolk County Councillor	See our Stage 3 response.
East Suffolk Councillor	Socio-eco details.
Facilitator	Was in Stage 3, working with the team on these issues, including impacts on business and impact on tourism in the area (13% employment).
Leiston-cum-Sizewell Town Council	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Worry of transfer of workers from Hinkley taking jobs.</li> <li>• Combination of other projects and their joint impact.</li> </ul>
Facilitator	Proposed increase workforce, but additional workforce will all be non-home based. It is a good point on how they will mitigate and this was an opportunity to improve the details.
East Suffolk Councillor	Addressing the non-build, such as mental health issues/stress on the community and this should have been included & ref including Stage 4, no assessment on what alterations might be required.
Leiston-cum-Sizewell own Council	90 mins default as the NHB – is this being used?
Facilitator	This will vary during the different stages of construction.
Aldeburgh Town Council	Cumulative impacts of others Scottish Power Renewables (housing, parking) – two NSIPs that are not considered.
Facilitator	Hinkley- Bridgewater, 6 planning applications for accommodation put in, not as close to the site as SZC, delivered late and ramped up workforce very quickly.
Aldeburgh Town Council	Most based at Bridgewater and not Hinkley – not the same level as being proposed.
Leiston-cum-Sizewell Town Council	So it needs to be up and running and ready to go.
Suffolk County Councillor	AD projects not covered very well at all. Not totally convinced about the business centre and move to the town, not to.
East Suffolk Councillor	Now speaking to the local surgeries & have a room from them.
Facilitator	There are discussions around occupational health.
Suffolk County Councillor	However issues are no legacy.
East Suffolk Councillor	Good to help if they bring in GPs.
Aldeburgh Town Council	In Aldeburgh they are looking at a “super surgery”.

Suffolk County Councillor	Medical concerns are key & broader between James Paget University Hospital and East Suffolk & North East Essex Foundation Trust, integrated Blue lights and could include.
Leiston-cum-Sizewell Town Council	Leiston Surgery has been involved with the consultation and last time there was a statement that they are not happy with.
Aldeburgh Town Council	Any concerns on crime.
Leiston-cum-Sizewell Town Council	Met with Insp Jackson and waiting for more information.
East Suffolk Councillor	Some vehicle recognition and know that HGVs will be tracked and watched, however if there is no deterrent of vehicle APNR, act as a deterrent.
East Suffolk Councillor	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Need increasing but where are they going to be based? Only a small station.</li> <li>• Where will they go?</li> </ul>
Aldeburgh Town Council	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Could go on for hours on this question.</li> <li>• Blue light service concerns locally.</li> </ul>
East Suffolk Councillor	Not happy with Environmental study – very sketchy and vague.
Leiston-cum-Sizewell	Leiston is losing these areas for wildlife/marsh harriers.
Facilitator	EDF Energy striking individual deals and not part of a wider strategic strategy.
East Suffolk Councillor	Make recommendations, don't want then saying that someone from outside without knowledge locally not understanding the impacts and going against.
East Suffolk Councillor	Follow on National Grid impacts, another layer of "mess" with possible windfarms and their impacts.
	<b>Table 4</b>
Melton Parish Council	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Pylons - no mitigation in Stage 4 and they will destroy views, there has been no rationale for building Sizewell B without pylons but now there are required for Sizewell C, feels like we are going backwards.</li> <li>• Flood zone next to Minsmere (SSSI area) feels like EDF Energy are determined to destroy AONB's and this whole area is under threat.</li> </ul>
Levington Parish Council	Flood risk and flood prevention no further information has been provided.
Martlesham Parish Council	Emergency procedures and evacuation protocols from Sizewell C haven't been looking into at all in the consultation during its construction.
	<b>Table 5</b>
Middleton-cum-Fordley Parish Council	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• D2 – why is it off the table? Safer, markedly cheaper option. Mentioned the report that EDF Energy have had undertaken. Pros of Link Road outweigh the cons.</li> <li>• Need to see the reason for the marine option being discarded. Bigger bridges and spans. Previous length of the jetty is not enough.</li> </ul>
Nacton & Orford = Clarified that this was their view without prejudice to the Council's.	Need to see the reason for the marine.

Middleton-cum-Fordley Parish Council	Sea defence question is a vital one. Designed to become an island. Is it correct to allow the sea defences to be nonexistence or weak? Heard nothing on sea defence question.
Orford = Clarified that this was their view without prejudice to the Council's.	Lack of further information on shore defences.
Middleton-cum-Fordley Parish Council	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Agrees with comment above.</li> <li>• Not given any comfort on the impact on Minsmere, no comfort there at all. A few Marsh Harriers, but we don't know what they do. Not just Marsh Harriers. It is fragile. Nothing further about impact on AONB.</li> </ul>
Orford = Clarified that this was their view without prejudice to the Council's.	Nothing about the cumulative impact, that hasn't been covered.
Nacton - Clarified that this was their view without prejudice to the Council's.	A more sensible location for the FMF. Further up A12 or the other side of the Bridge.
Middleton-cum-Fordley Parish Council	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Agrees with the comment above.</li> <li>• Ecology issues have not been addressed.</li> </ul>
	<b>Table 6</b>
Suffolk County Councillor	Mitigation of areas of the A12 in areas already identified if we're going to have a road led option which it looks like EDF Energy favours. What's the value of having a third option when they've not addressed the problems on the two individual options (road and rail)? Justification for having pylons needs to be provided, why can't they be put underground?
Wickham Market Parish Council	Weren't aware there was going to be a Stage 4. Strange that they haven't considered Stage 3 comments before publishing Stage 4. Disappointed they're not coming up with significant landscape strategies. Need to train people in terms of the environment, landscape mitigation, not just technical skills. Alternative traffic mitigation options need to be detailed and discussion with the PC need to be had. One of EDF Energy's key headings is that they are low carbon however building the power station is going to generate considerable amounts of carbon.
Saxmundham Town Council	Rather than responding to options they've come up with an additional option rather than responding to comments. What will the height of the pylons actually be?
	<b>Table 7</b>
Theberton and Eastbridge Parish Council	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Disappointed to not see D2 option for Eastbridge.</li> <li>• Lack of information on environment and coastal defences.</li> <li>• Feels that Wylfa had more environmental impact info in their Stage 1 consultation than EDF Energy have provided in 7 years. Concern that EDF Energy have said there is almost too much info to share.</li> </ul>

	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Would like it all to be shared so local people can start to read it and digest it, not get it dumped on the community at the end.</li> <li>• Feels like the minimum has been done rather than good practice approaches.</li> </ul>
Facilitator	The Councils will be asking if the consultation meets the required standard, difficulty is that the standard is quite low so they probably are meeting the basics.
Theberton and Eastbridge Parish Council	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Environment Agency's response is quite damning and felt that the AONB response sums up correctly.</li> <li>• Theberton's view is that negatives are still outweighing the positives as Stage 4 has done little to improve the situation.</li> </ul>
Suffolk County Councillor & Felixstowe Town Council	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Trimley's/Felixstowe, consequences on the rail network nationally have not been taken into account in wither the integrated or hybrid options.</li> <li>• No indication that Network Rail accepts that these additional movements can be accepted by the network.</li> <li>• What would happen at Copdock?</li> </ul>
Theberton and Eastbridge Parish Council	Where is the aggregate coming from?
	<b>Table 8</b>
Yoxford Parish Council	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• The gravity model. Giving movements and traffic forecasts but not the model. They have taken no note of our initial issues.</li> <li>• Site of the campus, breaking it up and siting.</li> <li>• Infrastructure complications e.g. police, schools, houses.</li> <li>• Impact on rental sector?</li> <li>• Impact on tourism the damage in the area?</li> <li>• How many jobs in tourism are going to be affected?</li> <li>• Accommodation what tourism accommodation are they going to take?</li> </ul>
Wrentham Parish Council	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• RAMS, how will this be affected in the area?</li> <li>• Recruiting people from Suffolk? How is the employment going to be looked at? How about the local people?</li> </ul>
Yoxford Parish Council	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Better levels of pay?</li> <li>• Large displacement of people?</li> </ul>
Ufford Parish Council	Lots of people will come from Scotland.
Yoxford Parish Council	They are not producing any evidence about employment.
Ufford Parish Council	Wickham Market and the road link? Car parking is an issue.
Yoxford Parish Council	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• 30% power coming from this area. What about the security of this area?</li> <li>• No one has addressed it?</li> </ul>
Ufford Parish Council	More about the Wickham Market, neighbourhood plan there doesn't seem to be any discussion. Lack of communication and information.
Yoxford Parish Council	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• EDF Energy's acknowledge in Stage 3 that there may be displacement in traffic. They haven't come back with any more information.</li> <li>• 27% B1078 the Wickham Market park and ride.</li> </ul>

	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• 73% on A14.</li> </ul>
	<b>Table 9</b>
All on Table 9	All assessments should be complete by now. Lack of data to make decisions and come to conclusions. Lack of certainty.
Blaxhall Parish Council	Why marine led strategy has been dropped?
Woodbridge Town Council	Stage 4 feels more like a fact-finding exercise rather than a consultation. Feels predetermined.
Suffolk Coast & Heaths AONB	Evidence of how impacts on AONB have been taken into account.
Woodbridge Town Council	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Environmental impacts (e.g. on Minsmere) and how they will be mitigated should be front and centre but they are still missing, despite this being Stage 4.</li> <li>• Concern about EDF Energy's ability to commit to the project financially. Uncertainty that this creates.</li> </ul>
Suffolk Coast & Heaths AONB	Cumulative impacts. These have been raised at all stages but still lacking in mitigation proposals.
Aldringham Parish Council	SZC drawing in people will significantly change area, even once project is completed. Residual effects. Area needs to continue to evolve but needs to respect existing character of area. Area is not the same as Somerset.
Woodbridge Town Council / Aldringham Parish Council	EDF Energy's documents are not being updated to reflect current situation in area (e.g. for cumulative impacts). Need reassurance from EDF Energy that all consultation points being made are being taken into account.
All on Table 9	Importance of electricity generation in Suffolk is not being acknowledged at a national level (Westminster).