



East Suffolk

Warding Submission Volume 2

(Background information)



April 2018
East Suffolk

Contents

Introduction	3
Timetable for Warding Submission development	3
MEMBER WORKING GROUP MINUTES – 17 JANUARY 2018	4
INFORMAL CONSULTATION – copy of survey questions	7
FORECAST SUMMARY TO 2023.....	8
REPORT TO MEMBER WORKING GROUP – 13 FEBRUARY 2018	16
MEMBER WORKING GROUP MINUTES – 13 FEBRUARY 2018	20
REPORT TO MEMBER WORKING GROUP – 19 MARCH 2018.....	25
MEMBER WORKING GROUP MINUTES – 19 MARCH 2018.....	37
REPORT TO MEMBER WORKING GROUP – 3 APRIL 2018	42
EXTRACT FROM MEMBER PROGRAMME BOARD MINUTES – 16 April 2018	44
REPORT TO MEMBER WORKING GROUP – 18 APRIL 2018.....	46
MEMBER WORKING GROUP MINUTES – 18 APRIL 2018	47
CONSULTATION FINDINGS – General Comments Summary.....	51
Felixstowe Wards – alternative option	53
Martlesham Warding Proposal	55
Options 1 to 5.....	56

Introduction

This document is a supporting document for the East Suffolk Warding Submission Volume 1. The contents of this document show the process of developing a warding proposal for the new Council area of East Suffolk.

The process began in January 2018 with the formation of a Member Working Group, made up of equal representation of Councillors from both Suffolk Coastal District Council and Waveney District Council, politically balanced, and open for all Councillors to attend.

Timetable for Warding Submission development

Event	Subject	Date
Member Working Group meeting 1	Initial meeting	17 January 2018
Informal Consultation began	Town/Parish Councils and other stakeholders	22 January 2018
MHCLG Forecast Submission deadline	Submission of five year Electorate Forecasts	31 January 2018
Member Working Group meeting 2	Warding Options 1, 2 and 3 considered	13 February 2018
Member Working Group meeting 3	Warding Option 4 considered	19 March 2018
MHCLG/LGBCE Briefing	Briefing for Councillors Option 5 considered	3 April 2018
Member Programme Board	Warding Option 6 (final submission) considered	16 April 2018
Member Working Group meeting 4	Ward Naming options considered	18 April 2018
Simultaneous Full Council	Final Submission document considered	30 April 2018
MHCLG Warding submission deadline	Submission of proposal to MHCLG	4 May 2018

MEMBER WORKING GROUP MINUTES – 17 JANUARY 2018

Suffolk Coastal & Waveney District Councils



in partnership
east Suffolk.gov.uk

SUFFOLK COASTAL AND WAVENEY DISTRICT COUNCILS

ONE COUNCIL PROGRAMME – MEMBER WORKING GROUP

ELECTORAL REVIEW MEMBER WORKING GROUP

DATE:	17/01/18
TIME:	2:00pm
LOCATION:	G01 Claud Castleton Room, Riverside

NOTES/ACTIONS FROM MEETING

Present:

Cllr Mark Bee (Chair)	Cllr Geoff Holdcroft
Cllr Stephen Ardley	Cllr Nicky Yeo
Cllr Michael Ladd	Cllr Andy Smith
Cllr Simon Woods	Cllr Stephen Burroughes
Cllr Alison Cackett	Cllr Mark Newton
Cllr Keith Patience	Cllr John Fisher
Cllr Graham Elliot	Nick Khan (Lead Officer)
Tim Willis (Electoral Services)	Karen Last (Electoral Services)
Cliff Robinson (Association of Electoral Administrators)	

1.	Welcome/Introduction	
2.	<p>Terms of Reference</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Purpose and objectives of the group were discussed. • Reports in to Member Programme Board. • No decision making powers. • Open to all members to attend. • Information uploaded to Fred. 	
3.	Group Photo	
4.	<p>Forward meeting schedule/Key dates</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Timetable to be amended to include dates to take report to MPB and Full Council. 	Nick Khan
5.	<p>Risks</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • LGBCE do not complete their work in time to meet 	

	<p>our deadline resulting in elections on County Divisions.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> – <i>LGBCE are working to a strict deadline, it is unlikely that the LGBCE would cause delays.</i> • MHCLG do not meet deadlines. If resources are diverted (Brexit, Snap election etc) we will not meet the deadline. • Parliamentary process introduces delays. – <i>We are building a network of support to try to mitigate this risk. We are working closely with all three of the East Suffolk MPs who are supportive of the process. All Suffolk MPs were at the meeting with the SoS.</i> • Issues with the merger in the West could have an impact on the East. • Internal divisions across the two councils. – <i>Our case would be stronger if we can give the LGBCE a unified warding proposal. Compromises would have to be made for the greater good.</i> • Risk register to be created/monitored/updated 	Nick Khan
6.	Any changes to MWG work programme	
7.	<p>Items for next MWG/reporting to MPB</p> <p>Warding Proposals</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Officers will work up warding proposals which will be circulated in advance of and discussed at the next MWG meeting. • There is an opportunity to look at community cohesion which spans the current district boundary. • Although the council size has not been officially accepted, the proposals will be worked out on this basis. 	Cliff Robinson
8.	<p>Any other business</p> <p>Informal consultation – Survey Monkey</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • A survey has been created. Stakeholders will be given an opportunity to identify community ties and parishes that naturally go together. • It was agreed that the closing date of the survey should be extended to ensure that it was 'live' for a four week period which takes account of the town/parish meeting cycle. 	Nick Khan

	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • The wording should be changed to clarify the process for parish councils. The re-warding process will not mean that parish councils will have to merge. • The link to the map should be changed to a single East Suffolk map. • Paper submissions would be accepted and included in the consultation and the survey will be pushed through comms with the appropriate press releases etc. • An amended version will be sent to the group on 18/01/2018 with comments back 19/01/18 am. The final version will be sent out to stakeholders by close of play on 19/01/18. <p>Electorate forecasting</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • The LGBCE have provided a spreadsheet proforma to forecast electorate up until 2023. The spreadsheet includes functionality which applies ONS trends to our actual electorate figures. • The electorate figures have been entered which produces a 'standardised' 2023 electorate which does not take account of local developments. • Planning policy have identified areas of 'almost certain' development and these figures have been added to the spreadsheet to provide a revised 2023 forecast. • There is no scope for adjustments other than local developments. • The spreadsheet for each authority and a simplified summary of each spreadsheet will be sent to all members of the group for review. • Nick Khan, Mark Bee and Ray Herring to send the forecast figures to LGBCE/MHCLG to meet the deadline of 31/01/18. 	<p>Nick Khan</p> <p>Nick Khan</p> <p>Nick Khan</p> <p>Karen Last</p> <p>Nick Khan / Mark Bee / Ray Herring</p>
	<p>Next meeting: 13/02/18 - 10:00am – East Suffolk House (Alde)</p>	

INFORMAL CONSULTATION – copy of survey questions

1. What best describes you and your interest in this review?
 - Local resident
 - Local Business
 - Residents Group / Community Group
 - Parish/Town Councillor
 - District Councillor
 - County Councillor
 - Other (please specify)
2. Which parish are you located in?
3. Which parish(es) are you representing? (for councillors only)
4. Are there any links or natural boundaries between your area or parish and other parishes in East Suffolk which should be taken into consideration when forming the new ward map for East Suffolk?
 - Please give details of what the link is and the location
 - Community groups / activities (eg playgroups /sports activities)
 - Shared community facilities (eg village hall / church)
 - Local geography (eg rivers/ transport links)
 - Historic Links (eg community identity with other parishes)
 - Other
5. Thinking about your area or parish, if residents need to travel to access services where would they most likely go?
 - Shops
 - Schools
 - GP surgeries
 - Other services
6. Do you have any suggestions of which parishes naturally link together in your area?
7. Are there any other factors you would like to be considered when forming a new district ward map for East Suffolk?
8. If you are happy to be contacted regarding the Electoral Review process or be notified for future consultations, please enter your name and email address.

FORECAST SUMMARY TO 2023

Electorate Forecasts are based on standard ONS trending statistics unless Planning Applications for additional housing have been approved/already under development in the area *and* what is likely to be built by 2023. Local Plan allocations are not taken into account for the purposes of this exercise.

Polling District	Parish	Electorate 2017	Electorate Forecast 2023	Reasons
CURRENT SUFFOLK COASTAL POLLING DISTRICTS(ALPHABETICAL ORDER BY PARISH)				
A	ALDEBURGH	2005	2046	25 New Homes approved/under development
ACX	ALDERTON	385	401	10 New Homes approved/under development
ACY	RAMSHOLT	20	18	
AD	ALDRINGHAM CUM THORPE	676	673	
B	BADINGHAM	406	434	17 New Homes approved/under development
BAX	BAWDSEY	240	265	15 New Homes approved/under development
BCX	GREAT BEALINGS	219	228	
BCY	LITTLE BEALINGS	381	372	
BDX	BENHALL	452	448	
BDY	STERNFIELD	104	106	
BE	BLAXHALL	160	161	
BF	BLYTHBURGH	246	262	10 New Homes approved/under development
BG	BOYTON	120	120	
BH	BRAMFIELD	285	288	
BI	BRANDESTON	250	243	
BJX	BREDFIELD	282	283	
BJY	BOULGE	20	22	
BKX	BROMESWELL	268	273	
BL	BRUISYARD	136	137	
BMX	BUCKLESHAM	439	446	
BMY	BRIGHTWELL	45	46	
BNX	BUTLEY	148	147	
BNY	CAPEL ST ANDREW	55	55	
BNZ	WANTISDEN	21	19	
C	CAMPSEA ASHE	308	316	
CA	CHARSFIELD	291	325	21 New Homes approved/under development
CB	CHEDISTON	191	188	
CC	CHILLESFORD	111	134	14 New Homes approved/under development
CD	CLOPTON	276	282	

Polling District	Parish	Electorate 2017	Electorate Forecast 2023	Reasons
CE	COOKLEY	85	84	
CF	CRANSFORD	125	130	
CGX	CRATFIELD	259	269	
CH	CRETINGHAM	168	170	
DAX	DALLINGHOO	149	149	
DAY	DEBACH	63	62	
DB	DARSHAM	294	332	23 New Homes approved/under development
DCX	DENNINGTON	457	463	
DDX	DUNWICH	100	98	
E	EARL SOHAM	349	351	
EA	EASTON	257	268	
EB	EYKE	290	292	
F	FELIXSTOWE	1198	1226	
FA	FELIXSTOWE	1748	2092	210 New Homes approved/under development
FB	FELIXSTOWE	1264	1280	10 New Homes approved/under development
FBX	FELIXSTOWE	356	361	
FC	FELIXSTOWE	1686	2909	746 New Homes approved/under development
FD	FELIXSTOWE	1628	1735	65 New Homes approved/under development
FE	FELIXSTOWE	2023	2043	12 New Homes approved/under development
FF	FELIXSTOWE	767	790	14 New Homes approved/under development
FG	FELIXSTOWE	431	442	
FH	FELIXSTOWE	1440	1519	48 New Homes approved/under development
FI	FELIXSTOWE	2542	2647	64 New Homes approved/under development
FJ	FELIXSTOWE	2349	2355	
FK	FELIXSTOWE	708	719	
FKX	FELIXSTOWE	730	742	
FL	FOXHALL	221	249	17 New Homes approved/under development
FM	FRAMLINGHAM	2684	3399	436 New Homes approved/under development
FO	FRISTON	288	291	
G	GREAT GLEMHAM	179	180	
GA	LITTLE GLEMHAM	141	141	
GBX	GRUNDISBURGH	1246	1282	22 New Homes approved/under development

Polling District	Parish	Electorate 2017	Electorate Forecast 2023	Reasons
GBY	CULPHO	44	42	
H	HACHESTON	285	282	
HAX	HASKETON	338	337	
HAY	BURGH	152	157	
HBX	HEVENINGHAM	95	96	
HBY	UBBESTON	74	75	
HCX	HOLLESLEY	985	1060	46 New Homes approved/under development
HD	HUNTINGFIELD	147	147	
I	IKEN	78	81	
K	KELSALE CUM CARLTON	841	864	
KAX	KESGRAVE	2852	2860	Major development in Kesgrave has come to an end
KBX	KESGRAVE	2037	2067	
KCX	KESGRAVE	3932	3940	Major development in Kesgrave has come to an end
KDX	KESGRAVE	1477	1479	Major development in Kesgrave has come to an end
KE	KETTLEBURGH	201	201	
KFX	KIRTON	985	1010	15 New Homes approved/under development
KFY	FALKENHAM	151	153	
KH	KNODISHALL	671	686	
L	LEISTON	2151	2231	49 New Homes approved/under development
LA	LEISTON	2051	2627	351 New Homes approved/under development
LC	LEATHERINGHAM	49	50	
LDX	LEVINGTON	207	214	
LDY	STRATTON HALL	20	21	
LEX	LINSTEAD MAGNA	40	41	
LEY	LINSTEAD PARVA	65	68	
M	MARLESFORD	172	175	
MA	MARTLESHAM	1857	3080	746 New Homes approved/under development
MAX	MARTLESHAM	273	285	
MAY	MARTLESHAM	184	188	
MB	MARTLESHAM	2471	2471	No major development planned for this area
MC	MELTON	3264	3636	227 New Homes approved/under development
ME	MIDDLETON	325	333	
MFX	MONEDDEN	109	108	

Polling District	Parish	Electorate 2017	Electorate Forecast 2023	Reasons
MFY	HOO	58	61	
N	NACTON	632	649	
NAX	NEWBOURNE	222	225	
NAY	HEMLEY	42	44	
OAX	ORFORD	502	517	
OAY	GEDGRAVE	29	29	
OB	OTLEY	558	619	37 New Homes approved/under development
P	PARHAM	226	223	
PA	PEASENHALL	437	458	13 New Homes approved/under development
PB	PETTISTREE	157	163	
PC	PLAYFORD	171	173	
PD	PURDIS FARM	1619	1888	164 New Homes approved/under development
R	RENDHAM	210	208	
RA	RENDELSHAM	2247	2273	
RB	RUSHMERE ST ANDREW	948	973	
RC	RUSHMERE ST ANDREW	4175	4300	76 New Homes approved/under development
S	SAXMUNDHAM	3212	3504	178 New Homes approved/under development
SA	SAXTEAD	262	259	
SB	SHOTTISHAM	140	136	
SC	SIBTON	173	188	9 New Homes approved/under development
SD	SNAPE	534	537	2 New Homes approved/under development
SEX	STRATFORD ST ANDREW	151	151	
SEY	FARNHAM	93	96	
SF	SUDBOURNE	249	259	
SG	SUTTON	283	284	
SGY	SUTTON HEATH	612	625	
SH	SWEFFLING	167	167	
T	THEBERTON	247	248	
TA	THORINGTON	56	57	
TB	TRIMLEY ST MARTIN	1625	1928	46 New Homes approved/under development
TC	TRIMLEY ST MARY	2827	3096	164 New Homes approved/under development
TE	TUDDENHAM ST MARTIN	303	306	

Polling District	Parish	Electorate 2017	Electorate Forecast 2023	Reasons
TF	TUNSTALL	408	533	76 New Homes approved/under development
U	UFFORD	668	729	37 New Homes approved/under development
W	WALBERSWICK	298	299	
WA	WALDRINGFIELD	397	405	
WB	WALPOLE	206	207	
WC	WENHASTON WITH MELLS	684	717	20 New Homes approved/under development
WD	WESTERFIELD	400	489	54 New Homes approved/under development
WE	WESTLETON	389	397	
WF	WICKHAM MARKET	1829	1844	9 New Homes approved/under development
WGX	WITNESHAM	657	700	46 New Homes approved/under development
WGY	SWILLAND	139	136	
WH	WOODBIDGE	1531	1536	No major development planned for this area
WI	WOODBIDGE	1548	1594	
WJ	WOODBIDGE	1422	1491	42 New Homes approved/under development
WK	WOODBIDGE	1627	1834	126 New Homes approved/under development
Y	YOXFORD	632	637	
CURRENT WAVENEY POLLING DISTRICTS (ALPHABETICAL ORDER BY PARISH)				
BCE	BECCLES	2022	2040	
BCO	BECCLES	2056	2097	25 New Homes approved/under development
BDA	BECCLES	1973	2008	
BER	BECCLES	2034	2032	
BFD	BLYFORD	81	78	
BHO	HOLTON	689	679	
BSO	SOTHERTON	65	70	
BSP	SPEXHALL	154	155	
BWA	WANGFORD WITH HENHAM	476	479	
BWE	WESTHALL	290	299	
BYC	BUNGAY	1024	1065	25 New Homes approved/under development
BYE	BUNGAY	1028	1056	17 New Homes approved/under development
BYN	BUNGAY	1019	1005	
BYS	BUNGAY	981	1228	150 New Homes

Polling District	Parish	Electorate 2017	Electorate Forecast 2023	Reasons
				approved/under development
CAE	LOWESTOFT	757	768	
CAN	OULTON BROAD	1556	1560	
CAW	LOWESTOFT	1192	1192	No major development planned in this area
CCE	CARLTON COLVILLE	2181	2190	
CCN	CARLTON COLVILLE	1554	1561	No major development planned in this area
CCS	CARLTON COLVILLE	2842	2873	19 New Homes approved/under development
GCC	CORTON	1219	1333	69 New Homes approved/under development
GCG	LOWESTOFT	2612	2617	No major development planned in this area
HAN	HALESWORTH	1681	1958	168 New Homes approved/under development
HAS	HALESWORTH	2321	2403	50 New Homes approved/under development
HBC	LOWESTOFT	2201	2275	45 New Homes approved/under development
HBN	LOWESTOFT	2113	2205	56 New Homes approved/under development
HBS	LOWESTOFT	1545	1593	29 New Homes approved/under development
KGN	GISLEHAM	269	273	
KGS	GISLEHAM	321	329	
KKS	KESSINGLAND	3481	3607	76 New Homes approved/under development
KLN	LOWESTOFT	2617	2688	43 New Homes approved/under development
KLS	LOWESTOFT	1576	1617	25 New Homes approved/under development
KLW	LOWESTOFT	1282	1300	
LBL	BLUNDESTON	1043	1226	111 New Homes approved/under development
LCH	OULTON	95	99	
LFL	FLIXTON EAST	36	34	
LLO	LOUND	296	299	
LSA	SOMERLEYTON ASHBY AND HERRINGFLEET	334	325	
NMN	LOWESTOFT	2544	2577	20 New Homes approved/under development
NMS	LOWESTOFT	2856	2872	10 New Homes approved/under development
OTC	OULTON	1202	1721	315 New Homes

Polling District	Parish	Electorate 2017	Electorate Forecast 2023	Reasons
				approved/under development
OTN	OULTON	1336	1460	75 New Homes approved/under development
OTS	OULTON BROAD	1060	1060	No major development planned in this area
OUE	OULTON BROAD	1854	1948	57 New Homes approved/under development
OUIW	OULTON BROAD	1927	2177	152 New Homes approved/under development
PFE	LOWESTOFT	992	991	
PFN	LOWESTOFT	2077	2079	
PFS	LOWESTOFT	2380	2385	No major development planned in this area
SHR	REYDON	2119	2284	100 New Homes approved/under development
SHS	SOUTHWOLD	795	861	40 New Homes approved/under development
SMC	LOWESTOFT	2575	2623	29 New Homes approved/under development
SME	LOWESTOFT	2249	2252	
SMP	OULTON	800	810	
SMW	LOWESTOFT	798	808	
TAS	ALL SAINTS AND ST NICHOLAS SOUTH ELMHAM	112	119	
TFL	FLIXTON WEST	148	148	
THF	HOMERSFIELD	129	131	
TIA	ILKETSHALL ST ANDREW	248	246	
TIJ	ILKETSHALL ST JOHN	30	33	
TIL	ILKETSHALL ST LAWRENCE	139	143	
TMA	SOUTH ELMHAM ST MARGARET	129	131	
TMI	SOUTH ELMHAM ST MICHAEL	51	48	
TPE	SOUTH ELMHAM ST PETER	25	27	
TRU	RUMBURGH	256	261	
TSC	SOUTH ELMHAM ST CROSS	81	86	
TSJ	SOUTH ELMHAM ST JAMES	159	166	
TSM	SOUTH ELMHAM ST MARGARET	80	79	
TWI	WISSETT	221	226	

Polling District	Parish	Electorate 2017	Electorate Forecast 2023	Reasons
WFB	BARSHAM	193	190	
WFE	ELLOUGH	30	32	
WFH	METTINGHAM	176	171	
WFM	MUTFORD	397	415	
WFN	REDISHAM	112	114	
WFR	RINGSFIELD	275	280	
WFS	SHADINGFIELD	138	141	
WFT	SHIPMEADOW	101	100	
WFU	SOTTERLEY	72	80	
WFO	WESTON	170	174	
WFW	WILLINGHAM ST MARY	108	107	
WHC	LOWESTOFT	1757	1773	
WHE	LOWESTOFT	1087	1085	
WHN	OULTON BROAD	1572	1649	47 New Homes approved/under development
WHS	LOWESTOFT	1344	1367	
WLB	BARNBY	426	428	
WLN	NORTH COVE	355	361	
WLW	WORLINGHAM	2977	3015	
WRA	BENACRE	57	56	
WRB	BRAMPTON WITH STOVEN	339	343	
WRC	COVEHITHE	26	26	
WRF	FROSTENDEN	148	151	
WRH	HENSTEAD WITH HULVER STREET	289	286	
WRR	RUSHMERE	59	62	
WRS	SOUTH COVE	22	22	
WRU	UGGESHALL	132	135	
WRW	WRENTHAM	790	839	30 New Homes approved/under development

REPORT TO MEMBER WORKING GROUP – 13 FEBRUARY 2018

Electoral Review Working Party – 13 February 2018

Discussion Document - Draft Warding Proposals

1 Introduction

At the last meeting of the Electoral Review Member Working Group it was agreed, in order to develop proposals for conducting a review of electoral wards for the new East Suffolk Council area, that officers would develop detailed proposals for consideration at this meeting. Opportunity would also be taken to examine issues relating to community identity. However any proposals will need to work within a framework that expects the final new warding structure and therefore the Council size that takes effect at the May 2019 local elections, to have 55 Members. The early findings of the stakeholder consultations, which was agreed at the last meeting would also be reported

2 Background

2.1 In examining the electoral warding options available, Members will be aware of the constraints placed on the exercise as a whole. This is discussed below. Furthermore given the geographical nature and spread of populations across the area, reducing the size of the existing combined representation which is currently 90 to 55, will clearly result in significant adjustments in the size of wards and how the Members serve the Council and local community.

2.2 Polling districts are the building blocks that are used to construct new wards and it is not possible to make any changes to these boundaries. However this would be part of a separate polling district and polling places/station review exercise to be undertaken by the Council once the Boundary Commission's (LGBCE) final recommendations have been issued in October 2018. This would enable polling district and places reviews (and any changes made as a consequence) to be commenced after that date. Such a review exercise would need to be completed before 31 January 2020, although the Council could decide to do this in time for the local elections in May 2019.

2.3 Furthermore, it is not possible to adjust the external boundaries of Parish Councils as part of this exercise. However the LGBCE, indicate in their guidance, that it is possible for them to adjust/create a new parish ward where it is dissected by any new district ward.

3 Legal Framework

3.1 The legal framework for the review exercise is set out in the Local Democracy Economic Development and Construction Act 2009, which the Local Government Boundary Commission will be using as a bench mark for the review exercise Therefore there are three main considerations:

1. Equalising the number of electors each Councillor represents, which should be within a 10% average. This is regarded as the most important consideration which can only be varied in special circumstances.
2. To reflect community identity – any new ward patterns should reflect these identities.
3. To provide for effective and convenient local government. This is concerned with ensuring that Councillors can provide effective representation in a ward.

3.2 The aim therefore in the review exercise, is to try to balance these sometimes conflicting factors.

4 Other Review Factors

4.1 Officers have also taken account of Members desire to foster community cohesion across the whole area, and considered issues around the fact that the existing council boundary between the two authorities would no longer exist.

4.2 There are two further constraints to take into account. The first is relatively minor, which is to ensure that all the historic parish groupings are catered for in the same ward area. The second which is more significant, is the time constraint for completing the first phase of the exercise. The DCLG requires that we submit draft warding proposals by 4 May 2018 at the latest. So working back from this date, the final agreed draft warding proposals will need to be considered and approved by an extraordinary Council meeting of the two Authorities in mid- April. Accordingly we will need to finalise the draft proposals by the date of the next meeting on 19 March (or certainly by the end of March). Failure to meet this deadline could result in a warding structure being imposed on East Suffolk Council, which might for example be based on existing County Council divisions. Ultimately, the Local Government Boundary Commission (and Parliament) will determine what the new wards look like. However, as the two authorities instigating the review, the proposals we submit will be very important.

4.3 The Electoral Review Member Working Group will need to consider and provide names for the new wards once they have been agreed. The only guidance provided by the LGBCE is that these names should be kept relatively short, distinct and easily identifiable to encapsulate the ward.

5 Warding Proposals

5.1 Several draft warding structures have been developed and details of these will be presented at the meeting so that their respective merits can be considered. Enlarged maps for the urban areas will also be available. An interactive map presentation will be available, as required, on the impact of the ward changes on County's electoral divisions.

5.2 Keeping completely within a +/- 10% baseline figure is extremely difficult, given the constraints around applying the polling district building blocks that make up the proposed new wards, although the LG BCE will accept wards with numbers outside this baseline, provided there are strong reasons put forward to justify this, such as community identity or effective governance links etc.

5.3 All the possible warding structures take into account population growth forecasts in accordance with the LG BCE guidance

6 Size of wards.

6.1 Merits of one member wards – Increases community identity and the wards are not so geographically large and diverse. Potentially less workload.

6.2 Merits of two more Member wards – helps with conflict of interest issues, for example where a member is fettered by personal interest matters in representing their local community. This can often occur on planning issues. It also provides local residents with an alternative member representative for raising concerns and local issues. It can also help spread the load

6.3 Three Member wards are beneficial in a limited number of more concentrated urban areas where the workload might be higher.

7 Consultation

7.1 It was also agreed at the last meeting that the views of stakeholders would be carried out to give them opportunity to identify community ties and natural parish links. The consultation exercise does not end until 19 February, is after the date of this meeting, and so the final set of results are not yet available.

Currently, 230 responses have been received. So far, 92 do not contain actual information that can be used, but the latest responses received are still being reviewed.

A significant number of the responses are of a more general nature and not relevant or specific to the ward review exercise itself, although some might be useful as part of the wider merger process between the two Councils.

MEMBER WORKING GROUP MINUTES – 13 FEBRUARY 2018

Suffolk Coastal & Waveney District Councils



in partnership

eastsoffolk.gov.uk

SUFFOLK COASTAL AND WAVENEY DISTRICT COUNCILS

ONE COUNCIL PROGRAMME – MEMBER WORKING GROUP

ELECTORAL REVIEW MEMBER WORKING GROUP

DATE:	Tue, 13 February 2018
TIME:	10:00
LOCATION:	Deben meeting room, East Suffolk House

NOTES/ ACTIONS FROM MEETING

1.	Welcome/ Introduction Apologies received from Cllr Graham Elliot	
2.	Previous meeting (17/01/2018): <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Cllr. Mike Deacon would like it noted (retrospectively and with apologies) that he didn't attend the last meeting in January, this was omitted from the previous notes • Ministry of Housing, Communities and Local Government (MHCLG) have received the forecast and Council size information sent • The public need an opportunity to read the Boundary Commission report and make comments in the future. • Simplified summary of the forecasting spreadsheet to be circulated to all once more certain of figures with the LGBCE 	S Lewis
3.	Revised forward meeting schedule/ Key dates <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Sandra to schedule interim meeting to present an opportunity for the leaders to meet prior to the next MWG in March • Meeting on Feb, 20th will be used to review submissions forecast and short circuit processes 	S Lewis

4.	Briefing MHCLG/ LGBCE <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Final decision confirmed from the secretary of state • Local Government Boundary Commission for England (LGBCE) are happy to send representatives to brief Members at a meeting that will be opened to all Members • 	
5.	Survey responses so far <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Informal consultation to close on Feb, 19th 2018 • Public will have the opportunity to comment at a formal stage run by the LGBCE • Need to develop a comms strategy on this 	Nick Khan
6.	Draft warding proposals <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Option 1 and 2 were favoured by the majority (with slight amendments needed), these options keep to the principle of removing boundary between the two authorities • Option 3 keeps the existing boundary line, this option was not favoured • The need to develop a 4th option was agreed, the results of which will need to reflect the outcome of the consultation • Sandra, Karen and Cliff will develop the fourth option and present it at next meeting • Proposals presented and discussed: <p>Member comments and discussion</p> <p>It was suggested that Southwold and Walberswick links were an imaginative solution as the community wanted to work together. Water should not be seen as a boundary, particularly as there is a bridge that links the two parishes.</p> <p>Careful thought was needed concerning large geographical areas, in terms of numbers of parishes. Grouping of parishes may help in terms of reducing the number of parish meetings to attend. Several members said that rural areas did not wish to mix with urban areas where there is no commonality, and we needed to respect this, although this would come out of the consultation exercise.</p> <p>One Member was unsure about how will Boundary Commission would react to breaking down barriers</p>	

between urban and rural areas.
It was important to make realistic connections in these areas although it was appreciated that we could not please everyone. Boundary Commission would not, of course, look at local politics but this was a new district, so new approach was required

Another Member said the A12 was a natural corridor and that we should look to communities that naturally move towards each other for services and a new approach was need.

The meeting discussed issues effecting Felixstowe which was split down the middle (north to south) with two three-member wards.

A14 provides a border as Garrison Way was approached. Langer Road was also natural split. Communities sit more comfortably in those areas. It was also stressed that the two villages in Trimley, did not have any links with Felixstowe, and for this reason several members could not support option 1 but preferred option 2, although none of the options were ideal

Andy Smith asked if the Officers could investigate three two-member wards for Felixstowe.

Martlesham had also become a draw for shopping
Generalisations between urban and rural cannot realistically be matched although we needed to be fair to the different types of areas across the area
It was important to retain the Trimlies as they had the look and feel of two villages combined together as a village identity. They should not been seen as an extension of Felixstowe, which was a proud urban area of its own.

The Chairman said it was important to recognise specific types of areas across the new district:
from solid urban areas of Lowestoft and Felixstowe to solid rural areas, Market Towns and new Towns such as Martlesham and Kesgrave. He explained that he did not particularly like any of the three, but really wanted a pick and mix of all three. There were certain aspects of 3 liked. He felt the Aldeburgh solution did not work in options 1 and 2. The Southwold solution in option 2 was preferred. There were cross boundaries where communities fitted e.g. - Halesworth and Blyth. He

supported bottom third of option 3, like Southwold for option 2 and the top section of option 1

One Member said he had a personal preference for option 2 and Blyth estuary fitted well and would get rid of current boundary between the two Councils There was a strengthening view for option 2 by end of meeting.

Another Member was strongly against Option 3 as it kept the current boundary. Halesworth surrounding villages need to be grouped together.

The member preferred option 1 or 2, but leaning towards option 1. We should be looking at areas connected to make councillors job easier, when dealing with people. Halesworth is a key service area Parishes not liking each other was not a factor, and parishes would not be forced to come together

One Member said they supported a logical division between Bungay and Saints in option, but overall option 2 was favoured

Several Members spoke in support of option 1 as being well balanced and also because it removed the current boundary and suggested that an option 4 should be developed by tweaking of options 1 & 2. Boundaries were invisible to residents

Several Members felt that option 3 was not practical, as it split amalgamated parish councils, preferring to tweak option 1 with elements of Option 2. Purdis Farm and Levington have bus services to Ipswich and not Martlesham.

To be realistic, people were not that bothered, over ward boundaries.

The Vice Chairman said we should be aware of the ripple effect when making changes.

There were limits in how this can be done His preference was for option 1 with option 2 as an alternative.

An option 4 needs to be developed to deal with the Trimley issue. This could be developed out of option 1. Option 1 and 2 had the benefit of re-drawing the existing boundaries without prejudice. There was no room for slippage in the timetable

	<p>Another member felt that the current (existing SCDC) ward for Saxmundham worked well and should be a single Member ward. Benhall for example did not necessarily fit with Saxmundham and his preference was option 1 and he did not like option 3</p> <p>The meeting concluded by proposing that changes should be made to option 1 and 2, so it is worked into a fourth option, taking into account any relevant comments from the consultation exercise It was also suggested that Officers look further into proposed warding arrangement in Lowestoft and Felixstowe.</p>	
7.	<p>Risks</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • No additional risks identified. Item to be carried forward to the next meeting 	
8.	<p>Any changes to MWG work programme</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • No key changes to the programme • Lead Members to work diligently with officers 	
	<p>Next Meeting: 19/03/2018 10:00 – G12S, Riverside</p>	

REPORT TO MEMBER WORKING GROUP – 19 MARCH 2018

SUFFOLK COASTAL AND WAVENEY DISTRICT COUNCILS

ONE COUNCIL PROGRAMME – MEMBER WORKING GROUP REPORT

Member Working Group:	Electoral Review – 19 th March 2018	
Work Package ref:	ES182	Draft Warding Proposals
Description of Work Package:		
1. Introduction		
<p>At the last meeting of the Working Group on 13 February 2018, Members considered the merits of 3 warding structure options for the new East Suffolk Council area and broadly supported the principles and approaches outlined in option 1 and 2 subject to further examination. The meeting agreed to develop a fourth warding option, taking into account work already done on these options and including the various comments made at the meeting but still keeping within the Local Government Boundary Commission for England (LGBCE) guidance principles. Members also reinforced the view that the response from the informal consultation should be reflected upon and where appropriate, included in the fourth option.</p> <p>A copy of the guidance principles as set out in legislation is reproduced again in Appendix A to this report, for information.</p>		
Background information:		
2. Informal Discussions with the LGBCE		
<p>A recent meeting with representatives from the LGBCE concerning the review process and timetable was positive, with the Commission commending the Council on the progress made so far. Some key dates for the review were particularly emphasised:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none">• the deadline for submitting the draft warding proposals of 4 May 2018• the formal review launch date of 3 July 2017• the deadline for responses to the LGBCE formal consultation process of 27 August 2018 <p>The LGBCE representatives made two specific, although minor, requests for changes to the forecasting submission to date involving the polling district figures for Kessingland (KKS) and Felixstowe South (FH). The projected forecast figures for 2023 were revised downward slightly as the supporting evidence that developments would take place by 2023 could not be substantiated. This has a very minor impact as it reduces overall projected final electorate by 201 electors, from 202037 to 201836 and makes a minor adjustment to the base line electorate equality figure from 3673 to 3670 for the 55 Member option. There are also minor knock on effects to the percentages but this has no effect on the warding structure proposals.</p>		
3. Review Time Frame		
<p>Throughout the Boundary Review exercise, particular emphasis has been placed on the</p>		

pressure the Council is under to meet the timeframes for submitting proposals to the LGBCE. The deadline for this exercise is 4 May 2018. This requires formal ratification by the Council at a proposed meeting on 30 April. Failure to meet this deadline could result in a warding structure being imposed on East Suffolk Council.

It is essential therefore that all Members of the two Councils have the opportunity to scrutinise the draft warding proposals. To help ensure this, all Members will have access to copies of this report, maps and associated schedules, and are especially encouraged to attend this working group meeting in order to provide input and give their views. It may be too late to make any changes once the final proposals are presented to the Full Simultaneous Council meeting for formal approval due to the tight timeframes.

4. Naming of Wards

As mentioned at the last meeting, the Member Working Group will need to consider and provide names for the new wards once warding proposals been agreed. The only guidance provided by the LGBCE is that these names should be kept relatively short, distinct and easily identifiable to encapsulate the ward.

It is proposed to present suggested names for the new Wards for consideration at the next Working Group Meeting on 18 April. A final recommendation can then be submitted to the full Simultaneous Council meeting on 30 April 2018. All Members are encouraged to attend this Working Group meeting, so that the proposed naming of wards take account of Members local knowledge.

5. Consultation

Members will also be aware, that in parallel with the review process itself, a consultation exercise with residents and stakeholders has been carried out to give opportunity to identify community ties and natural parish links. The closing date for comments was after the date of the last meeting. A detailed summary of the responses received is attached (Appendix B). The full list of all responses received is available via the Members area of Fred or on request. Any individual comments about ties and community links that relate to the proposed new district wards are included in the end column of the draft warding structures.

Options considered:

6. Warding Proposals

Further detailed work has been done to try to meet the Members views and objectives bearing in mind the various constraints placed on the review exercise itself as explained at the last meeting. Such constraints make it impossible to meet everyone's ideal model, which inevitably leads to the need for compromised solutions.

Therefore the fourth option is a careful mix of the best of option 1 and 2 and attempts to be bold and forward looking in aspiration, to reflect the new Council. The option also addresses concerns raised at the last meeting about the Felixstowe Wards by splitting the wards into 2 broadly equal 3 member wards (this covers both 4A & 4B). One of the BC key principles is electoral equality which aims to keep within a + or – 10% variation figure. There are two threads to option 4.

Option 4A

The first thread (4A) meets the Council's previous decision for a 55 Member structure solution. Apart from the wards being renumbered, the key changes are:

- Ward 11 (Beccles) removal of Worlingham which is now in Ward 8 linked with Barnby and North Cove.
- Ward 8 Gisleham moves to ward 7 and linked with Carlton Colville
- Ward 10 expanded to become a 2 Member ward covering parishes bordering the coast around Southwold.
- Ward 15 (Leiston) removal of some small parishes to be linked at adjacent wards
- Ward 18 (Framlingham) expanded to become a two Member ward which focuses more on the surrounding parishes to form a Framlingham cluster
- Ward 21 (Woodbridge) expanded to include adjacent parishes of Bredfield, Boulge, Hasketon and Burgh which focuses more around Woodbridge.
- Ward 17 (Saxmundham) becomes a single Member ward with the removal of Benhall and Sternfield to ward 19 which focuses more on Saxmundham itself.

As a consequence of these adjustments there are minor boundary adjustments to wards 16, 19, 20, 22 and 25.

Option 4A produces 8 single member wards, 16 two Member wards and 5 three Member wards. With this option two wards No 1 and 11 are above the 10% threshold. A table describing these changes is attached as Appendix C and mapping is also provided to members as separate attachments.

Option 4B

Notwithstanding that the Members may regard the 55 Councillor solution as their preferred submission option; the meeting may also wish to consider a slight variation to the model as a second thread to option 4. This variation proposes a 56 Member solution and its key feature is to create district ward boundaries in Lowestoft that are co terminus with the Town Council's Boundary (this solution is not possible with the 55 Member solution). This could arguably have advantages especially in the long term. Its other features are:

- Changes to wards 1, 2, 3 and 5 as a consequence of adjustments to Lowestoft town area mentioned above.
- Changes to ward 7 which becomes a 3 Member ward by adding in a range of adjacent parishes immediately to the south.
- Changes to ward 9 (Bungay) which slightly reduces in size by removing some of the smaller parishes to the east,
- Minor changes to 12 (Southwold Coastal) by removing Henstead and Rushmere.
- Minor changes to ward 13 (Halesworth) and 15 (Leiston) by removing Friston
- Minor changes to ward 17 (Framlingham) through extending it to cover small parishes to the south.
- Ward 20 (Woodbridge) expanded to include adjacent parishes of Bredfield, Boulge, Hasketon and Burgh which focuses more around Woodbridge.
- Ward 21 reduced in size to become a single Member ward through removing Parishes to the east.
- Ward 22 Bromeswell is removed from Melton.

- The odd shape of ward 23 is adjusted to make it more cohesive.
- The anomalies of ward 27 are corrected to remove the Trimleys from Felixstowe.

Option 4B produces 8 single Member wards, 15 two Member wards and 6 three Member wards. With this option three wards No 11, 19 & 24 are above the 10% threshold. A table describing the changes is attached as Appendix D and mapping is also provided to Members as separate attachments.

Members are asked to consider both options and make recommendations to take forward to Member Programme Board.

Recommendation to Member Programme Board:

To be completed with recommendation following Member Working Group discussions

MWG Date:	19 March 2018
MPB Date:	16 April 2018
MPB Recommendation:	<i>(to be completed by Programme Team)</i>

LGBCE GUIDANCE FOR THE REVIEW EXERCISE

The Commission has three main criteria - set out in law - which it must follow when it produces a new pattern of wards or electoral divisions. They are:

- The new pattern of wards should mean that each councillor represents roughly the same number of voters as elected members elsewhere in the authority.
- Ward patterns should – as far as possible – reflect community interests and identities and boundaries should be identifiable.
- The electoral arrangements should promote effective and convenient local government and reflect the electoral cycle of the council.

Our decisions on new wards and boundaries will always be based on the criteria above. As such, the Commission is much more likely to accept your proposals if they are based on one or more of the criteria above.

APPENDIX B

Informal Electoral Review survey (22 Jan to 19 Feb 2018)

As agreed at the 17 January 2018 meeting, an informal consultation exercise was carried out with residents and stakeholders via Survey Monkey, to identify any relevant natural community ties or links. During the consultation exercise, a total of 287 responses were received. Of these, 169 had actual data that could be used. A significant number of responses made general comments, and these are summarised below for information and reference. The complete detail of all the responses can be viewed on the Members area of Fred or on request.

There were also some specific comments about ties and links between parishes and communities and these have been included in the respective draft warding structure models (Appendix C and D - Options 4A and 4B) under the heading of ties and community links.

There have also been two letters/emails from Town and Parish Councils:

Felixstowe - A Comment is about LGBCE needing to amend parish ward arrangements if necessary. Given that the review is likely to affect the future number of District Councillors/District Wards (and potentially Town Council Wards) in Felixstowe, the Town Council has some interest in doing a CGR with very limited terms of reference namely "to review the number of Felixstowe Town Council seats" which could be carried out at the same time.

Comment: Any suggestion of a minor CGR, to cover just the Felixstowe area, would not be permitted by the Boundary Commission I understand. In any case it might be appropriate for the new Council to consider undertaking a wider CGR review, once everything is confirmed and settled from this exercise. Furthermore any exercise commenced this year, would pre-empt the outcome and final recommendations of the Commission.

Martlesham - A preference for one ward covering the whole Parish Council, even if this results in Martlesham reducing its representation from 2 to 1. If there are still not sufficient no of electors, the Parish would like Martlesham to be linked with smaller adjoining parishes e.g. Brightwell.

Comment: Given the significant variation spread in population density across the parish and density of the adjoining parish area, this option is not possible. Although linking with a neighbouring smaller parish as suggested, is possible.

The responses submitted containing general comments broadly fall into the following themes:

- **Concern about wards being too big**, as this reduces the quality of representation and a resultant loss of democracy and risks stretching services too far.
- **Loss of rural identity**. Proper separation between rural and urban area, to protect Suffolk's unique unspoilt nature and also its tourism. Concern about small villages losing identity.
- **Geographical boundaries** – use of rivers and roads (e.g. A47 would be a useful boundary line for new wards). Avoid cutting through villages.
- **Specific size of Wards**. Conflicting views. Some suggesting that we use single Member wards across the district as much as possible, whereas others are concerned about work load levels that would increase with larger wards and fewer Councillors. Therefore having multi member wards will help cater for this.
- New ward boundaries should mimic **County divisions**
- **Reasons for the change**. Existing arrangements works well. Whilst its good idea to want to reduce costs overall, the reasons for this should be explained.
- Potential opportunity to **delegate** more functions/services either to Market towns or individual ward member(s).

Draft New Ward Structure - Option 4A (55 Member structure)

Ward	Electoral No's	No of Cllrs	Variation in 2023	Description of proposed new ward composition	Detail and relevant comments from consultations
No 1	8114	2	110.5%	Corton, Lound, Somerleyton, Blundeston , Flixton East , Camps Heath, Oulton Centre and North, Margaret's Parkhill and West	Oulton Broad, Carlton, Colville, Gisleham and Corton kept together
No 2	7491	2	102.1%	Gunton, St Margaret's Centre and East	
No 3	11522	3	104.7%	Harbour North, Centre & South, Normanston North & South	
No 4	6834	2	93.1%	Oulton Broad West, South and East and Whitton North	
No 5	11060	3	100.5%	Kirkley North & South and West, Pakefield East, North & South	Kirkley and Pakefield Distinct area of Pakefield should be retained and not put with Carlton Colville
No 6	7745	2	105.5%	Carlton East, North and West & Whitton Centre, East & South	
No 7	7703	2	104.9%	Carlton Colville North, East & South, Gisleham North and South Mutford and Rushmere	Colville and Gisleham together
No 8	3804	1	103.7%	Worlingham, Barnby and North Cove	
No 9	3607	1	98.3%	Kessingland	Kessingland & Gisleham
No 10	6873	2	93.6%	Dunwich, Reydon, Southwold, Walberswick, Henstead, Covehithe, Uggeshall , South Cove , Frostenden , Benacre, Wrentham, Wangford & Henham, Blythburgh, Darsham, Westleton, Bramfield & Thorington	Southwold Easton Bavents, Reydon, Wangford, Wrentham Also Southwold Walberswick, Bulcamp and Henham and also Reydon. Similar links between Reydon Wangford and Wrentham Bramfield and Walpole
No 11	8177	2	111.4%	Beccles (All wards)	County Division of Beccles Worlingham, Barnby and North Cove make good new district ward. Also Beccles Ellough & Worlingham
No 12	7363	2	100.3%	Bungay (All), South Elmham All Saints , South Elmham St Michael , South Elmham St Peter , Homersfield, Ilketshall St Andrew, Ilketshall St John, Ilketshall St Lawrence and Ilketshall St Margaret, and Flixton West , South Elmham St Cross , South Elmham St Margaret , South Elmham St James, Barsham , Shipmeadow , Mettingham, Redisham, Ringsfield, Rumburgh Ellough , Sotterley Shadingfield and Willingham St Mary and Weston	Links between Shipmeadow & Barsham Also links between Shadingfield, Willingham St Mary's and Sotterley
No 13	6928	2	94.4%	Blyford , Sotherton , Holton, Westhall, Spexhall, Halesworth North and South, Wissett, and Brampton with Stoven, Weston with Mells	Halesworth & Holton Also Halesworth & Rumburgh

No 14	3530	1	96.2%	Cratfield, Kelsale Cum Carlton, Yoxford, Heveningham, Huntingfield, Peasenhall, Sibton and Rendham, Sweffling, Ubbeston Cransford, Cookley, Walpole Linstead Magna and Parva, Chediston	Also Sweffling, Bruisyard & Cransford. Further suggestion of links between Ubbeston, Huntingfield & Heveningham. Also links Ubbeston & Heveningham Also suggestion of links with Chediston Cookley and Cratfield
No 15	6798	2	92.6%	Leiston (all), Knodishall, Middleton and Theberton & , Aldringham cum Thorpe	Leiston should have two distinct Councillors
No 16	3760	1	102.5%	Aldeburgh, Friston, Iken, Sudbourne Orford, Gedgrave and Snape	
No 17	3504	1	95.5%	Saxmundham	Links between Saxmundham Great/Little Glemham, Farnham, Marlesford & Stratford St Andrew
No 18	7380	2	100.5%	Framingham, Dennington, Badingham, Brandeston, Bruisyard, Cransford, Charsfield, Sweffling, Great Glemham, Saxtead, Parham, Earl Soham, Easton, Monewden, Hoo, Cretingham , Dallinghoo, Debach, Letheringham, Kettleburgh	Wickham Market, Ufford and Woodbridge and the peninsula. Monewden & Hoo, but not Cretingham Another suggestion of links between Letheringham, Monewden, Hoo Kettleburgh & Charsfield
No 19	7418	2	101.1%	Rendlesham , Wicket Market, Pettistree, Campsea Ashe, Ufford, Sternfield, Benhall , Little Glemham, Tunstall, Blaxhall, Hacheston, Marlesford Stratford St Andrew & Farnham	Chillesford & Sudbourne Wickham Market, Ufford and Woodbridge and the peninsula Also the suggestion of links between Hacheston & Campsea. Also Hacheston Parham Marlesford and Great Glemham. Also Wickham Market is linked with Pettistree Blaxhall & Hacheston
No 20	3636	1	99.1%	Melton	Melton and Ufford.
No 21	7539	2	102.7%	Woodbridge (all) Martlesham North (MAX) Bredfield, Boulge, Hasketon & Burgh	
No 22	3856	1	105.1%	Grundisburgh, Culpho , Tuddenham St Martin, Westerfield, Otley, Clopton, Witnesham & Swiland	Westerfield, Tuddenham Witnesham & Grundisburgh linked – joint choir and newsletter.
No 23	7902	2	107.7%	Rushmere St Andrew Village and Tower, Purdis Farm, Foxhall & Brightwell & Bucklesham	Purdis Farm does not want to be linked to Felixstowe
No 24	3829	1	104.3%	Alderton, Ramsholt, Bawdsey, Boyton, Bromeswell, Eyke, Hollesley, Shottisham, Sutton (SG) and Sutton Heath, Butley, Capel St Andrew & Wantisden , and Chillesford	Also links between Bromeswell and Sutton Heath

No 25	6998	2	95.3%	Martlesham (MA) Martlesham Heath (MB), Newbourne, Hemley & Waldringfield, Playford, Great and Little Bealings	Martlesham links naturally with Little Bearings and Waldringfield or Woodbridge Also several comments about keeping Playford, Great & Little Bearings, Culpho & Grundisburgh together as they work well together. Also including Bredfield
No 26	7071	2	96.3%	Trimley St Marys and St Martins, <u>Falkenham</u> , <u>Kirton</u> , Nacton, <u>Levington</u> , <u>Stratton Hall</u> ,	Keep Kirton Levington and Bucklesham Parishes together Also suggestion of links between Falkenham, Levington, Stratton Hall, Hemley, Nacton, Brightwell and Waldringfield
No 27	10534	3	95.7%	Kesgrave West and East Wards and Martlesham West (MAY)	Also suggestion of including Playford, Kesgrave East as natural cluster
No 28	10299	3	93.5%	Felixstowe South (FD, FE & FH) Felixstowe West (FI & FJ)	
No 29	10561	3	95.9%	Felixstowe East Parish Ward (F), East (FA, FF & FG) Felixstowe Walton (FC, FB & FBX)), and Felixstowe Allenby (FK & FKX)	Keep Felixstowe and Walton together
Total	201,836	55			
Target Average		3670			

8 Single Member wards, 16 two Member Wards and 5 three Member wards,

Key: Coloured and underlined parish names in the same colour, indicate where parish groupings apply which ideally should be located in the same ward. This option delivers the objective.

Draft New Ward Structure - Option 4B (56 Member structure)

Ward	Electoral No's	No of Cllrs	Variation in 2023	Description of proposed new ward composition	Relevant comments from Stakeholders etc. consultations exercise on ties and community links
No 1	7306	2	101.4%	Corton, Lound, Somerleyton, Blundeston , Flixton East , Camps Heath, Oulton Centre and North, Margaret's Parkhill	
No 2	11132	3	103%	Normanston North and South, St Margaret's West, Centre and East	
No 3	7097	2	98.5%	Gunton, Harbour North and Centre	
No 4	6834	2	94.8%	Oulton Broad West, South and East and Whitton North	Oulton Broad, Carlton, Colville, Gisleham and Corton kept together
No 5	11352	3	105.0%	Kirkley North & South and Pakefield East, North & South and Harbour South	Kirkley and Pakefield Distinct area of Pakefield should be retained and not put with Carlton Colville
No 6	7485	2	103.8%	Whitton East, Central & South and Carlton East and West & Kirkley West	
No 7	10083	3	93.3%	Carlton Colville North, East & South, Gisleham North and South, Mutford Carlton North, Rushmere, Ellough , Sotterley Shadingfield and Willingham St Mary & Henstead & Weston	Colville and Gisleham together Also links between Shadingfield, Willingham St Mary and Sotterley
No 8	3607	1	100.1%	Kessingland	Kessingland & Gisleham
No 9	6829	2	94.7%	Bungay (All), South Elmham All Saints , South Elmham St Michael , Elmham St Peter Homersfield, Ilketshall St Andrew, Ilketshall St John, Ilketshall St Lawrence and Ilketshall St Margaret, and Flixton West , South Elmham St Cross , South Elmham St Margaret South Elmham St James, , Barsham , Shipmeadow , Mettingham, Redisham, Ringsfield, Rumburgh	Links between Shipmeadow & Barsham.
No 10	3804	1	105.6%	Worlingham, Barnby and North Cove	
No 11	8177	2	113.4%	Beccles (All wards)	County Division of Beccles Worlingham, Barnby and North Cove make good new district ward. Also Beccles Ellough & Worlingham
No 12	7303	2	101.3%	Reydon, Wrentham, Benacre, Bramfield , Thorington , Henstead, Uggheshall , South Cove , Frostenden , Covehithe, Southwold, , Blythburgh, Dunwich, Walberswick, Westleton, Darsham, Wangford and Henham & Wenhaston with Mells	Southwold, Easton Bavents, Reydon, Wangford Wrentham. Also Southwold Walberswick, Bulcamp and Henham and also Reydon. Similar links between Reydon Wangford and Wrentham

No 13	6799	2	94.3%	Blyford, Sotherton , Holton Westhall, Spexhall, Halesworth North and South, Wissett, Chediston, Linstead , Magna and Parva , Cookley, Walpole , and Rumburgh and Brampton with Stoven	Halesworth & Holton Also Halesworth & Rumburgh Also suggestion of links with Chediston Cookley and Cratfield Bramfield and Walpole linked
No 14	3376	1	93.7%	Cratfield, Kelsale Cum Carlton, Yoxford, Badingham, Heveningham, Ubbeston, Huntingfield, Peasenhall, Sibton and Rendham	.Further suggestion of links between Ubbeston, Huntingfield & Heveningham. Also links Ubbeston & Heveningham
No 15	7089	2	94.3%	Leiston (all), Aldringham, Friston, Knodishall, Middleton and Theberton	Leiston should have two distinct Councillors
No 16	3504	1	97.2%	Saxmundham	Links between Saxmundham Great/Little Glemham Farnham Marlesford & Stratford St Andrew
No 17	6946	2	96.4%	Brandeston, Bruisyard, Charsfield, Cretingham, Monewden, Hoo , Earl Soham, Easton, Letheringham, Kettleburgh, Cransford, Dennington, Framingham, Great Glemham, Parham, Saxtead, and Sweffling, Dallinghoo & Debach	Monewden & Hoo, but not Cretingham Another suggestion of links between Letheringham, Monewden, Hoo Kettleburgh & Charsfield Also Sweffling, Bruisyard & Cransford
No 18	6885	2	95.5%	Blaxhall, Hacheston, Camp Ashe, Pettistree, Ufford, Wickham Market, Rendlesham, Marlesford, Little Glemham, Benhall, Sternfield, Straford St Andrew and Farnham and Blaxhall	Wickham Market, Ufford and Woodbridge and the peninsula. Also the suggestion of links between Hacheston & Campsea. Also Hacheston Parham Marlesford and Great Glemham. Also Wickham Market is linked with Pettistree Blaxhall & Hacheston
No 19	4102	1	113.8%	Aldeburgh, Butley, Capel St Andrew, Wantisden , Chillesford, Snape, Sudbourne, Iken and Tunstall	Chillesford & Sudbourne
No 20	7539	2	102.6%	Martlesham North and Woodbridge (All), Hasketon, Bredfield, Boulge and Burgh	
No 21	3856	1	107.0%	Clopton, Grundisburgh, Culpho , Otley, Tuddenham St Martin, Westerfield, Witnesham and Swiland	Westerfield, Tuddenham Witnesham & Grundisburgh linked – joint choir and newsletter. Also several comments about keeping Playford, Great & Little Bealings, Culpho & Grundisburgh together as they work well together. Also including Bredfield
No 22	3636	1	100.9%	Melton	Melton and Ufford.
No 23	6998	2	97.1%	Martlesham (MA) & Heath (MB), Great Bealings and Little Bealings, Hemley, Newbourne, Playford, and Waldringfield	.
No 24	4020	1	111.5%	Alderton, Ramsholt, Bawdsey, Boyton, Eyke, Hollesley, Orford, Gedgrave , Shottisham, Sutton (SG) and Sutton Heath, and	Also links between Bromeswell and Sutton Heath

				Bromeswell	
No 25	10534	3	97.4%	Kesgrave West and East Wards and Martlesham West	Also suggestion of including Playford, Kesgrave East as natural cluster
No 26	7902	2	109.6%	Rushmere St Andrew Village and Tower, <u>Purdis Farm, Foxhall, Brightwell</u> and Bucklesham	Purdis Farm does not want to be linked to Felixstowe Keep Kirton Levington and Bucklesham Parishes together. Martlesham links naturally with Little Bearings and Waldringfield or Woodbridge.
No 27	7071	2	98.1%	Trimley St Mary and St Martin, <u>Kirton, Falkenham Levington, Stratton Hall</u> and Nacton	Also suggestion of links between Falkenham, Levington, Stratton Hall, Hemley, Nacton, Brightwell and Waldringfield
No 28	10299	3	95.3%	Felixstowe West (FI and FJ) Felixstowe South (FD, FE & FH)	Keep Felixstowe and Walton together
No 29	10561	3	97.7%	Felixstowe East Parish Ward (F), East (FA) Felixstowe Walton (FC, FB & FBX)), and Felixstowe East (FG & FF) Felixstowe Allenby (FK & FKX	
Total	201,836	56			
Target Average		3604			

8 Single Member wards, 15 two Member Wards and 6 three Member wards,

Key: Coloured and underlined parish names in the same colour, indicate where parish groupings apply which ideally should be located in the same ward. This option delivers the objective.

MEMBER WORKING GROUP MINUTES – 19 MARCH 2018

Suffolk Coastal & Waveney District Councils



in partnership

east Suffolk.gov.uk

SUFFOLK COASTAL AND WAVENEY DISTRICT COUNCILS

ONE COUNCIL PROGRAMME – MEMBER WORKING GROUP

ELECTORAL REVIEW MEMBER WORKING GROUP

DATE:	Wednesday, 19 March 2018
TIME:	2.00pm
LOCATION:	Orbis Energy, Wilde Street, Lowestoft

NOTES/ ACTIONS FROM MEETING

Attending Councillors:

Colin Hedgley	Geoff Holdcroft
Steve Gallant	Mike Deacon
Andy Smith	Carol Poulter
Tony Cooper	Chris Blundell
Nicky Yeo	Stephen Burroughes
John Fisher	Mark Newton
Mark Bee	Graham Elliot
Alison Cackett	Simon Woods
Jenny Ceresa	Janet Craig
Paul Ashdown	Keith Patience
Mike Barnard	Keith Robinson
Michael Ladd	Linda Coulam
Malcolm Cherry	David Ritchie
Yvonne Cherry	Nick Webb
Norman Brooks	Craig Rivett
Bruce Provan	

Stephen Baker (Chief Executive Officer)
 Sandra Lewis (Programme Manager)
 Nick Khan (Strategic Director)
 Karen Last (Electoral Services)
 Cliff Robinson (Electoral Services)
 Tim Willis (Electoral Services)
 Agnes Ogundiran (Project Support Officer)

1.	<p>Welcome/ Introduction Apologies given by Cllr Andy Smith in advance of his need to leave the meeting early. Apologies given by Stephen Ardley – Jenny Ceresa</p>	
----	--	--

2.	<p>Previous meeting (19/03/2018):</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Cllr. Mike Deacon wanted it noted that he was disappointed that his comments and concerns about North South Ward Boundary in Felixstowe and the use of Garrison Lane had not been examined and reflected in the revised versions of proposals presented. The officers present explained that a number of options for Felixstowe had been developed based on current polling districts but the option presented was the best fit possible based on existing areas and to minimise any impact on other ward areas • LGBCE/ MHCLG briefing for Councillors has been confirmed for 03/04/2018 - at ESH. All members are welcome to attend • The profiles and details of the visiting speakers will be circulated prior to the meeting 	Cliff Robinson
3.	<p>Electoral review timetable and key dates:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • 18/04/2018 – Next Electoral MWG at ESH • 30/04/2018 – Simultaneous full council meeting at High Lodge, Darsham • 04/05/2018 – Deadline for supplying DCLG with Council’s draft proposals for ward boundaries • 03/07/2018 – LGBCE publish their proposal for draft warding arrangements for East Suffolk for consultation. Consultation closes on 27/08/2018 • 09/10/2018 - LGBCE to agree its final recommendations regarding the warding structure of the new council 	Nick Khan
4.	<p>Warding proposals</p> <p>The meeting on 19, March concluded by proposing that:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Option 4A (55 members) was preferred by the majority, though further amendments were needed • Officers will look again at 21, 23, 25, 26, and 27 to see if amendments could be made to address some of the concerns regarding these areas raised at the meeting. Concerns were raised 	

around this 'Ipswich fringe' area. Any changes to the structure to be contained, as far as possible, within these areas to minimise any impact on other warding areas.

- Martlesham Parish would be looked at to see whether the proposal should cover the parish in its entirety (currently split into three areas).
- Minor adjustments to Wards 12 and 13
- Felixstowe (Ward 28 and 29). Investigations be made into the possible adjustments to these boundaries and treated as self-contained within these two wards. Cllr Deacon who raised the issue to meet with officers to explore if changes can be made. It was noted however, that the other Felixstowe Councillors seemed to be accepting of the proposal on 4A and 4B.
- Meeting concluded with suggestions that Officers should review and adjust 4A and create a new option 4C.
- Adjustments to be completed and distributed to the two leaders by 23/03/2018, so there's sufficient time for the 4C option to be reviewed by members following the - LGBCE/ MHCLG briefing on 03/04/2018

Member comments and discussions:

The officers highlighted the context and constraints faced in developing warding options, some of these being structure, time, legal framework, and certain geographical constraints such as the County Boundary and the sea.

Members discussed forecasting projections and the guidance issued by the Commission for this. Some Members felt the forecasting relating to planning and growth were unrealistically low given the amount of potential housing building projected in the revised Local Plan for East Suffolk.

The discussion concluded by the Officers highlighting the strict guidelines given by the Boundary Commission, which stated that forecasting can be made no further than five years ahead and only projects with planning permissions could be included in the forecast.

Bringing the focus back to the warding options, Members declared their preferences and offered suggestions on how each of the options could be adjusted to become more favourable.

Several Members voiced the need to be pragmatic in

Cliff Robinson
Sandra Lewis
Karen Last

an “administrative” one and not likely to have any significant impact on residents themselves. They stressed the need to focus on reaching agreement on the best option as soon as possible as it was unlikely, given the various constraints, that we could have an option solution that suited everyone.

It was recognised by all that agreement on the warding structure was time sensitive. Failure to reach a decision before the deadline could mean the Boundary Commission imposing a solution that may not be appropriate or suitable for the Council’s aims and aspirations

Other comments and concerns raised:

- Given the size of the new wards, the logistics of being able to travel around them to support local constituents.
- A reminder that any adjustments made to a ward in one area having a ripple impact on other ward area

Key points from members briefing on Tuesday, 3rd April 2018:

Following the departure of the representatives from Ministry of Housing, Communities and Local Government (**MHCLG**) and the Local Government Boundary Commission for England (**LGBCE**) the Members viewed and discussed warding Option 5 as agreed at the previous Electoral Review MWG at Orbis Energy.

- Option 5 reflected adjustments made to Felixstowe (Ward 28 and 29) following Cllr Deacon’s consultation with officers. Details of Councillor Deacon’s proposals for a realignment of the boundary between these two wards were presented at the meeting. However Members present were in favour of retaining the new proposals set out in Option 5 regarding the wards in Felixstowe
- Cllr Blundell argued strongly that Martlesham Parish area, which was proposed to be split between wards 21, 25 and 27, should be encompassed into a single district ward area. In response, Officers explained briefly the

	<p>which the meeting endorsed.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • On the Option 5 map, members highlighted some slight suggestions for changes to certain wards boundaries in the area to the north of Felixstowe to be reflected community identity issues. • Accordingly, members strongly suggested changes to Wards 25 and 26, by removing Purdis Farm, Brightwell, & Foxhall areas from ward 26 and putting them into ward 25, and similarly removing Newbourne, Hemley and Bucklesham area from ward 25 and putting them into ward 26. The changes allow for a clearer distinction between the suburban areas of Martlesham and Purdis Farm and the rural area from the Trimleys towards Martlesham. <p>The Working Party agreed to support this adjustment to these two wards, and the Chairman concluded that once the minor adjustments had been made, they would be incorporated into a final ward proposal to be called Option 6.</p>	
5.	<p>Risks:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Not reaching a decision regarding the wards before the boundary commission deadline 	Nick Khan
6.	<p>Any changes to MWG work programme:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • No new changes to the programme apart from the changes that need to be made to option 4A 	
7.	<p>Items for next MWG on 18/04/2018 and reporting to Member Programme Board on 16/04/2018:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • None identified 	
8.	<p>Any other business:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • None identified 	
	<p>Next Meeting: 18/04/2018 10:00 Alde meeting room, ESH</p>	

REPORT TO MEMBER WORKING GROUP – 3 APRIL 2018

Electoral Review Working Group – 3 April 2018

Draft Warding Proposals

1 Introduction

At the last meeting of the Working Group on 19 March, Members considered two warding structure options (4A) and (4B) for the new East Suffolk Council area based on 55 and 56 Member solutions respectively.

Option 4A (55 members) was preferred by the majority of Members, though further amendments were needed to wards 21,23,25,26,27 to see if changes could be made to address some of the concerns regarding these areas raised at the meeting, particularly around this 'Ipswich fringe' area. Any changes to the structure to be contained, as far as possible, within these ward areas to minimise the impact.

As regards Felixstowe (Ward 28 and 29), it was agreed to investigate possible adjustments to these boundaries but treated this as self-contained within these two wards.

2 Warding Proposals - Option 5

2.1 Further detailed work has therefore been done try to meet the Working Group's requests, again taking into account the various constraints placed on the review exercise as previously explained. A final map now called Option 5, to avoid confusion with previous versions, has been prepared and this is attached for Members consideration. Also attached is a revised schedule of ward descriptions to reflect the changes made in option 5. The practical implications of the adjustments are outlined below

a) Wards 21, 23, 25, 26, 27

Ward 18 (Framlingham) - Slightly adjusted by removing Charsfield (CA), Dallinghoo (DAX) and Debach(DAY) and putting them into Ward 22

Ward 21 – Burgh (HAY) has been transferred into ward 22

Ward 22 - Westerfield (WD) and Tuddenham St Martin (TE) are removed and put in Ward 23.

Ward 25 -Playford (PC), Great Bealings (BCX) and Little Bealings (BCY) are also removed from adjacent Ward 25 and put in ward 23. Ward 25 becomes a revised ward based on Martlesham to include the parishes to the south (and east of the A12 - as suggested by some Members)

Ward 23 - This is now splits Rushmere from the southern parishes around Purdis Farm as some Members requested and keeps within the % figures

Ward 26 – This becomes a ward that covers the area from Purdis Farm (PD) and Nacton (N) running south to Trimley St Martin (TB) and Trimley St Mary (TC).

b) Minor adjustments.

To better reflect the management of Parliamentary Constituency boundaries the following minor changes have been made:

- Rushmere (WRR) has been transferred from ward 7 to ward 10
- Rendham (R) has been transferred from ward 14 to ward 18

Please note that no changes have been made to ward 12 with Ellough (WFE), Sotterley (WFSO), Shadingfield (WFSH), Willingham St Mary (WFWM) and Weston (WFWE) parishes being retained in that ward

c) Felixstowe – Wards 28 and 29

A meeting has taken place between Officers and Cllr Deacon, who raised concerns about these wards, to explore if any changes can be made within Felixstowe itself. The proposed suggestion from Cllr Deacon would utilise Garrison Lane and Langer Road, as a possible revised boundary between the two wards 28 and 29. To ascertain if this approach is feasible and workable within the review's criteria, further work by the Officers is ongoing in terms of impact on electoral numbers. The outcome of this exercise will be reported to the meeting to enable the Working Group to make a final recommendation in parallel with (a) and (b) above.

It should be noted that as a result of these adjustments, all wards are kept within the 10% tolerance apart from ward 1 and 11 (which has not changed from previously). This can be justified as explained in the previous report last Monday.

3 Review Time Frame

- 3.1 Throughout the Boundary Review exercise, particular emphasis has been placed on the pressure the Council is under to meet the timeframes for submitting proposals to the LGBCE. The deadline for this exercise is **4 May 2018**. This requires formal ratification by the Council on 30 April. As explained previously failure to meet this deadline could result in a warding structure being imposed.

4 Naming of Wards

- 4.1 As mentioned at the last meeting, in order to keep to the timeframes, the Member Working Group at its meeting on 18 April will need to consider and agree names for these new wards. This is on the basis that agreement is reached on a final RECOMMENDED structure at this meeting.
- 4.2 The Officers will be presenting suggested options for naming the new Wards for approval on 18 April. A report, which provides details and justification evidence for the changes together with the final recommendations on the warding structure itself, can then be submitted to the full Simultaneous Council meeting on 30 April 2018. As mentioned at the last meeting, all Members are encouraged to attend the Working Group meeting on 18 April, so that the proposed naming of wards can take account of Members local knowledge.

In addition, the recommendations from the Electoral Review Member Working Group will be reported to the Member Programme Board on 16 April prior to submission to the Simultaneous full Council meeting. Accordingly the Working Group is asked to agree and recommend to the Member Programme Board and the Council, the Warding Structure set out in Option 5.

EXTRACT FROM MEMBER PROGRAMME BOARD MINUTES – 16 April 2018

Agenda Item 7

Member Working Group Update

e. Electoral Review – Warding Proposals

Councillor Holdcroft, Joint Lead Member of the Electoral Review Member Working Group, reported that the Electoral Review Member Working Group had met on a number of occasions; at this point he thanked the Officer Team for the support work that had been provided to the Group. Councillor Holdcroft reported that the recommendation from the Member Working Group was that Option 6 be recommended to the Member Programme Board. Councillor Holdcroft further reported that the Electoral Review Member Working Group was due to meet on 18 April 2018 to consider the names of the proposed wards.

Councillor Holdcroft advised the Board that, while considering the warding proposals, at the meeting of the Electoral Review Member Working Group on 19 March 2018, there had been two areas of contention. The first related to Felixstowe, where there had been differing views, with one Member suggesting a realignment of the boundary between Wards 28 and 29 (Felixstowe). The second area of contention related to Martlesham, and Councillor Holdcroft reported that Councillor Blundell and Martlesham Parish Council did not support the proposals for wards affecting Martlesham (wards 21 25 and 27). The Board was advised that officers had reviewed this on a number of occasions but, because of the “ripple effect”, the numbers did not “stack up”.

Councillor Blundell, Ward Member for Martlesham, stated that he was very unhappy with Option 6; he referred to the population together with the increase in the housing market, and stated that in his view there was “overloading”. Councillor Holdcroft stated that the projected forecasts for the electorate for the next five years had been included within Option 6; he added that the proposed electoral numbers for these ward were just within the Boundary Commission’s guidance and any change was expected to breach this. Councillor Blundell stated that the alignment of Purdis Farm to Martlesham would not work very well.

Councillor Yeo, Ward Member for Nacton and Purdis Farm, stated that, in principle, she was content with Option 6 and she understood the rationale involved. However, Purdis Farm was not an urban area.

Councillor Smith, substituting for Councillor Gallant as a Member of the Member Programme Board, stated that, in his view, Martlesham was over-represented as a ward. It was, he said, too big for one Member, but not big enough for two.

Councillor Herring stated that it would not be possible to have a perfect situation for all; however, the best possible solution needed to be found. Councillor Herring referred to the lengthy process that had taken place and that once the councils’ proposals had been put forward to the Boundary Commission it would, after holding a full consultation process, come up with its own view.

Councillor Barker referred to a very small number of properties (three or four), in the north of East Suffolk, that appeared to be an odd arrangement. It was concluded by the Member Working Group that this was due to current polling districts; the issue could not be solved at this stage, but possibly in the future.

Councillor Bee, referring to the overall proposals, and the need to now move forward, stated that this was the councils’ proposal; there would be a comprehensive consultation process undertaken by the Boundary Commission; and, ultimately, the Boundary Commission would take the final decision.

Councillor Block referred to the meeting that was scheduled to take place the following day, where the names of the proposed wards would be considered, she stated the need for the current names, and how they had been used, to be considered.

Having considered the views of the Electoral Review Member Working Group, and heard the comments of visiting Members, the Member Programme Board recommended approval of Option 6 as the warding structure pattern to go forward to the Simultaneous Council meeting on 30 April 2018.

REPORT TO MEMBER WORKING GROUP – 18 APRIL 2018

Electoral Review Working Group –18 April 2018

Draft Warding Proposals

1 Introduction

At the meeting held on 3 April, the Working Group adopted a final district warding structure based on Option 6 and agreed to recommend this model for the new East Suffolk Council as the preferred option, to a special Simultaneous Council meeting Council meeting to be held on 30 April. These recommendations will go via the Member Programme Board taking place on 16 April.

2 Ward Names

2.1 A detailed warding Pattern Submission will now be prepared to include all the evidence to both support and to justify the ward structure. The submission will also contain separately, **details** of the Working Group's deliberations, including those alternative options and ideas that have been discussed by the Group over the last few months, **all of which will be reviewed by the LGBCE when it commences its formal consultation process in July.**

2.2 As both the Council size (55 Members) and the District Ward boundaries have now been agreed by the respective councils, it will be necessary to provide the Boundary Commission for England (LGBCE) with new ward names, making use of the LGBCE guidance that any name should be short, distinct and identifiable in encapsulating the ward area.

2.3 The Officers in Appendix 1 attached, have suggested some possible names for these new wards to assist Members with the exercise. In some cases, the new ward name will be fairly obvious, as it represents an identifiable town or parish/urban area, so there is little, if any value, in giving alternative names. In other cases, alternative names have been suggested for discussion and consideration, some having a historic or local connection. Furthermore in addition, Members may wish to put forward their own alternative name suggestions, utilising the LGBCE guidelines base on their local knowledge.

3 Recommendation

3.1 Members are asked to consider and agree the ward names and recommend them to the Special Council meeting, so that they can be included in the Ward Pattern Submission document to be presented to MHCLG before the deadline of 4 May and for later consideration by the LGBCE, once the Order, formally creating the new Authority has been made.

MEMBER WORKING GROUP MINUTES – 18 APRIL 2018

Suffolk Coastal & Waveney District Councils



SUFFOLK COASTAL AND WAVENEY DISTRICT COUNCILS

ONE COUNCIL PROGRAMME – MEMBER WORKING GROUP

ELECTORAL REVIEW MEMBER WORKING GROUP

DATE:	Wednesday, 18 April 2018
TIME:	10.00am
LOCATIO N:	Deben meeting room, East Suffolk House

NOTES/ ACTIONS FROM MEETING

Attending Councillors:

Geoff Holdcroft	Colin Hedgley
Mike Deacon	Tony Fryatt
Andy Smith	Carol Poulter
Tony Cooper	Chris Blundell
Nicky Yeo	Stephen Burroughes
John Fisher	Mark Newton
Christine Block	

Mark Bee	Sonia Barker
Alison Cackett	Sue Allen
Janet Craig	Keith Patience
Susan Harvey	

Nick Khan (Strategic Director)
Karen Last (Electoral Services)
Cliff Robinson (Electoral Services)
Tim Willis (Electoral Services)
Agnes Ogundiran (Project Support Officer)

1.	Welcome/ Introduction Apologies given by Cllr Michael Ladd	
2.	Previous meeting (19/03/2018) combined with the key points from member briefing (on the 3 rd April): <ul style="list-style-type: none">• Cllr Deacon felt that the key points recorded from the 3rd April briefing	

	<p>didn't fully capture the discussions that took place. In addition, it was his understanding that his alternative proposals for Felixstowe (and the supporting evidence submitted) would be presented alongside the final recommendation at this meeting for consideration.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • The Chairman responded by explaining that the Member Programme had already met on Monday 16th April and recommended option 6 be submitted to Full Council on 30 April and the meeting today was about naming those wards. He further explained that the report will also contain alternative suggestions for Felixstowe Wards and notes from all the working group meetings together with supporting evidence and detailed background information. All of these documents would be submitted to the Boundary Commission (LGBCE). • The Strategic Director reminded Members that the Council was in the informal stage of the review preparation process. The Council was similar to a consultee at this informal stage - as we have been asked to produce warding recommendations for the LGBCE to consider and hopefully take on board for their formal consultation process which commences on 3 July. There will be a further opportunities after that date for Members, parishes and the public to put forward further suggestions. However, the Councils aim, since the start of the exercise was always to present one unified proposal to the LGBCE on behalf of East Suffolk for consideration. • Cllr Deacon's comments were supported by Cllr Smith, who said that in the interest of accuracy, that the key points from the briefing meeting on 3 April 2018, be amended by adding the following words Under the heading 'Key points from members briefing on Tuesday, 3rd April 2018' as follows: <i>"An undertaking was given by the Chairman and Officers that Cllr Deacon's proposal is to be accepted alongside the preferred option at a future meeting"</i> This proposal was seconded by Cllr Deacon. The Chairman then put the proposal to the vote and this proposal was carried by a majority in favour. It was agreed that the record would be amended by adding these comments. 	
3.	<p>Electoral review timetable and key dates:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • 30/04/2018 – Simultaneous full council meeting at High Lodge, Darsham • 04/05/2018 – Deadline for supplying DCLG with Council's draft proposals for ward boundaries • 03/07/2018 – LGBCE publish their proposal for draft warding arrangements for East Suffolk for consultation. Consultation closes on 27/08/2018 • 09/10/2018 - LGBCE to agree its final recommendations regarding the warding structure of the new council 	Nick Khan

4.	<p>Naming of proposed wards for East Suffolk</p> <p>The working Group considered names for the proposed 29 new wards based on Option 6. This was based on local knowledge, historic information and local community soundings.</p> <p>With the exception of the two Felixstowe wards (28 and 29) which was the subject of separate vote the Working Party unanimously recommended the names out in the schedule below</p> <p>Cllr Smith then proposed which was duly seconded that the alternative names of 'Felixstowe South West' and 'Felixstowe North East' be proposed. Upon being put to the vote these names did not received majority support.</p> <p>The proposed names of 'Felixstowe South' and 'Felixstowe North' were then proposed and seconded. Upon being put to the vote, this names received majority support and were added the schedule below:</p> <p>Lothingland Gunton and St Margaret's Harbour and Normanston, Oulton Broads Kirkley and Pakefield Carlton and Whitton Carlton Colville, Worlingham Kessingland Beccles Blyth Estuary Leiston Sandlings Deben and Ore Wainford Blything Kelsale & Yoxford Saxmundham Framingham Rendlesham & Wickham Market Carlford Fynn Valley Martlesham and Purdis Farm Orwell and Villages Kesgrave Melton Woodbridge Felixstowe South Felixstowe North</p>	
5.	<p>Risks:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> The risk register was reviewed and no new risks identified. 	
6.	<p>Any changes to MWG work programme:</p>	

	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> The Election Review timetable was revisited. The Chairman proposed that the scheduled meetings in May and June (14/05/2018 and 19/06/2018) are to be amended in Member diaries as tentative meetings. These dates will only be used if emergency meetings need to be convened otherwise the next meeting for the working group will be in July 2018. <p>Officers will ensure that the original diary invitations sent for the May and June meetings are amended to reflect that they are ‘tentative’ unless otherwise informed.</p>	
7.	<p>Items for next MWG:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> None identified 	
8.	<p>Any other business:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> The LGBCE were planning to hold briefing sessions for all parishes, one in the north and one in the south. This process would be owned by the LGBCE, with the Council assisting by providing venues. The briefings are expected to take place in late June 2018. Full details will be confirmed in due course. 	
	<p>Next Meeting: 18/07/2018 at 10:00am G13S Bungay Room, Riverside</p>	

CONSULTATION FINDINGS – General Comments Summary

- The new wards should keep as close as possible to the County Divisions. The review exercise should mimic them, perhaps depending on numbers.
- Should reduce the number of Councillors e.g., Southwold and Reydon does not need two.
- The density and complexity of populations requires more representation.
- Should delegate more responsibility to Market Towns.
- Keep the River Blyth as divide between new district wards
- Aim to bring Communities around Blyth Estuary together to help foster a partnership to protect and develop Southwold Harbour.
- Don't stretch services too much by making the wards too big. Risk of reducing representation so that communities are not properly represented.
- Existing arrangement works well, why change?
- Reducing the wards is a good idea as it minimising overheads both financially and administratively.
- A47 makes a good boundary line for wards.
- People will not recognised East Suffolk but retain the name Suffolk Coastal will take years to change and accept.
- Significante concern about losing rural identity of villages. Should aim to keep rural parishes together and retain uniqueness of small villages.
- Should use natural boundaries of rivers, roads and Church links for new wards.
- Several comments about councillors currently have difficulty in covering parishes. This would only become a bigger problem with fewer district Councillors.
- Why are the changes necessary – if because of budget cuts, the Council should explain the reasons.
- Should try to establish one Member only wards, if not possible just two Member.
- Opposite view – no single member wards ideally as this is unhelpful for work load for ill health/resignation/death.
- Done without a political bias.
- Suffolk coastal has a built in majority – one party rule structure with no meaningful opposition.
- Separate rural from urban.
- New wards should be well defined geographically with similar interests and not cut through villages.
- Melton should have some representative connection to Woodbridge as it uses its facilities but currently with no say or vote etc.
- Lowestoft largest town should have proportionate representation in numbers equal no to rural.
- No loss of democratic accountability.
- Road maintenance needs to be improved changes could lead to less focus on this.
- Need to have more visible policing, for the reinforcement of parking and speed restrictions.
- If wards are to be changed will this change the amount of money allocate to them.
- Representative levels should be as equal as possible.
- Protecting Suffolk's rural unspoilt nature. Larger wards might help in protecting over development-importance of seeking to protect tourism.
- Don't allow small parishes to be swallowed up.
- At the last PER review, the no of Cllrs for Kesgrave was reduced from 5 to 4: this resulted in confusion. If reduced further, should aim to create a new ward(s) that covers the whole of Kesgrave.
- The needs and issues of a parish relate to its size. Cretingham is a small village of 100 dwellings with a church and a pub, but no school or shop.

- Hasketon wants to retain its rural nature.
- Concern about rumours of large building developments in early pre planning stages.
- Should try to incentivise cooperation between neighbouring wards for social, economic and cultural reasons, particularly for post Brexit farming communities.
- As the ward boundaries increase in size, local voices become more distance. Taken to the limit, if all county councils merged we would have a parallel National governing body - i.e. the Government. Small Parishes don't get much in the form of services, poor transport, roads, and Broadband. Lack of gas, mains sewerage etc. and our voice can be lost when larger entities are competing for funding or support services.
- Should share the speed restriction (SID) recorder.
- It important that wards are not too large to keep a close relationship between the parish and the local councillor. Whilst there is a cost to having Councillors, it's not too large, so should not be given too much weight as a means of saving money.

		Total Responses	Blanks filtered out	Responses containing data
First download	02/02/2018	202	92	110
Second download	12/02/2018	57	23	34
Third download	23/02/2018	28	3	25
TOTAL		287	118	169

Felixstowe Wards – alternative option

Proposed wards for Felixstowe

This proposal will be for two 3-member wards. It will be based on communities of interest within Felixstowe, their historic settlements and social cohesion. The boundary will be clearly defined and one that is easily recognised and understood by the people of the town.

Shaping the community for the long term: This proposal provides a long-term solution for housing and residential growth.

Electoral Equality for voters:

The two wards identified here will satisfy the number of electors per Councillor criteria:

10,299 electors – Ward 1 - 93.5%

10,561 electors – Ward 2 - 95.9%

Community identities and interests

Ward One – ‘Felixstowe Western’

Geography: The geography of this ward will be based on the original settlement of Walton, which was known to exist well before Felixstowe. It has been identified on historic maps.

It will comprise :

- A large part of the current SCDC Ward of Felixstowe North, and the entire SCDC Ward of Felixstowe West.
- A key historic dividing line is recognised in the town as the A154 Garrison Lane / Langer Road. The housing to the West of Garrison Lane and to the North of Langer Road is included in this ‘Western Ward’.
- The Westerly boundary will be the A14 Port of Felixstowe Road.

The part of the existing Felixstowe North Ward included in this proposal is a coherent community based on current day Walton: there is a vibrant shopping area with a coffee shop and pubs, a post office, a Community Hall, playing fields and play areas, a doctor’s surgery and the Parish Church of St Mary the Virgin Walton as well as a Baptist and Methodist Church. In addition, there is an Infant School, a Junior school and the new Felixstowe Academy. Currently the Ward extends into open countryside.

The current West Ward is linked to the current North Ward by Maidstone Road / Grange Road / Grange Farm Avenue. It includes a large area of what was built as ‘Council housing’ as well as a large area of more recent private housing developments. There is a community ethos provided through the Churches of St Philip’s and Christ Church which is at the centre of the Cavendish Park community. There is a busy centre to the community with a large supermarket at its heart, as well as a local shopping area, a community café, a Primary School, a Medical Centre, pub, cemetery, garage, play and recreation areas. The local Housing Association has offices in the Ward. There are two caravan parks.

Part of the current South Ward. The area to the West of Garrison Lane and North of Langer Road will be taken from the current South Ward and links well into the proposed ‘Western Ward’. There is a park, a Primary school and St Edmund’s Church, as well as two supermarkets.

Employment: The Port of Felixstowe at the mouth of the River Orwell lies mainly within this 'Western Ward' meaning the three councillors can be easily contacted about and have responsibility for 'Port related issues' such as transport links. Consultation is easy to carry out. The related Port employment areas are all in this Ward and it provides them with a cohesive council structure.

Ward Two – 'Felixstowe Eastern'.

Geography: this Ward will comprise all of the East Ward and most of the current South Ward.

Community Interests: This ward includes the Town Centre shopping area as well as the small area of shops on High Road East. All the resort elements of Felixstowe including the whole of the seafront from Felixstowe Ferry to Landguard are within this Ward. This provides a cohesive approach for discussions with residents as the issues fall within the remit of one set of Ward Councillors.

Community and Leisure Facilities:

A Primary, Infant and a Junior school.

The sailing community of Felixstowe Ferry at the mouth of the River Deben.

Felixstowe Leisure Centre and Brackenbury Sports Centre.

Dellwood Avenue Football Ground, Eastward Ho, Felixstowe Ferry Golf Club and Woodlands at The Grove.

Old Felixstowe Community Centre.

The Parish Churches of Old Felixstowe and St John's as well as Methodist, URC, Baptist Churches and the Salvation Army Citadel.

Two Doctors' surgeries.

Two Conservation Areas which are a significant part of the Edwardian Heritage of Felixstowe.

Effective and convenient local government

Boundary

The clear boundary of the A154 Garrison Lane and Langer Road between the proposed wards is one that is easily communicated to residents and will be understood. Residents can easily relate to their Councillors and will know who to contact. It also makes it very easy for Ward Councillors to understand their responsibilities. Hence, this provides an effective boundary which is both convenient for residents and also for the East Suffolk District Council.

Martlesham Warding Proposal

Cllr Blundell has argued that Martlesham Parish area should be encompassed into a district ward area that covers the whole of the Parish and suggested that proposed future development of the eastern side of the parish, east of the A12, currently an industrial park, would eventually facilitate this.

The Electoral Working Group has felt, however throughout its deliberations, that as the northern and east fringes of parish area was heavily linked geographically to different adjacent urban areas, its preferred solution, included in the final Ward Pattern Submission, was to split between wards 21, 25 and 27. Furthermore, the projected electoral numbers over the 5 year forecast period, would not reach the required electoral numbers to make this possible, even over a longer period

There are also other factors. Part of Martlesham (MAY) has been kept with Kesgrave as this small area identifies strongly with Kesgrave and not within this ward. Access to this part of Martlesham is from the same main road running through the north of ward. This area of Martlesham is also in a different constituency (Central Suffolk and North Ipswich), suggesting stronger links with Kesgrave.

Similarly Martlesham North (MAX) has been kept with Woodbridge as this area of Martlesham more readily identifies with Woodbridge rather than the village of Martlesham, due to its location and access routes. A separate piece of work looking at the Neighbourhood Plan for the area, undertook a consultation exercise with residents in this part of Martlesham where the majority stated they identified as being part of Woodbridge, both physically in terms of location and where they relate to for local services

Options 1 to 5

The development of warding patterns evolved over a number of options prior to Option 6 being recommended to Simultaneous Full Council for submission, as detailed in the previous meeting notes.

Option 1	February 2018
Option 2	February 2018
Option 3	February 2018
Option 4A	March 2018
Option 4B	March 2018
Option 5	April 2018
Option 6 – final proposal	April 2018 – see Volume 1 submission

All five draft options are detailed on the following pages.

1B

1		8114	2		11522	3		7491
GCC	Corton	1333	HBCE	Harbour Centre	2275	GCG	Gunton	2617
LBL	Blundeston	1226	HBNO	Harbour North	2205	SMCE	St Margarets Centre	2623
LCH	Camps Heath	99	HBSO	Harbour South	1593	SMEA	St Margarets East	2252
LFI	Flixton East	34	NMNO	Normanston North	2577			
LLO	Lound	299	NMSO	Normanston South	2872			
LSA	Somerleyton Ashby Herringfleet	325						
OTCE	Oulton Centre	1721						
OTNO	Oulton North	1460						
SMP	St Margarets Parkhill	810						
SMWE	St Margarets West	808						

4		6834	5		11060	6		7745
OTSO	Oulton South	1060	KLNO	Kirkley North	2688	CAEA	Carlton East	768
OUBE	Oulton Broad East	1948	KLNO	Kirkley South	1617	CANO	Carlton North	1560
OUBW	Oulton Broad West	2177	KLWE	Kirkley West	1300	CAWE	Carlton West	1192
WHNO	Whitton North	1649	PFEA	Pakefield East	991	WHCE	Whitton Centre	1773
			PFNO	Pakefield North	2079	WHE	Whitton East	1085
			PFSO	Pakefield South	2385	WHSO	Whitton South	1367

7		7641	8		3779	9		7445
CCEA	Carlton Colville East	2190	KES	Kessingland	3779	BUCE	Bungay Centre	2070
CCNO	Carlton Colville North	1561				BUFA	Bungay East	1056
CCSO	Carlton Colville South	2873				BUSO	Bungay South	1228
KEGN	Gisleham North	273				TSAS	South Elmham All Saints	119
KEGS	Gisleham South	329				TSFL	Flixton West	148
WFMU	Mutford	415				TSHO	Homersfield	131
						TSIA	Ilketshall St Andrew	246
						TSIJ	Ilketshall St John	33
						TSIL	Ilketshall St Lawrence	143
						TSIM	Ilketshall St Margaret	131
						TSMI	South Elmham St Michael	48
						TSPE	South Elmham St Peter	27
						WFFM	Willingham St Mary	107
						TSSC	South Elmham St Cross	86
						TSSJ	South Elmham St James	166
						TSSM	South Elmham St Margaret	79
						WFB	Barsham	190
						WFME	Mettingham	171
						WFRE	Redisham	114
						WFRI	Ringsfield	280
						WFSM	Shipmeadow	100
						WFWE	Weston	174
						WFSH	Shadingfield	141
						WFE	Ellogh	32
						WFSO	Sotterley	80
						WRBS	Brampton with Stoven	343

10		3804	11		8177	12		6934
WLW	Worlingham	3015	BCE	Beccles Centre	2040	DDX	Dunwich	98
WLB	Barnby	428	BCO	Beccles Common	2097	SHRE	Reydon	2284
WLNC	North Cove	361	BDA	Beccles Darby	2008	SHSO	Southwold	861
			BRI	Beccles Rigbourne	2032	W	Walberswick	299
						WRSC	South Cove	22
						WRF	Frostenden	151
						WRU	Uggeshall	135
						WRH	Henstead	286
						WRR	Rushmere	62
						WRW	Wrentham	839
						WRB	Benacre	56
						WRC	Covehithe	26
						WE	Westleton	397
						DB	Darsham	332
						BF	Blythburgh	262
						BLWA	Wangford and Henham	479
						TA	Thorington	57
						BH	Bramfield	288

13		7434	14		3376	15		7089
BLFD	Blyford	78	CGX	Cratfield	269	KH	Knodishall	686
BLSO	Sotherton	70	HBX	Heveningham	96	L	Leiston	2231
BLWE	Westhall	299	HBX	Ubbeston	75	LA	Leiston	2627
WC	Wenhaston With Mells	717	HD	Huntingfield	147	ME	Middleton	333
BLHO	Holton	679	PA	Peasenhall	458	T	Theberton	248
BLSP	Spexhall	155	SC	Sibton	188	AD	Aldringham Cum Thorpe	673
HALN	Halesworth North	1958	Y	Yoxford	637	FO	Friston	291
HALS	Halesworth South	2403	B	Badingham	434			
CB	Chediston	188	K	Kelsale Cum Carlton	864			
LEX	Linstead Magna	41	R	Rendham	208			
LEY	Linstead Parva	68						
TSWI	Wissett	226						
TSRU	Rumburgh	261						
WB	Walpole	207						
CE	Cookley	84						

16		3504	17		6735	18		6885
S	Saxmundham	3504	DCX	Dennington	463	BDY	Sternfield	448
			FM	Framlingham	3399	BDX	Benhall	106
			SA	Saxtead	259	SEX	Stratford St Andrew	151
			E	Earl Soham	351	GA	Little Glemham	141
			CH	Cretingham	170	SEY	Farnham	96
			CF	Cransford	130	BE	Blaxhall	161
			SH	Sweffling	167	C	Campsea Ashe	316
			P	Parham	223	M	Marlesford	175
			EA	Easton	268	RA	Rendlesham	2273
			LC	Letheringham	50	PB	Pettistree	163
			CA	Charsfield	325	U	Ufford	729
			MFY	Hoo	61	WF	Wickham Market	1844
			G	Great Glemham	180	H	Hacheston	282
			BI	Brandeston	243			
			KE	Kettleburgh	201			
			BL	Bruisyard	137			
			MFX	Monewden	108			

19		3811	20		6740	21		6612
A	Aldeburgh	2046	WH	Woodbridge Farlingaye	1536	DAX	Dallinghoo	149
I	Iken	81	WI	Woodbridge Kyson	1594	DAY	Debach	62
SF	Sudbourne	259	WJ	Woodbridge Riverside	1491	HAY	Burgh	157
SD	Snape	537	WK	Woodbridge Seckford	1834	HAX	Hasketon	337
TF	Tunstall	533	MAX	Martlesham North	285	OB	Otley	619
BNZ	Wantisden	19				BJX	Bredfield	283
CC	Chillesford	134				BJY	Boulge	22
BNX	Butley	147				CD	Clopton	282
BNY	Capel St Andrew	55				BCX	Great Bealings	228
						BCY	Little Bealings	372
						PC	Playford	173
						GBX	Grundisburgh Ward	1282
						GBY	Culpho	42
						TE	Tuddenham St Martin	306
						WD	Westerfield	489
						WGX	Witnesham Ward	700
						WGY	Swilland	136
						RB	Rushmere St Andrew Village	973

22		3909	23		7623	24		3747
MC	Melton	3636	NAX	Newbourne	225	ACX	Alderton	401
BKX	Bromeswell	273	NAY	Hemley	44	ACY	Ramsholt	18
			WA	Waldringfield	405	BAX	Bawdsey	265
			MA	Martlesham Ward	3080	SB	Shottisham	136
			MB	Martlesham Heath	2471	SG	Sutton	284
			KFX	Kirton	1010	SGY	Sutton Heath	625
			KFY	Falkenham	153	HCX	Hollesley	1060
			LDX	Levington	214	BG	Boyton	120
			LDY	Stratton Hall	21	OAX	Orford	517
						OAY	Gedgrave	29
						EB	Eyke	292

25		10534	26		7578	27		10026
KAX	Kesgrave East Ward	2860	BMX	Bucklesham	446	TB	Trimley St Martin	1928
KBX	Kesgrave East Ward	2067	BMY	Brightwell	46	TC	Trimley St Mary	3096
KCX	Kesgrave West Ward	3940	N	Nacton	649	FI	Felixstowe West	2647
KDX	Kesgrave West	1479	PD	Purdis Farm	1888	FJ	Felixstowe West	2355
MAY	Martlesham West	188	FL	Foxhall	249			
			RC	Rushmere St Andrew Tower	4300			

28		7949	29		7938
F	Felixstowe East Parish Ward	1226	FF	Felixstowe East	790
FA	Felixstowe East Ward	2092	FK	Felixstowe Allenby	719
FC	Felixstowe Walton Ward	2909	FKX	Felixstowe Allenby	742
FB	Felixstowe Walton Ward	1280	FBX	Felixstowe Walton Ward	361
FG	Felixstowe East	442	FE	Felixstowe South	2043
			FH	Felixstowe South	1548
			FD	Felixstowe South Ward	1735

1D

Electorate	Councillors
202,037	55

	Electorate	Councillors	Ratio	% Variance
1	8114	2	4057	110.5%
2	11522	3	3841	104.6%
3	7492	2	3746	102.0%
4	6834	2	3417	93.0%
5	11060	3	3687	100.4%
6	7745	2	3873	105.4%
7	7641	2	3821	104.0%
8	3779	1	3779	102.9%
9	7445	2	3723	101.3%
10	3804	1	3804	103.6%
11	8177	2	4089	111.3%
12	6934	2	3467	94.4%
13	7434	2	3717	101.2%
14	3376	1	3376	91.9%
15	7089	2	3545	96.5%
16	3504	1	3504	95.4%
17	6735	2	3368	91.7%
18	6885	2	3443	93.7%
19	3811	1	3811	103.8%
20	6740	2	3370	91.8%
21	6612	2	3306	90.0%
22	3909	1	3909	106.4%
23	7623	2	3812	103.8%
24	3747	1	3747	102.0%
25	10534	3	3511	95.6%
26	7578	2	3789	103.2%
27	10026	3	3342	91.0%
28	7949	2	3975	108.2%
29	7938	2	3969	108.1%
Total	202,037	55		
Target Average	3673			

	Councillors +/- 10% Ranges		
	1	2	3
55	3307 - 3673 - 4040	6613 - 7347 - 8081	9919 - 11020 - 12122
Average	3673	7347	11020

2B

1		8114	2		7491	3		11522
GCC	Corton	1333	GCG	Gunton	2617	HBCE	Harbour Centre	2275
LBL	Blundeston	1226	SMCE	St Margarets Centre	2623	HBNO	Harbour North	2205
LCH	Camps Heath	99	SMEA	St Margarets East	2252	HBSO	Harbour South	1593
LFI	Flixton East	34				NMNO	Normanston North	2577
LLO	Lound	299				NMSO	Normanston South	2872
LSA	Somerleyton Ashby Herringfleet	325						
OTCE	Oulton Centre	1721						
OTNO	Oulton North	1460						
SMP	St Margarets Parkhill	810						
SMWE	St Margarets West	808						

4		6834	5		11060	6		7745
OTSO	Oulton South	1060	KLNO	Kirkley North	2688	CAEA	Carlton East	768
OUBE	Oulton Broad East	1948	KLNO	Kirkley South	1617	CANO	Carlton North	1560
OUBW	Oulton Broad West	2177	KLWE	Kirkley West	1300	CAWE	Carlton West	1192
WHNO	Whitton North	1649	PFEA	Pakefield East	991	WHCE	Whitton Centre	1773
			PFNO	Pakefield North	2079	WHE	Whitton East	1085
			PFSO	Pakefield South	2385	WHSO	Whitton South	1367

7		6624	8		3436	9		3779
CCEA	Carlton Colville East	2190	KEGN	Gisleham North	273	KES	Kessingland	3779
CCNO	Carlton Colville North	1561	KEGS	Gisleham South	329			
CCSO	Carlton Colville South	2873	WFE	Ellough	32			
			WFMU	Mutford	415			
			WFSH	Shadingfield	141			
			WFSO	Sotterley	80			
			WFWM	Willingham St Mary	107			
			WLB	Barnby	428			
			WLNC	North Cove	361			
			WRH	Henstead	286			
			WRR	Rushmere	62			
			WRW	Wrentham	839			
			WRB	Benacre	56			
			WRC	Covehithe	26			

10		3850	11		11192	12		7003
DDX	Dunwich	98	BCE	Beccles Centre	2040	BUCE	Bungay Centre	2070
SHRE	Reydon	2284	BCO	Beccles Common	2097	BUFA	Bungay East	1056
SHSO	Southwold	861	BDA	Beccles Darby	2008	BUSO	Bungay South	1228
W	Walberswick	299	BRI	Beccles Rigbourne	2032	TSAS	South Elmham All Saints	119
WRSC	South Cove	22	WLW	Worlingham	3015	TSFL	Flixton West	148
WRF	Frostenden	151				TSHO	Homersfield	131
WRU	Uggeshall	135				TSIA	Ilketshall St Andrew	246
						TSIJ	Ilketshall St John	33
						TSIL	Ilketshall St Lawrence	143
						TSIM	Ilketshall St Margaret	131
						TSMI	South Elmham St Michael	48
						TSPE	South Elmham St Peter	27
						TSRU	Rumburgh	261
						TSSC	South Elmham St Cross	86
						TSSJ	South Elmham St James	166
						TSSM	South Elmham St Margaret	79
						WFB	Barsham	190
						WFME	Mettingham	171
						WFRE	Redisham	114
						WFRI	Ringsfield	280
						WFSM	Shipmeadow	100
						WFWE	Weston	174

13		7704	14		3762	15		7980
BLFD	Blyford	78	CE	Cookley	84	K	Kelsale Cum Carlton	864
BLSO	Sotherton	70	CGX	Cratfield	269	KH	Knodishall	686
BLWA	Wangford and Henham	479	HBX	Heveningham	96	L	Leiston	2231
BLWE	Westhall	299	HBV	Ubbeston	75	LA	Leiston	2627
WC	Wenhaston With Mells	717	HD	Huntingfield	147	ME	Middleton	333
WRBS	Brampton with Stoven	343	PA	Peasenhall	458	T	Theberton	248
BLHO	Holton	679	SC	Sibton	188	DB	Darsham	332
BLSP	Spexhall	155	WB	Walpole	207	WE	Westleton	397
HALN	Halesworth North	1958	BH	Bramfield	288	BF	Blythburgh	262
HALS	Halesworth South	2403	TA	Thorington	57			
CB	Chediston	188	G	Great Glemham	180			
LEX	Linstead Magna	41	Y	Yoxford	637			
LEY	Linstead Parva	68	B	Badingham	434			
TSWI	Wissett	226	CF	Cransford	130			
			SH	Sweffling	167			
			R	Rendham	208			
			BL	Bruisyard	137			

16		3887	17		4058	18		3862
A	Aldeburgh	2046	BDY	Sternfield	448	DCX	Dennington	463
AD	Aldringham Cum Thorpe	673	BDX	Benhall	106	FM	Framlingham	3399
FO	Friston	291	S	Saxmundham	3504			
I	Iken	81						
SF	Sudbourne	259						
SD	Snape	537						

19		3947	20		3689	21		3963
SA	Saxtead	259	H	Hacheston	282	SEX	Stratford St Andrew	151
E	Earl Soham	351	M	Marlesford	175	GA	Little Glemham	141
CH	Cretingham	170	P	Parham	223	SEY	Farnham	96
DAX	Dallinghoo	149	PB	Pettistree	163	BE	Blaxhall	161
DAY	Debach	62	WF	Wickham Market	1844	C	Campsea Ashe	316
EA	Easton	268	U	Ufford	729	RA	Rendlesham	2273
HAY	Burgh	157	BKX	Bromeswell	273	EB	Eyke	292
KE	Kettleburgh	201				TF	Tunstall	533
LC	Letheringham	50						
MFX	Monewden	108						
MFY	Hoo	61						
HAX	Hasketon	337						
OB	Otley	619						
BI	Brandeston	243						
BJX	Bredfield	283						
BJY	Boulge	22						
CA	Charsfield	325						
CD	Clopton	282						

22		3636	23		3810	24		3728
MC	Melton	3636	ACX	Alderton	401	GBX	Grundisburgh Ward	1282
			ACY	Ramsholt	18	GBY	Culpho	42
			BAX	Bawdsey	265	PC	Playford	173
			SB	Shottisham	136	TE	Tuddenham St Martin	306
			SG	Sutton	284	WD	Westerfield	489
			SGY	Sutton Heath	625	WGX	Witnesham Ward	700
			HCX	Hollesley	1060	WGY	Swiland	136
			BG	Boyton	120	BCX	Great Bealings	228
			BNX	Butley	147	BCY	Little Bealings	372
			BNY	Capel St Andrew	55			
			BNZ	Wantisden	19			
			CC	Chillesford	134			
			OAX	Orford	517			
			OAY	Gedgrave	29			

25		6740	26		6671	27		10534
WH	Woodbridge Farlingaye	1536	BMX	Bucklesham	446	KAX	Kesgrave East Ward	2860
WI	Woodbridge Kyson	1594	MA	Martlesham Ward	3080	KBX	Kesgrave East Ward	2067
WJ	Woodbridge Riverside	1491	MB	Martlesham Heath	2471	KCX	Kesgrave West Ward	3940
WK	Woodbridge Seckford	1834	NAX	Newbourne	225	KDX	Kesgrave West	1479
MAX	Martlesham North	285	NAY	Hemley	44	MAY	Martlesham West	188
			WA	Waldringfield	405			

28		7456	29		7071	30		6737
PD	Purdis Farm	1888	KFX	Kirton	1010	FD	Felixstowe South Ward	1735
BMY	Brightwell	46	KFY	Falkenham	153	FI	Felixstowe West	2647
FL	Foxhall	249	LDX	Levington	214	FJ	Felixstowe West	2355
RB	Rushmere St Andrew Village	973	LDY	Stratton Hall	21			
RC	Rushmere St Andrew Tower	4300	N	Nacton	649			
			TB	Trimley St Martin	1928			
			TC	Trimley St Mary	3096			

31		10561	32		3591
F	Felixstowe East Parish Ward	1226	FE	Felixstowe South	2043
FA	Felixstowe East Ward	2092	FH	Felixstowe South	1548
FF	Felixstowe East	790			
FG	Felixstowe East	442			
FK	Felixstowe Allenby	719			
FB	Felixstowe Walton Ward	1280			
FBX	Felixstowe Walton Ward	361			
FC	Felixstowe Walton Ward	2909			
FKX	Felixstowe Allenby	742			

2D

Electorate	Councillors
202,037	55

	Electorate	Councillors	Ratio	% Variance
1	8114	2	4057	110.5%
2	7491	2	3746	102.0%
3	11522	3	3841	104.6%
4	6834	2	3417	93.0%
5	11060	3	3687	100.4%
6	7745	2	3872	105.4%
7	6624	2	3312	90.2%
8	3436	1	3436	93.5%
9	3779	1	3779	102.9%
10	3850	1	3850	104.8%
11	11192	3	3731	101.6%
12	7003	2	3502	95.3%
13	7704	2	3852	104.9%
14	3762	1	3762	102.4%
15	7980	2	3990	108.6%
16	3887	1	3887	105.8%
17	4058	1	4058	110.5%
18	3862	1	3862	105.1%
19	3947	1	3947	107.5%
20	3689	1	3689	100.4%
21	3963	1	3963	107.9%
22	3636	1	3636	99.0%
23	3810	1	3810	103.7%
24	3728	1	3728	101.5%
25	6740	2	3370	91.8%
26	6671	2	3336	90.8%
27	10534	3	3511	95.6%
28	7456	2	3728	101.5%
29	7071	2	3536	96.3%
30	6737	2	3369	91.7%
31	10561	3	3520	95.8%
32	3591	1	3591	97.8%
Total	202,037	55		
Target Average	3673			

	Councillors +/- 10% Ranges		
	1	2	3
55	3307 - 3673 - 4040	6613 - 7347 - 8081	9919 - 11020 - 12122
Average	3673	7347	11020

DISCUSSION PAPER 3B

1		3749	2		7484	3		7648
SD	Snape	537	K	Kelsale Cum Carlton	864	PA	Peasehall	458
SEY	Farnham	96	KH	Knodishall	686	SC	Sibton	188
BDY	Sternfield	106	L	Leiston	2231	R	Rendham	208
FO	Friston	291	LA	Leiston	2627	BL	Bruisyard	137
A	Aldeburgh	2046	ME	Middleton	333	B	Badingham	434
AD	Aldringham Cum Thorpe	673	T	Theberton	248	DCX	Dennington	463
			WE	Westleton	397	CF	Cransford	130
			DDX	Dunwich	98	G	Great Glemham	180
						P	Parham	223
						FM	Framlingham	3399
						SA	Saxtead	259
						E	Earl Soham	351
						CH	Cretingham	170
						BI	Brandeston	243
						MPX	Monewden	108
						SH	Sweffling	167
						EA	Easton	268
						KE	Kettleburgh	201
						MFY	Hoo	61

4		3572	5		3952	6		3757
U	Ufford	729	BE	Benhall	448	BE	Blaxhall	161
BJX	Bredfield	283	S	Saxmundham	3504	GA	Little Glemham	141
BJY	Boulge	22				H	Hacheston	282
DAY	Debach	62				M	Marlesford	175
HAY	Burgh	157				SEX	Stratford St Andrew	151
CD	Clopton	282				C	Campsea Ashe	316
OB	Otley	619				WF	Wickham Market	1844
GBX	Grundisburgh	1282				PB	Pettistree	163
WGY	Swilland	136				LC	Letheringham	50
						CA	Charsfield	325
						DAX	Dallinghoo	149

7		3636	8		3676	9		6983
MC	Melton	3636	BG	Boyton	120	GBY	Culpho	42
			BNX	Butley	147	PC	Playford	173
			BNY	Capel St Andrew	55	TE	Tuddenham St Martin	306
			BKX	Bromeswell	273	WD	Westerfield	489
			EB	Eyke	292	WGX	Witnesham	700
			SGY	Sutton Heath	625	RB	Rushmere St Andrew Village	973
			HGX	Hollesley	1060	RC	Rushmere St Andrew Tower	4300
			SG	Sutton	284			
			SB	Shottisham	136			
			ACX	Alderton	401			
			ACY	Ramsholt	18			
			BAX	Bawdsey	265			

10		10534	11		8093	12		7677
KAX	Kesgrave East Ward	2860	WA	Waldringfield	405	HAX	Hasketon	337
KBX	Kesgrave East Ward	2067	MA	Martlesham	3080	WH	Woodbridge Farlingaye	1536
KCX	Kesgrave West Ward	3940	MB	Martlesham Heath	2471	WI	Woodbridge Kyson	1594
KDX	Kesgrave West Ward	1479	FL	Foxhall	249	WJ	Woodbridge Riverside	1491
MAY	Martlesham West	188	PD	Purdis Farm	1888	WK	Woodbridge Seckford	1834
						BCX	Great Bealings	228
						BCY	Little Bealings	372
						MAX	Martlesham North	285

13		3845	14		7832	15		6737
I	Iken	81	BMX	Bucklesham	446	FD	Felixstowe South Ward	1735
SF	Sudbourne	259	BMV	Brightwell	46	FI	Felixstowe West	2647
TF	Tunstall	533	NAX	Newbourne	225	FJ	Felixstowe West	2355
BNZ	Wantisden	19	NAY	Hemley	44			
CC	Chillesford	134	KFX	Kirton	1010			
OAX	Orford	517	KFY	Falkenham	153			
OAY	Gedgrave	29	LDX	Levington	214			
RA	Rendlesham	2273	LDY	Stratton Hall	21			
			N	Nacton	649			
			TB	Trimley St Martin	1928			
			TC	Trimley St Mary	3096			

DISCUSSION PAPER 3C

16		3591	17		10561	18		3767
FE	Felixstowe South	2043	FA	Felixstowe East Ward	2092	Y	Yoxford	637
FH	Felixstowe South	1548	F	Felixstowe East Parish Ward	1226	W	Walberswick	299
			FC	Felixstowe Walton Ward	2909	BF	Blythburgh	262
			FK	Felixstowe Allenby	719	BH	Bramfield	288
			FKX	Felixstowe Allenby	742	DB	Darsham	332
			FB	Felixstowe Walton Ward	1280	TA	Thorington	57
			FBX	Felixstowe Walton Ward	361	WC	Wenhaston With Mells	717
			FF	Felixstowe East	790	CB	Chediston	188
			FG	Felixstowe East	442	CE	Cookley	84
						CGX	Cratfield	269
						HBX	Heveningham	96
						HBY	Ubbeston	75
						HD	Huntingfield	147
						LEX	Linstead Magna	41
						LEY	Linstead Parva	68
						WB	Walpole	207

19		3597	20		3779	21		8069
WRH	Henstead	286	KES	Kessingland	3779	KEGN	Gisleham North	273
WRR	Rushmere	62				KEGS	Gisleham South	329
WRB	Benacre	56				WFMU	Mutford	415
WRC	Covehithe	26				WLB	Barnby	428
WRSC	South Cove	22				CCEA	Carlton Colville East	2190
SHRE	Reydon	2284				CCNO	Carlton Colville North	1561
SHSO	Southwold	861				CCSO	Carlton Colville South	2873

22		3217	23		7815	24		7144
GCC	Corton	1333	WRW	Wrentham	839	WFSH	Shadingfield	141
LBL	Blundeston	1226	WRF	Frostenden	151	WFWE	Weston	174
LFI	Flixton East	34	WRBS	Brampton with Stoven	343	TSRU	Rumburgh	261
LLO	Lound	299	WRU	Uggeshall	135	WFB	Barsham	190
LSA	Somerleyton Ashby Herringfleet	325	BLBL	Blyford	78	WFRE	Redisham	114
			BLSO	Sotherton	70	WFRI	Ringsfield	280
			BLWA	Wangford and Henham	479	WFSM	Shipmeadow	100
			BLWE	Westhall	299	TSIA	Ilketshall St Andrew	246
			BLHO	Holton	679	TSIJ	Ilketshall St John	33
			BLSP	Spexhall	155	BUCE	Bungay Centre	2070
			TSWI	Wissett	226	BUEA	Bungay East	1056
			HALN	Halesworth North	1958	BUSO	Bungay South	1228
			HALS	Halesworth South	2403	TSIM	Ilketshall St Margaret	131
						TSFL	Flixton West	148
						TSMI	South Elmham St Michael	48
						TSPE	South Elmham St Peter	27
						TSAS	South Elmham All Saints	119
						TSSC	South Elmham St Cross	86
						TSSJ	South Elmham St James	166
						TSSM	South Elmham St Margaret	79
						WFME	Mettingham	171
						TSHO	Homersfield	131
						TSIL	Ilketshall St Lawrence	143

25		11772	26		4090	27		10247
	Beccles Centre	2040	LCH	Camps Heath	99	OTSO	Oulton South	1060
	Beccles Common	2097	OTCE	Oulton Centre	1721	OUBE	Oulton Broad East	1948
	Beccles Darby	2008	OTNO	Oulton North	1460	OUBW	Oulton Broad West	2177
	Beccles Rigbourne	2032	SMP	St Margarets Parkhill	810	WHNO	Whitton North	1649
WLW	Worlingham	3015				CAEA	Carlton East	768
WLNC	North Cove	361				CANO	Carlton North	1560
WFE	Ellough	32				WHE	Whitton East	1085
WFSO	Sotterley	80						
WFWM	Willingham St Mary	107						

28		35213	29		0	30		0
CAWE	Carlton West	1192						
WHCE	Whitton Centre	1773						
WHSO	Whitton South	1367						
KLNO	Kirkley North	2688						
KLNO	Kirkley South	1617						
KLWE	Kirkley West	1300						
PFEA	Pakefield East	991						
PFNO	Pakefield North	2079						
PFNO	Pakefield South	2385						
SMWE	St Margarets West	808						
GCG	Gunton	2617						
SMCE	St Margarets Centre	2623						
SMEA	St Margarets East	2252						
HBCE	Harbour Centre	2275						
HBNO	Harbour North	2205						
HBSO	Harbour South	1593						
NMNO	Normanston North	2577						
NMSO	Normanston South	2872						

DISCUSSION PAPER 3D

Electorate	Councillors
202,037	55

	Electorate	Councillors	Ratio	% Variance
1	3749	1	3749	102.1%
2	7484	2	3742	101.9%
3	7648	2	3824	104.1%
4	3572	1	3572	97.3%
5	3952	1	3952	107.6%
6	3757	1	3757	102.3%
7	3636	1	3636	99.0%
8	3676	1	3676	100.1%
9	6983	2	3492	95.1%
10	10534	3	3511	95.6%
11	8093	2	4047	110.2%
12	7677	2	3839	104.5%
13	3845	1	3845	104.7%
14	7832	2	3916	106.6%
15	6737	2	3369	91.7%
16	3591	1	3591	97.8%
17	10561	3	3520	95.8%
18	3767	1	3767	102.6%
19	3597	1	3597	97.9%
20	3779	1	3779	102.9%
21	8069	2	4035	109.8%
22	3217	1	3217	87.6%
23	7815	2	3908	106.4%
24	7144	2	3572	97.3%
25	11772	3	3924	106.8%
26	4090	1	4090	111.4%
27	10247	3	3416	93.0%
28	35213	10	3521	95.9%
Total	202,037	55		
Target Average	3673			

	Councillors +/- 10% Ranges		
	1	2	3
55	3307 - 3673 - 4040	6613 - 7347 - 8081	9919 - 11020 - 12122
Average	3673	7347	11020

4A - 55 members

1		8114	2		7491	3		11522
OTCE	Oulton Centre	1721	SMCE	St Margarets Centre	2623	NMSO	Normanston South	2872
OTNO	Oulton North	1460	SMEA	St Margarets East	2252	NMNO	Normanston North	2577
GCC	Corton	1333	GCG	Gunton	2617	HBCE	Harbour Centre	2275
LBL	Blundeston	1226				HBNO	Harbour North	2205
LCH	Camps Heath	99				HBSO	Harbour South	1593
LFI	Flixton East	34						
LLO	Lound	299						
LSA	Somerleyton Ashby Herringfleet	325						
SMP	St Margarets Parkhill	810						
SMWE	St Margarets West	808						

4		6834	5		11060	6		7745
OUBW	Oulton Broad West	2177	KLNO	Kirkley North	2688	WHCE	Whitton Centre	1773
OUBE	Oulton Broad East	1948	KLNO	Kirkley South	1617	WHE	Whitton East	1085
OTSO	Oulton South	1060	KLWE	Kirkley West	1300	WHSO	Whitton South	1367
WHNO	Whitton North	1649	PFEA	Pakefield East	991	CAEA	Carlton East	768
			PFNO	Pakefield North	2079	CANO	Carlton North	1560
			PFSO	Pakefield South	2385	CAWE	Carlton West	1192

7		7703	8		3804	9		3607
CCSO	Carlton Colville South	2873	WLW	Worlingham	3015	KES	Kessingland	3607
CCEA	Carlton Colville East	2190	WLB	Barnby	428			
CCNO	Carlton Colville North	1561	WLNC	North Cove	361			
KEGN	Gisleham North	273						
KEGS	Gisleham South	329						
WFMU	Mutford	415						
WRR	Rushmere	62						

10		6873	11		8177	12		7363
SHRE	Reydon	2284	BCE	Beccles Centre	2040	BUCE	Bungay Centre	2070
DDX	Dunwich	98	BCO	Beccles Common	2097	BUEA	Bungay East	1056
SHSO	Southwold	861	BDA	Beccles Darby	2008	BUSO	Bungay South	1228
W	Walberswick	299	BRI	Beccles Rigbourne	2032	TSAS	South Elmham All Saints	119
WRH	Henstead	286				TSFL	Flixton West	148
WRB	Benacre	56				TSHO	Homersfield	131
WRW	Wrentham	839				TSIA	Ilketshall St Andrew	246
WRC	Covehithe	26				TSIJ	Ilketshall St John	33
WRF	Frostenden	151				TSIL	Ilketshall St Lawrence	143
WRSC	South Cove	22				TSIM	Ilketshall St Margaret	131
WRU	Uggeshall	135				TSMI	South Elmham St Michael	48
BLWA	Wangford and Henham	479				TSPE	South Elmham St Peter	27
BF	Blythburgh	262				TSRU	Rumburgh	261
DB	Darsham	332				TSSC	South Elmham St Cross	86
WE	Westleton	397				TSSJ	South Elmham St James	166
BH	Bramfield	288				TSSM	South Elmham St Margaret	79
TA	Thorington	57				WFB	Barsham	190
						WFME	Mettingham	171
						WFRE	Redisham	114
						WFRI	Ringsfield	280
						WFSM	Shipmeadow	100
						WFE	Ellough	32
						WFSH	Shadingfield	141
						WFSO	Sotterley	80
						WFSM	Willingham St Mary	107
						WFWE	Weston	174

13		6928	14		3530	15		6798
HALS	Halesworth South	2403	K	Kelsale Cum Carlton	864	L	Leiston	2231
HALN	Halesworth North	1958	CGX	Cratfield	269	LA	Leiston	2627
WC	Wenhaston With Mells	717	HBX	Heveningham	96	ME	Middleton	333
WRBS	Brampton with Stoven	343	HBY	Ubbeston	75	T	Theberton	248
BLHO	Holton	679	HD	Huntingfield	147	AD	Aldringham Cum Thorpe	673
BLSP	Spexhall	155	PA	Peasenhall	458	KH	Knodishall	686
BLFD	Blyford	78	SC	Sibton	188			
BLSO	Sotherton	70	CE	Cookley	84			
BLWE	Westhall	299	WB	Walpole	207			
TSWI	Wissett	226	Y	Yoxford	637			
			R	Rendham	208			
			CB	Chediston	188			
			LEX	Linstead Magna	41			
			LEY	Linstead Parva	68			

4A - 55 members

16		3760	17		3504	18		7380
A	Aldeburgh	2046	S	Saxmundham	3504	FM	Framlingham	3399
SD	Snape	537				DCX	Dennington	463
FO	Friston	291				B	Badingham	434
I	Iken	81				BL	Bruisyard	137
SF	Sudbourne	259				CF	Cransford	130
OAX	Orford	517				SH	Sweffling	167
OAY	Gedgrave	29				G	Great Glemham	180
						SA	Saxtead	259
						P	Parham	223
						E	Earl Soham	351
						CA	Charsfield	325
						CH	Cretingham	170
						BI	Brandeston	243
						MFY	Monewden	108
						MFY	Hoo	61
						EA	Easton	268
						KE	Kettleburgh	201
						LC	Letheringham	50
						DAX	Dallinghoo	149
						DAY	Debach	62

19		7418	20		3636	21		7539
RA	Rendlesham	2273	MC	Melton	3636	WH	Woodbridge Farlingaye	1536
WF	Wickham Market	1844				WI	Woodbridge Kyson	1594
PB	Pettistree	163				WJ	Woodbridge Riverside	1491
C	Campsea Ashe	316				WK	Woodbridge Seckford	1834
U	Ufford	729				MAX	Martlesham North	285
BDY	Sternfield	106				BJX	Bredfield	283
BDX	Benhall	448				BJY	Boulge	22
SEX	Stratford St Andrew	151				HAX	Hasketon	337
SEY	Farnham	96				HAY	Burgh	157
GA	Little Glemham	141						
BE	Blaxhall	161						
TF	Tunstall	533						
H	Hacheston	282						
M	Marlesford	175						

22		3856	23		7902	24		3829
GBX	Grundisburgh	1282	RC	Rushmere St Andrew Tower	4300	HCX	Hollesley	1060
GBY	Culpho	42	RB	Rushmere St Andrew Village	973	BNX	Butley	147
OB	Otley	619	PD	Purdis Farm	1888	BNY	Capel St Andrew	55
CD	Clopton	282	BMV	Brightwell	46	BNZ	Wantisden	19
WGX	Witnesham	700	FL	Foxhall	249	CC	Chillesford	134
WGY	Swiland	136	BMX	Bucklesham	446	BG	Boyton	120
WD	Westerfield	489				BKX	Bromeswell	273
TE	Tuddenham St Martin	306				EB	Eyke	292
						SG	Sutton	284
						SGY	Sutton Heath	625
						ACX	Alderton	401
						ACY	Ramsholt	18
						BAX	Bawdsey	265
						SB	Shottisham	136

25		6998	26		7071	27		10534
MA	Martlesham	3080	TC	Trimley St Mary	3096	KAX	Kesgrave East Ward	2860
MB	Martlesham Heath	2471	TB	Trimley St Martin	1928	KBX	Kesgrave East Ward	2067
NAX	Newbourne	225	LDX	Levington	214	KCX	Kesgrave West Ward	3940
NAY	Hemley	44	LDY	Stratton Hall	21	KDX	Kesgrave West Ward	1479
WA	Waldringfield	405	N	Nacton	649	MAY	Martlesham West	188
PC	Playford	173	KFX	Kirton	1010			
BCX	Great Bealings	228	KFY	Falkenham	153			
BCY	Little Bealings	372						

28		10299	29		10561
FD	Felixstowe South Ward	1735	F	Felixstowe East Parish Ward	1226
FI	Felixstowe West	2647	FA	Felixstowe East Ward	2092
FJ	Felixstowe West	2355	FF	Felixstowe East	790
FE	Felixstowe South	2043	FG	Felixstowe East	442
FH	Felixstowe South	1519	FK	Felixstowe Allenby	719
			FB	Felixstowe Walton Ward	1280
			FBX	Felixstowe Walton Ward	361
			FC	Felixstowe Walton Ward	2909
			FKX	Felixstowe Allenby	742

4A - 55 members

Electorate	Councillors
201,836	55

	Electorate	Councillors	Ratio	% Variance
1	8114	2	4057	110.5%
2	7491	2	3746	102.1%
3	11522	3	3841	104.7%
4	6834	2	3417	93.1%
5	11060	3	3687	100.5%
6	7745	2	3872	105.5%
7	7703	2	3851	104.9%
8	3804	1	3804	103.7%
9	3607	1	3607	98.3%
10	6873	2	3436	93.6%
11	8177	2	4088	111.4%
12	7363	2	3682	100.3%
13	6928	2	3464	94.4%
14	3530	1	3530	96.2%
15	6798	2	3399	92.6%
16	3760	1	3760	102.5%
17	3504	1	3504	95.5%
18	7380	2	3690	100.5%
19	7418	2	3709	101.1%
20	3636	1	3636	99.1%
21	7539	2	3770	102.7%
22	3856	1	3856	105.1%
23	7902	2	3951	107.7%
24	3829	1	3829	104.3%
25	6998	2	3499	95.3%
26	7071	2	3536	96.3%
27	10534	3	3511	95.7%
28	10299	3	3433	93.5%
29	10561	3	3520	95.9%
Total	201,836	55		
Target Average	3670			

	Councillors +/- 10% Ranges		
	1	2	3
55	3303 - 3670 - 4037	6606 - 7340 - 8074	9909 - 11010 - 12111
Average	3670	7340	11010

4B - 56 members

1		7306	2		11132	3		7097
GCC	Corton	1333	SMWE	St Margarets West	808	GCG	Gunton	2617
LBL	Blundeston	1226	SMCE	St Margarets Centre	2623	HBCE	Harbour Centre	2275
LCH	Camps Heath	99	SMEA	St Margarets East	2252	HBNO	Harbour North	2205
LFI	Flixton East	34	NMNO	Normanston North	2577			
LLO	Lound	299	NMSO	Normanston South	2872			
LSA	Somerleyton Ashby Herringfleet	325						
OTCE	Oulton Centre	1721						
OTNO	Oulton North	1460						
SMP	St Margarets Parkhill	810						

4		6834	5		11352	6		7485
OTSO	Oulton South	1060	KLNO	Kirkley North	2688	CAEA	Carlton East	768
OUBE	Oulton Broad East	1948	KLNO	Kirkley South	1617	KLWE	Kirkley West	1300
OUBW	Oulton Broad West	2177	HBSO	Harbour South	1593	CAWE	Carlton West	1192
WHNO	Whitton North	1649	PFEA	Pakefield East	991	WHCE	Whitton Centre	1773
			PFNO	Pakefield North	2079	WHE	Whitton East	1085
			PFSO	Pakefield South	2385	WHSO	Whitton South	1367

7		10083	8		3607	9		6829
CCEA	Carlton Colville East	2190	KES	Kessingland	3607	BUCE	Bungay Centre	2070
CCNO	Carlton Colville North	1561				BUEA	Bungay East	1056
CCSO	Carlton Colville South	2873				BUSO	Bungay South	1228
KEGN	Gisleham North	273				TSAS	South Elmham All Saints	119
KEGS	Gisleham South	329				TSFL	Flixton West	148
WFMU	Mutford	415				TSHO	Homersfield	131
CANO	Carlton North	1560				TSIA	Ilketshall St Andrew	246
WRR	Rushmere	62				TSIJ	Ilketshall St John	33
WRH	Henstead	286				TSIL	Ilketshall St Lawrence	143
WFE	Ellough	32				TSIM	Ilketshall St Margaret	131
WFSO	Sotterley	80				TSMI	South Elmham St Michael	48
WFWE	Weston	174				TSPE	South Elmham St Peter	27
WFSH	Shadingfield	141				TSSC	South Elmham St Cross	86
WFWM	Willingham St Mary	107				TSSJ	South Elmham St James	166
						TSSM	South Elmham St Margaret	79
						WFB	Barsham	190
						WFME	Mettingham	171
						WFRE	Redisham	114
						WFRI	Ringsfield	280
						WFSM	Shipmeadow	100
						TSRU	Rumburgh	261

10		3804	11		8177	12		7303
WLW	Worlingham	3015	BCE	Beccles Centre	2040	DDX	Dunwich	98
WLB	Barnby	428	BCO	Beccles Common	2097	SHRE	Reydon	2284
WLNC	North Cove	361	BDA	Beccles Darby	2008	SHSO	Southwold	861
			BRI	Beccles Rigbourne	2032	W	Walberswick	299
						WRSC	South Cove	22
						WRF	Frostenden	151
						WRU	Uggeshall	135
						WRW	Wrentham	839
						WRB	Benacre	56
						WRC	Covehithe	26
						WE	Westleton	397
						DB	Darsham	332
						BF	Blythburgh	262
						BLWA	Wangford and Henham	479
						TA	Thorington	57
						BH	Bramfield	288
						WC	Wenhaston With Mells	717

13		6799	14		3376	15		6798
BLFD	Blyford	78	CGX	Cratfield	269	KH	Knodishall	686
BLSO	Sotherton	70	HBX	Heveningham	96	L	Leiston	2231
BLWE	Westhall	299	HBX	Ubbeston	75	LA	Leiston	2627
BLHO	Holton	679	HD	Huntingfield	147	ME	Middleton	333
BLSP	Spexhall	155	PA	Peasenhall	458	T	Theberton	248
HALN	Halesworth North	1958	SC	Sibton	188	AD	Aldringham Cum Thorpe	673
HALS	Halesworth South	2403	Y	Yoxford	637			
CB	Chediston	188	B	Badingham	434			
LEX	Linstead Magna	41	K	Kelsale Cum Carlton	864			
LEY	Linstead Parva	68	R	Rendham	208			
TSWI	Wissett	226						
WB	Walpole	207						
CE	Cookley	84						
WRBS	Brampton with Stoven	343						

4B - 56 members

16		3504	17		6946	18		6885
S	Saxmundham	3504	DCX	Dennington	463	BDY	Sternfield	106
			FM	Framlingham	3399	BDX	Benhall	448
			SA	Saxtead	259	SEX	Stratford St Andrew	151
			E	Earl Soham	351	GA	Little Glemham	141
			CH	Cretingham	170	SEY	Farnham	96
			CF	Cransford	130	BE	Blaxhall	161
			SH	Sweffling	167	C	Campsea Ashe	316
			P	Parham	223	M	Marlesford	175
			EA	Easton	268	RA	Rendlesham	2273
			LC	Letheringham	50	PB	Pettistree	163
			CA	Charsfield	325	U	Ufford	729
			MFY	Hoo	61	WF	Wickham Market	1844
			G	Great Glemham	180	H	Hacheston	282
			BI	Brandeston	243			
			KE	Kettleburgh	201			
			DAX	Dallinghoo	149			
			DAY	Debach	62			
			BL	Bruisyard	137			
			MFX	Monewden	108			

19		4102	20		7539	21		3856
A	Aldeburgh	2046	WH	Woodbridge Farlingaye	1536	OB	Otley	619
I	Iken	81	WI	Woodbridge Kyson	1594	CD	Clopton	282
SF	Sudbourne	259	WJ	Woodbridge Riverside	1491	GBX	Grundisburgh	1282
SD	Snape	537	WK	Woodbridge Seckford	1834	GBY	Culpho	42
FO	Friston	291	MAX	Martlesham North	285	TE	Tuddenham St Martin	306
TF	Tunstall	533	HAX	Hasketon	337	WD	Westerfield	489
BNZ	Wantisden	19	BJX	Bredfield	283	WGX	Witnesham	700
CC	Chillesford	134	BJY	Boulge	22	WGY	Swilland	136
BNX	Butley	147	HAY	Burgh	157			
BNY	Capel St Andrew	55						

22		3636	23		6998	24		4020
MC	Melton	3636	NAX	Newbourne	225	ACX	Alderton	401
			NAY	Hemley	44	ACY	Ramsholt	18
			WA	Waldringfield	405	BAX	Bawdsey	265
			MA	Martlesham	3080	SB	Shottisham	136
			MB	Martlesham Heath	2471	SG	Sutton	284
			BCX	Great Bealings	228	SGY	Sutton Heath	625
			BCY	Little Bealings	372	HCX	Hollesley	1060
			PC	Playford	173	BG	Boyton	120
						OAX	Orford	517
						OAY	Gedgrave	29
						EB	Eyeke	292
						BKX	Bromeswell	273

25		10534	26		7902	27		7071
KAX	Kesgrave East Ward	2860	BMX	Bucklesham	446	TB	Trimley St Martin	1928
KBX	Kesgrave East Ward	2067	BMY	Brightwell	46	TC	Trimley St Mary	3096
KCX	Kesgrave West Ward	3940	RB	Rushmere St Andrew Village	973	KFX	Kirton	1010
KDX	Kesgrave West Ward	1479	PD	Purdis Farm	1888	KFY	Falkenham	153
MAY	Martlesham West	188	FL	Foxhall	249	LDX	Levington	214
			RC	Rushmere St Andrew Tower	4300	LDY	Stratton Hall	21
						N	Nacton	649

28		10299	29		10561
FI	Felixstowe West	2647	F	Felixstowe East Parish Ward	1226
FJ	Felixstowe West	2355	FA	Felixstowe East Ward	2092
FD	Felixstowe South Ward	1735	FC	Felixstowe Walton Ward	2909
FE	Felixstowe South	2043	FB	Felixstowe Walton Ward	1280
FH	Felixstowe South	1519	FG	Felixstowe East	442
			FK	Felixstowe Allenby	719
			FBX	Felixstowe Walton Ward	361
			FKX	Felixstowe Allenby	742
			FF	Felixstowe East	790

4B - 56 members

Electorate	Councillors
201,836	56

	Electorate	Councillors	Ratio	% Variance
1	7306	2	3653	101.4%
2	11132	3	3711	103.0%
3	7097	2	3549	98.5%
4	6834	2	3417	94.8%
5	11352	3	3784	105.0%
6	7485	2	3742	103.8%
7	10083	3	3361	93.3%
8	3607	1	3607	100.1%
9	6829	2	3415	94.7%
10	3804	1	3804	105.6%
11	8177	2	4088	113.4%
12	7303	2	3652	101.3%
13	6799	2	3399	94.3%
14	3376	1	3376	93.7%
15	6798	2	3399	94.3%
16	3504	1	3504	97.2%
17	6946	2	3473	96.4%
18	6885	2	3443	95.5%
19	4102	1	4102	113.8%
20	7539	2	3770	104.6%
21	3856	1	3856	107.0%
22	3636	1	3636	100.9%
23	6998	2	3499	97.1%
24	4020	1	4020	111.5%
25	10534	3	3511	97.4%
26	7902	2	3951	109.6%
27	7071	2	3536	98.1%
28	10299	3	3433	95.3%
29	10561	3	3520	97.7%
Total	201,836	56		
Target Average	3604			

	Councillors +/- 10% Ranges		
	1	2	3
56	3244 - 3604 - 3964	6487 - 7208 - 7929	9730 - 10812 - 11893
Average	3604	7208	10812

5 - 55 members

1		8114	2		7491	3		11522
OTCE	Oulton Centre	1721	SMCE	St Margarets Centre	2623	NMSO	Normanston South	2872
OTNO	Oulton North	1460	SMEA	St Margarets East	2252	NMNO	Normanston North	2577
GCC	Corton	1333	GCG	Gunton	2617	HBCE	Harbour Centre	2275
LBL	Blundeston	1226				HBNO	Harbour North	2205
LCH	Camps Heath	99				HBSO	Harbour South	1593
LFI	Flixton East	34						
LLO	Lound	299						
LSA	Somerleyton Ashby Herringfleet	325						
SMP	St Margarets Parkhill	810						
SMWE	St Margarets West	808						

4		6834	5		11060	6		7745
OUBW	Oulton Broad West	2177	KLNO	Kirkley North	2688	WHCE	Whitton Centre	1773
OUBE	Oulton Broad East	1948	KLNO	Kirkley South	1617	WHE	Whitton East	1085
OTSO	Oulton South	1060	KLWE	Kirkley West	1300	WHSO	Whitton South	1367
WHNO	Whitton North	1649	PFEA	Pakefield East	991	CAEA	Carlton East	768
			PFNO	Pakefield North	2079	CANO	Carlton North	1560
			PFSO	Pakefield South	2385	CAWE	Carlton West	1192

7		7641	8		3804	9		3607
CCSO	Carlton Colville South	2873	WLW	Worlingham	3015	KES	Kessingland	3607
CCEA	Carlton Colville East	2190	WLB	Barnby	428			
CCNO	Carlton Colville North	1561	WLNC	North Cove	361			
KEGN	Gisleham North	273						
KEGS	Gisleham South	329						
WFMU	Mutford	415						

10		6935	11		8177	12		7363
SHRE	Reydon	2284	BCE	Beccles Centre	2040	BUCE	Bungay Centre	2070
DDX	Dunwich	98	BCO	Beccles Common	2097	BUFA	Bungay East	1056
SHSO	Southwold	861	BDA	Beccles Darby	2008	BUSO	Bungay South	1228
W	Walberswick	299	BRI	Beccles Rigbourne	2032	TSAS	South Elmham All Saints	119
WRH	Henstead	286				TSFL	Flixton West	148
WRB	Benacre	56				TSHO	Homersfield	131
WRW	Wrentham	839				TSIA	Ilketshall St Andrew	246
WRC	Covehithe	26				TSIJ	Ilketshall St John	33
WRF	Frostenden	151				TSIL	Ilketshall St Lawrence	143
WRSC	South Cove	22				TSIM	Ilketshall St Margaret	131
WRU	Uggeshall	135				TSMI	South Elmham St Michael	48
BLWA	Wangford and Henham	479				TSPE	South Elmham St Peter	27
BF	Blythburgh	262				TSRU	Rumburgh	261
DB	Darsham	332				TSSC	South Elmham St Cross	86
WE	Westleton	397				TSSJ	South Elmham St James	166
BH	Bramfield	288				TSSM	South Elmham St Margaret	79
TA	Thorington	57				WFB	Barsham	190
WRR	Rushmere	62				WFME	Mettingham	171
						WFRE	Redisham	114
						WFRI	Ringsfield	280
						WFSM	Shipmeadow	100
						WFE	Ellough	32
						WFSH	Shadingfield	141
						WFSO	Sotterley	80
						WFSM	Willingham St Mary	107
						WFWE	Weston	174

13		6928	14		3322	15		6798
HALS	Halesworth South	2403	K	Kelsale Cum Carlton	864	L	Leiston	2231
HALN	Halesworth North	1958	CGX	Cratfield	269	LA	Leiston	2627
WC	Wenhaston With Mells	717	HBX	Heveningham	96	ME	Middleton	333
WRBS	Brampton with Stoven	343	HBY	Ubbeston	75	T	Theberton	248
BLHO	Holton	679	HD	Huntingfield	147	AD	Aldringham Cum Thorpe	673
BLSP	Spexhall	155	PA	Peasenhall	458	KH	Knodishall	686
BLFD	Blyford	78	SC	Sibton	188			
BLSO	Sotherton	70	CE	Cookley	84			
BLWE	Westhall	299	WB	Walpole	207			
TSWI	Wissett	226	Y	Yoxford	637			
			CB	Chediston	188			
			LEX	Linstead Magna	41			
			LEY	Linstead Parva	68			

5 - 55 members

16		3760	17		3504	18		7052
A	Aldeburgh	2046	S	Saxmundham	3504	FM	Framlingham	3399
SD	Snape	537				DCX	Dennington	463
FO	Friston	291				B	Badingham	434
I	Iken	81				BL	Bruisyard	137
SF	Sudbourne	259				CF	Cransford	130
OAX	Orford	517				SH	Sweffling	167
OAY	Gedgrave	29				G	Great Glemham	180
						SA	Saxtead	259
						P	Parham	223
						E	Earl Soham	351
						CH	Cretingham	170
						BI	Brandeston	243
						MFX	Monewden	108
						MFY	Hoo	61
						EA	Easton	268
						KE	Kettleburgh	201
						LC	Letheringham	50
						R	Rendham	208

19		7418	20		3636	21		7382
RA	Rendlesham	2273	MC	Melton	3636	WH	Woodbridge Farlingaye	1536
WF	Wickham Market	1844				WI	Woodbridge Kyson	1594
PB	Pettistree	163				WJ	Woodbridge Riverside	1491
C	Campsea Ashe	316				WK	Woodbridge Seckford	1834
U	Ufford	729				MAX	Martlesham North	285
BDY	Sternfield	106				BJX	Bredfield	283
BDX	Benhall	448				BJY	Boulge	22
SEX	Stratford St Andrew	151				HAX	Hasketon	337
SEY	Farnham	96						
GA	Little Glemham	141						
BE	Blaxhall	161						
TF	Tunstall	533						
H	Hacheston	282						
M	Marlesford	175						

22		3754	23		6841	24		3829
GBX	Grundisburgh	1282	RC	Rushmere St Andrew Tower	4300	HCX	Hollesley	1060
GBY	Culpho	42	RB	Rushmere St Andrew Village	973	BNX	Butley	147
OB	Otley	619	WD	Westerfield	489	BNY	Capel St Andrew	55
CD	Clopton	282	TE	Tuddenham St Martin	306	BNZ	Wantisden	19
WGX	Witnesham	700	PC	Playford	173	CC	Chillesford	134
WGY	Swiland	136	BCX	Great Bealings	228	BG	Boyton	120
CA	Charsfield	325	BCY	Little Bealings	372	BKX	Bromeswell	273
DAX	Dallinghoo	149				EB	Eyke	292
DAY	Debach	62				SG	Sutton	284
HAY	Burgh	157				SGY	Sutton Heath	625
						ACX	Alderton	401
						ACY	Ramsholt	18
						BAX	Bawdsey	265
						SB	Shottisham	136

25		7834	26		8091	27		10534
MA	Martlesham	3080	TC	Trimley St Mary	3096	KAX	Kesgrave East Ward	2860
MB	Martlesham Heath	2471	TB	Trimley St Martin	1928	KBX	Kesgrave East Ward	2067
NAX	Newbourne	225	LDX	Levington	214	KCX	Kesgrave West Ward	3940
NAY	Hemley	44	LDY	Stratton Hall	21	KDX	Kesgrave West Ward	1479
WA	Waldringfield	405	N	Nacton	649	MAY	Martlesham West	188
BMX	Bucklesham	446	PD	Purdis Farm	1888			
KFX	Kirton	1010	BMY	Brightwell	46			
KFY	Falkenham	153	FL	Foxhall	249			

28		10299	29		10561
FD	Felixstowe South Ward	1735	F	Felixstowe East Parish Ward	1226
FI	Felixstowe West	2647	FA	Felixstowe East Ward	2092
FJ	Felixstowe West	2355	FF	Felixstowe East	790
FE	Felixstowe South	2043	FG	Felixstowe East	442
FH	Felixstowe South	1519	FK	Felixstowe Allenby	719
			FB	Felixstowe Walton Ward	1280
			FBX	Felixstowe Walton Ward	361
			FC	Felixstowe Walton Ward	2909
			FKX	Felixstowe Allenby	742

5 - 55 members

Electorate	Councillors
201,836	55

	Electorate	Councillors	Ratio	% Variance
1	8114	2	4057	110.5%
2	7491	2	3746	102.1%
3	11522	3	3841	104.7%
4	6834	2	3417	93.1%
5	11060	3	3687	100.5%
6	7745	2	3872	105.5%
7	7641	2	3821	104.1%
8	3804	1	3804	103.7%
9	3607	1	3607	98.3%
10	6935	2	3468	94.5%
11	8177	2	4088	111.4%
12	7363	2	3682	100.3%
13	6928	2	3464	94.4%
14	3322	1	3322	90.5%
15	6798	2	3399	92.6%
16	3760	1	3760	102.5%
17	3504	1	3504	95.5%
18	7052	2	3526	96.1%
19	7418	2	3709	101.1%
20	3636	1	3636	99.1%
21	7382	2	3691	100.6%
22	3754	1	3754	102.3%
23	6841	2	3421	93.2%
24	3829	1	3829	104.3%
25	7834	2	3917	106.7%
26	8091	2	4046	110.2%
27	10534	3	3511	95.7%
28	10299	3	3433	93.5%
29	10561	3	3520	95.9%
Total	201,836	55		
Target Average	3670			

	Councillors +/- 10% Ranges		
	1	2	3
55	3303 - 3670 - 4037	6606 - 7340 - 8074	9909 - 11010 - 12111
Average	3670	7340	11010