

Appendix B - Formal Consultation Responses

	<u>Who</u>	<u>When</u>	<u>Method</u>	<u>LA</u>	<u>Area</u>	<u>Location</u>	<u>Response</u>
1	Operations Manager, Felixstowe Area Community Transport Limited	17/11/17	Letter	SCDC	Felixstowe	Garrison Lane	Re: Garrison Lane Car Park, Felixstowe It has been published that S.C.D.C. may consider introducing Fees in the above car park. We have our operating offices within the car park; housed in 2 Portakabins, for which we hold a lease with S.C.D.C. and pay ground rent. We have staff and volunteer drivers attending our offices every day. We operate 5 special wheelchair adapted vehicles that, although not based or garaged here, need to attend our offices for instructions and operational purposes. The work that we do as the local Community Transport operator is well know to your Councillors and we operate as a 'not for profit 'Charity. We are requesting you to consider some dispensation for our vehicles, staff and visiting volunteers. Thank you in anticipation of your kind consideration to our request.
2	Member of the Public	17/11/17	Email	SCDC	Felixstowe	Dock viewing area	Please don't impose parking charges at the dock viewing area. It's a lovely free facility that lots of us use to unwind, and enjoy the passing marine traffic. In my opinion it regulates itself, people come to view the shipping, eat at the cafe or walk around Landguard peninsular. So people are enjoying life in a variety of ways, please don't tax this. It's not an area that people leave their cars all day in to get on a train, or go shopping elsewhere. It's a place people have to specifically go to. It already has awful speed humps which rattle everything going more than 5 miles an hour. It's also part of the cultural heritage - with the fort and museum being there. One of the beauties of Felixstowe is that there is free parking. When my family come up from London, or friends from the midlands, I'm able to take them to these places and they are impressed. Even if there is a grace period of free 2 hours parking, you will still need to pay someone to check windscreens for tickets and collect the money - the cheaper option is to have no charges so someone doesn't have to be paid.
3	Member of the Public	17/11/17	Email	SCDC	Felixstowe	Garrison Lane	I am personally delighted the decision was made to not change the Garrison Lane car park. I believe one of Felixstowe's big appeals is the free parking. I know the neighbouring houses that live opposite will also be thrilled. It was very kind of you to keep me updated. Great news! Thank you!
4	Member of the Public	18/11/17	Email	Both	Unspecified	Unspecified	Why dont you try to make it easier to park and free, it will in turn bring cars to town for shopping. I and lots others look for shops with free parking.
5	Member of the Public	20/11/17	Email	SCDC	CPE, Wickham Market, Woodbridge	Campsea Ashe station car park and Woodbridge	I am a resident of Sudbourne, Suffolk, and regularly use three of the car parks affected by the changes proposed. 1 The problem you are trying to solve is the lax enforcement of on-street parking, which is a matter for the police. You also claim that non-parking issues (obstruction of access etc) are somehow to be a consideration in whether there should be a change in the regime, but there is no case for that in your statement of reasons for change, simply a claim that it is part of the problem. It clearly is not, so the issue is simply that the police are claiming that they cannot adequately police yellow-line offences.

						<p>2 As a solution to this problem, you are proposing to introduce CPE at an initial cost of £1.39m and an ongoing charge of around £240,000 a year; there will also be the profit element required by the commercial management company, I assume built into these figures? All of these costs will be met by council tax payers unless you can increase car parking charges to cover these costs, which is your intention. The overall increase in car parking charges required will be about £380,000 a year for ten years if you write off the appallingly high set-up costs over that period.</p> <p>3 The tariff codes and charges sheet which you have on the website is insanely complex, and a triumph of poor communication. I am trying to find out (a) how much more I will pay to park at Woodbridge and (b) how much I will pay to park at Campsea Ashe station car park. The first has a tariff code of 20, which I can track back to the two other lists of charges, current and proposed, and see that it will increase by 20% if I stay for longer than half an hour. At Wickham Mkt Station, I will pay a new tariff code 3, which is apparently 'n/a' on the proposed new tariff. So what is the proposed charge? Hard to comment without this information.</p> <p>4 It is not hard though to comment that the proposed upper limit of 18 hours for parking at Wickham Market Station is a terrible plan. It was clear that when the station house was brought back into use, there would be congestion and problems with parking, and yes indeed there is - 50% of the time it is now impossible to park for the earliest non-commuter service to London (08:27) as the car park (reduced as it is size) is full by then. But to limit stays to 18 hours means that an overnight stop in London (for non-commuters) is now impossible from this station. Is that the intention? I would like an answer to that question. I do not think there are many (if any) weekly commuters using the station as a longstay car park, but that could easily be prevented by a 36 hours upper limit.</p> <p>5 In summary - once you have decided to 'streamline' to a CPE service that puts the cost of on-street parking enforcement onto council tax payers, it is inevitable that the cost will once again fall on the poor bloody rural population who have to use a car because you have withdrawn pretty much all our public transport; and that you will make using car parks less easy, and install more machinery in places that have managed without it pretty well so far. It's a great shame. You should be investing in public transport, not fiddling about with back office wheezes and concealed council tax increases for reluctant car users.</p> <p>But thank you for the opportunity to make a comment or two.</p> <p>Follow-up: 36 hrs would be a fairer upper limit at a station car park used by daily commuters as well as people visiting London (or even say Peterborough!) for a night. Surely the weekly commuters could be unearthed with a fairly simple continuous week or two's worth of survey - as could the occasional local resident using this as an additional space?</p>	
6	Member of the Public	20/11/17	Email	WDC	Beccles	Quay North Car Park	My concern is Beccles. If introducing charges at the quay where are people who work in town going to park????? Provision is hopeless and you are now building more homes ! Please think

							about the people who live and work here and not just the visitors!,
7	Member of the Public	21/11/17	Email	WDC	Beccles	Waveney Meadow Car Park	I'd like to state how much it will impact on local workers if you make us pay to park in the Meadow Car park. It's hard enough to attract good quality employees into small market towns. The salaries often aren't high compared to say Norwich and if we can't even offer free parking it will make it unfeasible for many to work here. It also has the potential to push parking further out of town onto free residential streets. Please keep the Meadow car park free.
8	Member of the Public	21/11/17	Email	WDC	Southwold	All	Having just read the plans to start charging people for parking there cars in Southwold I would like you to think again, I think you will stop people from coming into the town and encourage other business's to close. I work in Southwold and live in Lowestoft and due to the bus timetables I am unable to use public transport to get me to work on time, so my only way of getting to work is by car so if you start charging me to park I will lose out by £78 per month. What with the Post Office closing down I can see the banks and other businesses going in the same direction. You need to entice people to come into Southwold not push them away with parking charges.
9	Member of the Public	21/11/17	Email	WDC	Beccles	Kilbrack	<p>I understand you are open to comments re charging for parking in central Beccles Having moved here a year ago here's my impressions and opinions Since the police were cut people drive and park as and how they wish on double yellows and drive down Blyburgate at 50 mph or more.</p> <p>I understand it is the job of police to manage the parking out side of pay car parks Therefore any parking you can mange by charging and overseeing hopefully would make life safer for the people of Beccles.</p> <p>Kilbrack free car park is thick in leaves and waterlogged as never maintained People park on the pavement narrowing down the pavement for pedestrians and making getting in and out of official spaces difficult and again dangerous for pedestrians Therefore if people had to pay there hopefully would be well marked parking bays,unclogged drains and safer surfaces</p> <p>The historic kerbstones marked 1905 would also not be abused by those blocking the pavements</p> <p>I understand Kilbrack is to have a minimum of 2 hours stay but unless this is policed people will simply do as they wish in full knowledge like everywhere else in Beccles not a thing will be enforced.If this means charging for parking in order for this to be adhered to let it be so.</p>
10	Member of the Public	21/11/17	Email	WDC	Beccles	Quay North Car Park	As a regular user to the Beccles Quay car park I must offer my strongest opposition to the proposals for levying a £6 fee for a days parking. This is most extortionate and will certainly stop my visits to Beccles. I am a strong advocate for public transport, however there is none from the part of East Suffolk where I live so there are no alternatives but to use the car. I do not know how those in authority expect the normal average person to be able to afford such ridiculous fees in such dire economic times as these. Sadly Waveny Council appear to want to reduce public transport and then penalise the public who then have to use their cars.

11	Member of the Public	21/11/17	Email	WDC	Beccles	All	I wanted to raise my concern about parking charges for Beccles. We are already restricted to parking because of yellow line s and limited spaces. We rely on the free parking as there is not sufficient spaces to park. We are residents in Puddingmoor and with a increase in council tax and then to face potential parking charges would face no choice but to park in other areas. I do hope that you will consider residential parking should you progress with this. I hope you factor in the tourist attractions to Beccles and how this may affect future business.
12	Member of the Public	21/11/17	Email	WDC	Beccles	Waveney Meadow car park	Regarding the proposed implementation of parking charges at the Waveney Meadow car park in Beccles. We are residents on Puddingmoor and having no parking available at our property and extremely limited roadside space on Puddingmoor we rely on the free parking available in the Waveney Meadow car park. We are already competing for space with non residents using the Lido or the Big Dog Ferry or going into town and feel a parking charge will unfairly penalise residents of Puddingmoor unless some kind of resident permit scheme is put into place to either provide free parking for one vehicle or heavily discounted annual passes. Please consider the above considerations in making your decision.
13 & 14	Member of the Public	21/11/17	Email	WDC	Beccles Southwold	All	I don't normally do letters like this so please excuse the way it's written Just read the article in the news paper about car park fees being put on the free parking in Beccles and Southwold, as a Local who uses beccles and southwold to shop and being part of the rural community that's seen its bus services cut I am appalled at the idea of removing this free parking. southwold seems to be viewed now as a holiday maker and second home owner private resort, the locals are pretty much driven out as it is and now you want to remove one of the only benefits Southold has retained all these years. As for beccles the trade has increased there a lot in recent years as Lowestoft roads and parking declined , as i see it Lowestoft probably now makes hardly and revenue on parking . Nobody i know will go there any more to shop my parents used to go to Lowestoft by bus but that service has been stopped so they drive to Beccles to shop now. I feel that increasing the parking fees will drive the locals away and as online shopping is already having a huge effect on businesses this will just exacerbate this problem and in the end it will make beccles as dead as Lowestoft. please re think this plan before you destroy the heart of Southwold and the sole of Beccles.
15	Member of the Public	21/11/17	Email	WDC	Beccles	Quay North Car Park	I read about the proposal to charge for car parking at Beccles Quay Car Park. (Via Facebook Feed) Although no longer a Beccles resident and living in Gosport in Hampshire, I'm wondering why the charge is proposed at £1.20 per hour. £6 for max stay. Here we pay 90pence per hour and max stay £5.30. Are you not concerned for Beccles residents and the holiday trade too? Isn't this charge excessive as against earnings for the area?

16	Member of the Public	21/11/17	Email	WDC	Southwold	Godyll Road Car Park	I strongly object to parking charges on gardener road car park. It is vitally important for the local workforce, and tourists alike that we keep parking free in this area and the common alike. Early morning workers need somewhere to park!! And we need tourism to keep the town sustainable.
17	Member of the Public	21/11/17	Email	WDC	Southwold	All	I just wanted to say how disappointed I was to hear of the proposed car parking charges in Southwold. I work 2 days a week and to have to pay £12 a week in parking fees is just ridiculous. It's hard enough for mums to get back out to work as it is....and then to lose a percentage of your wage to parking angers me. We also have youngsters working at the weekend on a minimum wage. It will be barely worth them working for the little wage they would have left. From a customer point of view....just look at the comments being left on social media. It will just put people off as they can go out of town with free parking. I hope the council will listen to the many complaints I'm sure they will receive and give this a lot of thought.
18	Member of the Public	22/11/17	Email	WDC	Beccles	Quay North Car Park	I live in Ilketshall St Andrew. When I go to Norwich with my family we take the bus from Beccles. Sometimes we cycle to Beccles, but often it is impractical to cycle with children, especially in the winter. When we drive, we park at Quay North. It is out of the way and there is almost always ample parking. If you add £6 to the cost of our day, you will likely make it cheaper for us to drive into Norwich than to take the bus. Your policies should be aimed at encouraging people to use public transport, not making it harder. Part of that must be the provision of ample all-day free parking on the edge of town for those of us whose closest bus stop is a drive away.
19	Member of the Public	22/11/17	Email	WDC	Beccles	Quay north and Waveney Meadow car parks	I read with interest your proposals for implementing parking charges at Beccles quay and at the waveney meadows site. In my experience the waveney meadows site is typically used by individuals working in the town. Introducing a short stay only option here will push more to park in already congested residential areas such as alexandra road and grange road. This should be considered.
20	Member of the Public	22/11/17	Email	WDC	Beccles	Quay North Car Park and Waveney Meadow	Many towns have introduced free parking to enable the local businesses to thrive, and I believe this was achieved, by now removing all free parking do you know what the impact on businesses would be? I personally would never pay to park in a town and will always use a supermarket, in Beccles instance, this increases the pressure on Beccle's Tesco car park, which is already full most days. Whilst the cost of car parking is not necessarily the issue, introducing this at a time of economic uncertainty for most people and wages being tightened further will not be well accepted. Add to this a potential 1500 new homes in Beccles and the increased pressure on the already limited parking it may benefit the council financially but it will certainly benefit no one else. I would suggest you could bring a car park warden back to make sure the spaces that are 30 minutes only are monitored as I dont believe they are currently and most people seem to abuse this knowingly, along with the already reduced polic presence and increasing crime rate.
21	Member of the	22/11/17	Email	WDC	Beccles	Kilbrack,	Restricting and charging for parking at Beccles Quay North, Killbrack, and Waveney Meadow

	Public					Quay North Car Park and Waveney Meadow	will only encourage staff working fulltime in Beccles to park for free in residential streets all day.
22	Member of the Public	22/11/17	Email	WDC	Beccles	Waveney Meadow Car park	<p>I am writing to oppose the proposed change from free parking on the Waveney Meadow Car park, Puddingmoor to pay and display.</p> <p>I currently work in Beccles full time and have to park in Beccles for 42.5 hours a week. There is no way I can afford to travel into Beccles <u>and</u> pay a daily charge of £6 to park there, it would more than double the cost to me of working in Beccles and I would have to seriously look at whether I can afford to work here.</p> <p>If the car park becomes pay and display this will displace more cars onto surrounding roads and surely with the amount of cars using the roads now that is not what you want.</p> <p>If you wish to attract good quality, well qualified candidates to Beccles, which in turn increases visitors to the town, I would have thought the Council needs to look after and encourage people to work in Beccles.</p> <p>The Waveney Meadow Car park is mostly used all winter by those working in the town and it would be a huge financial blow to all who are working to make Beccles an even more attractive place to visit.</p> <p>In the summer the car park users are a fair mix of people working in the town and visitors, I would have thought having a place with the potential for free car parking would encourage visitors to the town.</p> <p>I really hope you reconsider the idea of making this a pay and display car park.</p>
23	Member of the Public	22/11/17	Email	WDC	Bungay	On-street obstruction	<p>Car parking blocking driveway Bungay – photos attached</p> <p>For the last 2 years my life has been made hellish due to the change in lines in the road, which were carried out without my knowledge or consultation.</p> <p>I live opposite Chevington Lodge so lorries, delivery vans, nurses, staff all park directly outside my house and day in and day out they block me in or out of my driveway - in whole or part.</p> <p>The worst occasion was when i couldn't get out of my driveway when my father had been taken to hospital in an ambulance. On another occasion i couldn't attend a family meal.</p> <p>Mostly my driveway is partially blocked and while there are white lines across my driveway - they are just ignored. No traffic warden ever comes down this far or enforces the parking</p>

							<p>restrictions.</p> <p>Previously cars/ lorries parked on the opposite side of the road where there is no driveway to block and no one is affected.</p> <p>Please can you advise who i can speak to regarding this. Action of some kind needs to be taken. Either the white lines extended or the parking changed entirely.</p>
24	Member of the Public	22/11/17	Email	WDC	Beccles	Waveney Meadow Car Park	<p>The above car park provides essential all day parking for business, staff and employees of businesses in the centre of Beccles who do not have parking facilities on site.</p> <p>The proposal to charge £6 / day effectively £30/36 week extra to work in Beccles is a tax on employment and will be a detriment to businesses in Beccles. Beccles is a thriving town partly because of the free parking areas and I think it will be a very short sighted move and have a significant adverse impact on individual businesses and the town as a whole if the proposals went ahead.</p>
25	Member of the Public	22/11/17	Email	WDC	Lowestoft Beccles Southwold	All	<p>Lowestoft town centre is going downhill fast and you need to make more, cheaper or short term free car parking available within very easy walking distance of the town centre in order to attract shoppers. Access for the elderly and less mobile needs to be much easier.</p> <p>Beccles and Southwold are thriving because there is free parking. In particular the one hour free parking close to Beccles library is fantastic and makes me use the town for both the library and shopping.</p> <p>I hear more and more people saying that by the time they sit in traffic and then pay high parking charges in Lowestoft, it is just as quick and as cheap to travel to Norwich to shop. Please do not drive people away as the towns need shoppers and visitors.</p>
26	The View Point Cafe	22/11/17	Email	SCDC	Felixstowe	Landguard	<p>As the operators of The View Point Cafe please can we be assured that the proposed car park charges will not be applied to the restricted parking area adjacent to the cafe which is for staff parking and deliveries only. The diagram shows a boundary including this area.</p> <p>Also, we find the charges proposed to be quite reasonable, however we are slightly concerned on the part of our staff, that customers will avoid paying to park and then apply pressure to staff to have their meals cooked and served fast enough to enable them to get out within the 1 hour free parking. If they don't pay to park and get caught out, our staff and the cafe may take the fall out.</p>
27	Member of the Public	22/11/17	Email	WDC	Beccles	Waveney Meadow Car	<p>I am disgusted to learn of your proposed new car parking charges that are set to come into force next year & am writing to object most strongly about them.</p>

					Park	<p>I work full time in Beccles & have done for 16 years. I used to park on the Waveney Meadow car park until I had a knee ligament injury a couple of years ago which made it difficult to walk long distances so I then moved to the car park behind QD until you put the prices up so much & made it a short stay car park at which point I had to return to the Waveney Meadow Car park down Puddingmoor. My knee did recover after treatment but at the time this caused great upset & pain. The Waveney Meadow car park is on my way into town in the morning & saves me having to cut through the middle of Beccles in the rush hour first thing in the morning, as there is a big build up of traffic in Peddars Lane & Blyburgate with school & work traffic, if I wanted to go to the Roy's car park which is the only car park now long stay in the town.</p> <p>I fail to see why you feel justified to charge the large amount you are proposing to charge of £1.20 per hour or £6.00 for over four hours. The car park itself at Waveney Meadow has very little maintenance done to it from what I can see just a bit of gravel/road chippings every once in a while. Before a couple of years ago it had had nothing done to it for many years. These charges will almost double my weekly trip travelling into work in Beccles. My wages have not risen for three years so how do you expect me to cope with this massive increase?</p> <p>Why do you need to charge at all because the only place monies from the town centre car parks seem to go that I can see are on the upkeep of ticket machines & policing said tickets with traffic wardens,etc. If you got rid of both of these & made the parking longer the charges could be a lot less. Yes I get you need to make a charge for the upkeep of the ground on which the cars are parked but does it really need to be so much when they are not resurfaced or maintained every year.</p> <p>I can't see how the first lot of charges & changes you made in the parking within the town has improved anything because who in their right mind would pay to park in a short stay car park in the town centre when you can get 3hrs free in Tesco. The car parks are hardly ever full within the town itself but if you go to Tesco you see a full car park most of the time. If it wasn't for Tesco Beccles, parking charges would be killing the town. The council are not encouraging people into the town or looking out for the workers of Beccles who keep the town going at all.</p> <p>Why cannot there be a separate lesser charge for people who work within the town centre on a daily basis?</p> <p>I would like to see a record of monies you spend each year on the car parks I bet you don't spend what you take in & why are these not published ? [Link to annual car park report sent]</p> <p>My friends thought I was mad even sending this email because they say it is a done deal & no matter how much we complain it won't change things but I like to think that maybe the council will listen if enough Beccles people complain.</p>	
28	Member of the	22/11/17	Email	WDC	Southwold	All	As a resident of Wrentham and a local visitor to southwold I read with horror at your plans to charge for parking in the town.

	Public						<p>I cannot fathom why the council need to do this. One of the key drivers of tourism is southwolds old world charm and one of those is the fact that parking charges and enforcement is thankfully absent.</p> <p>I can neither see any logic or benefit to this change and would urge you not to target the town in this way, at a similar time that local businesses are trying to deal with hiked up business rates.</p>
29	Town councillor	22/11/17	Email	WDC	Beccles	Hungate	<p>Some of the houses in Hungate, Beccles have no parking facilities. There used to be seasonal parking available in Hungate Car Park but this no longer exists. Would be possible for you to give me advice as to whom and how we might approach to re-establish this facility specifically for those nearby who have no parking?</p>
30	Member of the Public	23/11/17	Email	SCDC	Felixstowe	Garrison Lane	<p>In reference to the car parking consultation and in relation to the implementation of Civil Parking Enforcement at Garrison Lane, Felixstowe.</p> <p>Please could you advise as to what the plans are for the residents living on Garrison Lane, as this is the only parking facility available to us.</p> <p>Are there plans to turn the front bays of the coach park into residential parking? As these are hardly used.</p> <p>Your proposal's also state's there is no season tickets available for Garrison Lane under tariff code 3, I hope you don't expect residents to pay £4.60 every day for a year? That's not going to happen.</p> <p>Response sent: Just an immediate clarification: Tariff code 3 is for uncharged (free) car parks. The idea of charging at Garrison Lane was dropped following the responses to the first round of consultation.</p> <p>Follow-on received: Having spoken to number of residents on Garrison Lane, please could you advise who I need to speak to with regards to raising a proposal for residential parking in the front bays of the coach park which are never used (apart from in the summer when they are used by car drivers visiting Felixstowe who end up getting a parking ticket) . The residents would be happy to pay an annual fee if this could be taken forward.</p> <p>Response sent: Please can you just confirm that you really want this given that Garrison Lane car park is going to remain free? I do not understand why.</p> <p>Follow-on received: The reason for the parking request is so the residents of Garrison Lane with no parking facilities due to the road restrictions can secure a parking space. Busy weekends and throughout the summer residents don't tend to go anywhere as they run the risk losing a parking space.</p>

31	Member of the Public	23/11/17	Email	SCDC	Waldringfield	n/a	<p>Please do not consider increasing the car park capacity in the village of Waldringfield as there is adequate parking to cope with the demand. Any additional parking would increase pressure on the fragile foreshore which would destroy the unique heritage we have been handed down by previous generations.</p> <p>The number of current car park spaces regulates the number of people, dog owners, etc..</p>
32	Member of the Public	23/11/17	Email	n/a	n/a	n/a	<p>Civil Parking Enforcement Thank you for the opportunity to make a comment concerning the above subject.</p> <p>I would like to make an observation about the statement that 'our town centres are becoming quieter and quieter'.</p> <p>This may be due to lack of provision of parking spaces and the high cost of parking wherever available. This to be set against free, very convenient parking at retail parks on the outskirts of towns.</p> <p>Additionally, social facilities such as theatres, cinemas and function halls etc etc rarely have sufficient parking availability especially in town centres. This makes them unsuitable for the public to attend in the evening or when dark and visits become almost intimidating for the older generations. This applies particularly on Friday and Saturday nights. It should also be noted that many car parks charge after 18.00hrs. which does seem unnecessary.</p> <p>A very shortened comment but I am sure you 'get the drift' !</p>
33	Chairman East Suffolk Lines Community Rail Partnership	24/11/17	Email	SCDC	Wickham Market	Campsea Ashe station car park	<p>I'm concerned about the proposal to limit car parking at Wickham Market Station to 18 hours. This could affect both business and leisure customers of the railway who are planning even a short overnight trip. Weekend getaways will also be affected.</p> <p>Unlike a pay-and-display car park, these rail users may have no option but to travel to another rail station car park. Leaving the car at home may be difficult as bus services to/from the station are extremely limited (Mon to Fri only) and the DRT services only operate 7am - 7pm Mon-Sat.</p> <p>We replied saying: It is a proposal that was put forward to prevent people parking there all week really. Someone else has suggested a 36 hour limit. I have also asked Greater Anglia and SCC for their views so we'll see. It is being abused but we don't want to look at charging, or do we? We are told that it is being used by some residents as their private parking space. Have you another solution idea?</p> <p>A 36 hour limit sounds like a good compromise; it allows someone catching the first train at 6:23 to arrive back the next day by 17:43pm and not run afoul of the limit. Northbound the trains are later on departure so the arrival time back at the station would be later.</p>

							<p>Charging might be an option but is it the best option?</p> <p>Yes, it is being abused; I've seen some cars parked there for weeks at a time. If it is mainly private residents spoiling it for rail passengers could the first action be to put up a notice stating that SCDC is now enforcing regulations, and parking without using the rail service could incur a penalty.</p> <p>Once the ticket machine goes in maybe a receipt could be printed that must be displayed in the windscreen. This would only work if Wickham Mkt were a penalty fare station; this is where tickets must be bought prior to boarding the train. In the event a mobile ticket is used, there could be a scheme like the ones that exist at SCDC carparks the allow mobile ticketless pay-and-(not)display. Print-at-home tickets could allow passengers to print a receipt for the car park. I'm not sure how easily or cost-effectively this could be implemented.</p>
34	Member of the public (retailer)	24/11/17	Email	WDC	Southwold		<p>Following the questionnaire from the town council I knew this was coming.... £1.20 an hour!!! The council really need to get in the real world where money is hard to come by, wages have to be earned and pensions aren't a given.</p> <p>As a retailer in the town I can tell you that trading is very difficult, this will act as a deterrent to casual shoppers and shorten the time people spend in the town.. Is it not enough that the council will fleece my pockets by an extra £10,000 a year in business rates in the near future?</p> <p>The public will see the parking changes as they so obviously are, greed by a council propping itself up with parking charges... It's ruined Lowestoft and will do the same in Southwold ,are wdc not aware that it is cheaper to park in Norwich that the Lowestoft area?</p> <p>As a means of deterring visitors however it's brilliant, presumably closing the tourist information centres, ruining east point pavilion and letting ness point fester were all part of this great scheme, well done!</p>
35	Member of the public	24/11/17	Email	SCDC	Wickham Market	Chapel Lane	<p>It should be explained to the residents of Richard Kitson Court that it is ONE space Per resident who owns a vehicle not per vehicle as is being abused at present.</p>
36	Member of the public	24/11/17	Email	WDC	Southwold		<p>I would like to strongly share my objection to the proposed parking charges that are under discussion for parking in and around the areas of Southwold. I work over 5 days a week with different starting times and finishing times. I am earning below the minimum wage and this would suggest I would then have to pay £30 per week , £120.00 per month out of my limited income just to come to work in Southwold on top of other motoring costs I have to pay to drive to work. I do not have another option as the buses in Southwold do not run for 8. O'clock starting or 7 pm finishing which I currently do as my hours of employment to be able to source another way.</p> <p>Please rethink what this will do to the working people of Southwold that also have to commute into the town.</p>
37	Member of the	25/11/17	Email	WDC	Beccles	Waveney	<p>Charged parking is proposed at two car parks in Beccles. Waveney Meadow is simply included in</p>


	public				Meadow and Quay North	<p>the draft order, whilst we are supposedly being consulted regarding Quay North, where the only justification for introducing charges given is to generate a revenue stream for Beccles Town Council, so we witness an increase in costs as the result of devolved responsibilities. Put simply, Empire building.</p> <p>Quay North itself is little more than "a bit of open space" with ill-defined boundaries that belongs to the residents of Waveney. The surface is poor, without drainage. Pedestrian exit is either via poorly maintained footpaths, or onto Fen Lane, which is narrow, without weight restriction, and with no footpath. Arrangements are informal, with it being virtually deserted on many occasions, to so full you face the danger of being boxed in. Commercial vehicles are not uncommon, and the occasional member of the travelling community can be spotted, normally at the far end, causing no real problem. However, the public accept it for what it is, because it is free. My wife is a blue badge holder and it takes a significant amount of time, and space, to enter and exit a car, plus considerable time to cover even short distances. Free parking like this places her at little disadvantage, and eliminates the alternative of parking in locations less beneficial to others, for example on yellow lines on one of the many narrow streets in the town. The proposal will change all that. We are told this is a consultation, but about what? The detail necessary is not there. For instance, will traders benefit? The proposal quotes a standard scale of charges. Any consultation should also state what you will get for your money. No weight restrictions exist, so does the driver of a Micra pay the same as that of a 40 tonne lorry, or vehicle with trailer? Will the travellers be obliged to pay, or will charges be discriminatory? Currently I can park where I wish, using common courtesy and availability of space to influence my decision. If I pay, can I park wherever I feel, perhaps across the entrance, believing the charge entitles me to do so? If charges are introduced a major upgrade to the car park will be required, I would suggest at least to the standard WDC have granted themselves at Riverside. Adequate drainage would be necessary, followed by tarmacing the whole area, prior to the introduction of formal bays, plus much improved pedestrian access. Disabled parking in the area is poor, so sufficient bays must be introduced, perhaps with concessionary rates, and properly managed to prevent abuse, as indeed must all usage of the car park. Lighting must also be provided. Such detail should be integral to the process, but is sadly lacking. The only consultation is whether, at a time of austerity, and when incomes are being squeezed more than in decades, we are prepared to accept the introduction of yet another cash cow into the herd, another snout at the trough. The answer is a resounding no! Extorting money whilst offering only threats in return is akin to protection money tactics of the gangs, most notably the Krays and Richardsons.</p>
38	Member of the public	25/11/17	Email	WDC	Beccles	<p>Quay North Car Park</p> <p>Quay parking: This is one of the last free car parks in the area ,so what justification is there for this proposal of charging people to park , 'This area should be the jewel in the crown of Beccles ,families use it for the playground,going to the cafe and sitting by the river ,dog walkers use it to exercise their pets some twice a day ,and boaters use the slipway to launch ,others park whilst taken their boats on the river for the day ,so now you intend to penalise all these groups into</p>

						<p>paying for the pleasure. This small minded thinking will drive people away ,or and cause the streets to be flooded with parked cars ,we holiday in France quite often and we can park in most towns ,by beaches and never have to pay parking fees ,this allows the money to be spent in shops ,and other amenities in the area ,why do we always get ripped off in this country ,I urge this proposition to be squashed</p>
39	Member of the public	25/11/17	Email	SCDC	Darsham	<p>With reference to your consultation regarding introducing parking charges at Darsham station, I wish my comments to be recorded.</p> <p>As a local user and daily commuter my comments are as follows: Firstly as you say, to help you gain an understanding of local issues, you are now creating a local issue. £10 a week £40 minimum a month is a lot of money to most locals to pay to park, when they already have to pay a lot of money for rail fares. To even mention the fact that two elderly people were killed there and there is still a serious concern about safety and yet you are not improving safety in any way, as the car park will still be open and we will have to pay for this privilege. What this is costing to implement, you could put up a pedestrian bridge as this would really improve safety exponentially.</p> <p>From the wording of this consultation, I can only assume that this is just another way of the local councils using all means possible to fill their coffers and making it ever more difficult for the hard working locals to get to work, via sustainable transport. This is a discriminative tax on the locals. Shame on you.</p> <p>There is always space in this car park so another park is just a waste of money I know, I use it everyday. This will unfortunately make me re-evaluate my travelling to work. I can park in Ipswich for less and currently get the park and ride for free on a work deal so this is now very much more appealing, sadly.</p> <p>I hope you will realise that this is just another tax on the locals and reconsider before its too late.</p>
40	Member of the public	26/11/17	Email	WDC	Southwold	<p>As a member of the Southwold working community, I find the introduction of parking fees for "Godyll Road Car Park" extremely infuriating. These car park spaces are used mainly by the working community of the town and are the only few that are still available for free. An introduction of parking fees here would mean that someone working five days a week fifty-two weeks a year would have to pay £1560 a year out of their minimum wage salary.</p> <p>When the town is now two thirds holiday homes and benefits from a thriving summer trade is it really fair that those people that help provide a service in this popular tourist location should be penalised by parking fees?</p> <p>Please leave this car park free for those who desperately need a free place to park as part of their daily commute.</p>

41	Member of the public (retailer)	26/11/17	Email	WDC	Southwold		<p>The introduction of a scheme would lead to each of my full time staff being charged £30 a week just to come to work. I believe the changing would make it very difficult for businesses to attract and retain staff to the town.</p> <p>Visitors to the town often travel up to 2 hours to get to Southwold. They want to spend the day here. Charging them for bringing their custom to the town seems unfair when all Southwold small businesses rely so much on this type of visitor.</p> <p>Yet again Small businesses will bear the brunt of changes instigated by people unfamiliar with the reality of the situation.</p> <p>On top of the business rate hike that we have to put up with charging for car parking will be like another tax on Southwold businesses.</p>
42	Member of the public (retailer)	27/11/17	Email	WDC	Southwold		<p>I would like to oppose the proposal to make a daily charge to parking in Southwold for the following reasons :</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Lack of public transport means the town will become inaccessible for many visitors. 2. Added financial pressure to existing business owners in the town. My store is open 6 days a week, a parking charge would add substantially to my store running costs. 3. Would give shoppers and visitors a valid reason to visit other towns with free parking. 4. If someone has paid £6 to park, they are less likely to spend money in the towns shops and cafes. <p>Am happy to discuss any of these points further, please accept this email as a firm opposition to the proposal.</p>
43	Member of the public	24/11/17	Email	WDC	Beccles	Quay North Car Park	<p>Will there be provision for reserved residents parking in the Quay Car park if charges are introduced?</p> <p>Since our parking permit in Ravensmere East has been withdrawn, we have had to resort to using the Quay car park, even though it is further away and much less convenient for those of us living in Northgate.</p>
44	Member of the public (retailer)	27/11/17	Email	WDC	Southwold		<p>The majority of workers in Southwold live out of town and work in shops and restaurants, not I might add highly paid work !!</p> <p>As the bus service is not that good, nothing after about 5.45 we have to use our cars. The workers are the life blood of the town without them there wouldn't be the 'Southwold' that people want to visit.</p> <p>This is not only going to affect the workers of Southwold but visitors also. People who holiday here stay mainly in properties with no parking. Holiday lets are cottages or apartments with no parking facilities.</p> <p>Having worked here for 12 years I have talked to many visitors and one of the attractions of the</p>

							<p>town is that they don't need to use their cars, so having to pay £6 a day I don't think will help attract more visitors.</p> <p>I can understand that this would be a good source of income but if it affects people actually visiting the town surely it would be defeating the object.</p>
45	Member of the public	27/11/17	Email	WDC	Southwold		<p>I am writing to state my objection to placing car parking charges in southwold. I work in the town 4 days a week and placing this charge on people that work in the retail sector on quite low wages is an absolute disgrace.</p> <p>Perhaps a solution to this would be parking permits for workers of the town.</p>
46	Member of the public	27/11/17	Email	WDC	Southwold		<p>To charge a parking fee will greatly affect people who work in the town. It is disgraceful to think that Southwolds workers would not be supported in this. It will make staff supply difficult to get and might have a knock on effect with trade in the town.</p>
47	Member of the public	27/11/17	Email	WDC	Southwold		<p>I am writing to you to strongly object to the purposed parking charges at Southwold. As I work in Southwold the purposed cost of £6 per day to park my car just to go to my minimum waged job is outrageous!</p> <p>This means I would have to pay £120 a month just to park my car so I could earn a living. I could park on the side roads but I would hate to upset the residents. The purposed parking cost will discourage people from shopping in the town and tourists from using the beach and shops. I ask you to reconsider the charges or at least give working people a free parking permit.</p>
48	Member of the public	27/11/17	Email	WDC	Beccles	Quay and Waveney meadow carparks	<p>Please can I suggest that if parking charges are introduced to the Quay and Waveney meadow carparks in Beccles, that provision is made there re provide the residents parking for those whose offstreet parking permits were withdrawn from Ravensmere East earlier this year. This should be provided at no more than the cost £150 per year that we would be expected to pay at the much more convenient Ravensmere East carpark</p>
49	Member of the public (retailer)	27/11/17	Email	WDC	Southwold		<p>We have just received a note regarding possible car park charges being implemented in some of the car parks in Southwold and just wanted to get some put some of our points across.</p> <p>We have a team of 4 people working in the Timberland shop on the high street and we all commute into the town from Bungay, Lowestoft and Harleston. As we already have other outgoing costs just to get to work the idea of then having to pay out even more to be able to park could really make a difference to whether or not we continue to work in town. I feel this could make a massive difference to staff turnover within this shop as people just won't want to pay. For some this is an hours work alone just being spent on car parking and when you add this cost up over a month or the year it really is going to be quite a sum of money for anyone that works a 5 day week. The proposed £6 is quite frankly an outrage and will probably put locals off coming into town which we heavily rely on during the quieter times of the year.</p> <p>I'd love to know where these car parking charges will then be spent and as the town has survived so long without them why does it need to change!!? It seems extremely greedy and won't do the economy any favours and if actioned could backfire.</p>

50	Member of the public	27/11/17	Email	SCDC	All	<p>Further parking restrictions and charges should not be imposed to pay for the management of parking.</p> <p>To do so would reduce the attractiveness of visiting our towns, reduce participation in leisure facilities and reduce use of shops.</p> <p>Why target towns and not out of town shopping centres? Why charge for stopping at our towns but not at Martlesham Heath, Nacton Road etc. shopping facilities.?</p> <p>If SCDC do this it will drive people away from town centres and to out of town shopping.</p> <p>Why charge by the hour to enjoy out towns and the seaside?</p> <p>On a weekend it is easy to find free parking in the centre of London; London encourages visitors. Suffolk must learn from this.</p>
51	Member of the public (retailer)	28/11/17	Email	WDC	Southwold	<p>I am writing as a Southwold business owner to object to the proposed daily car parking charges.</p> <p>I am so concerned how this will impact both my customer footfall and the ability for my staff to make a living.</p> <p>The proposed fee could mean as much as £120 per month to some of my employees, that are already earning not much more than minimum wage. I have already been notified by staff that it will make their employment at my business not viable! How can I attract staff?</p> <p>Southwold has very few permanent residents, therefore can only survive on visitors that arrive by car. The bus service is not sufficient.</p> <p>In general high streets across the country are becoming empty ghost towns with people favouring out of town centres (with free parking) or internet shopping.</p> <p>Southwold will soon join them, increased overheads and decreasing footfall are a recipe for disaster!</p> <p>Surely the government is making enough money from Southwold with extortionate rate levels without having to add further charges!</p>
52	Member of the public	29/11/17	Email	SCDC	Felixstowe	<p>[There is a] problem of people parking on the extended pavements on Undercliff Road West. I enclose a typical photo taken today.</p>

						
						<p>These dual purpose traffic calming and pedestrian crossing zones, built only a few years ago, are regularly parked on and no enforcement takes place; making us suspect that they are - in legal terms - still street and therefore parking on them is presumably not illegal. We are hoping that they will be included in any parking controls adopted so that they can then be enforced. An alternative might, perhaps, be to add bollards to restrict vehicular access.</p> <p>When cars are parked on these zones, it undermines their purpose as a 'safe pedestrian crossing'.</p>
53	Member of the public	28/11/17	Email	WDC	Southwold	<p>I am writing to object to the potential imposition of parking charges in Southwold town centre. I am a Southwold resident and believe it would be detrimental to the town, shops and local amenities. There are already paid parking spaces in place (pier, common) and our wonderful town is one of the few places left where you might be lucky enough to find a convenient space to park in for free. So many people visit the town to pop to the shops, the beach, arts centre, library, park or schools etc. If more parking charges are imposed it would deter people from 'popping by' which our town heavily relies on, the passing trade! When people park up and 'pop in' thy spend money in our shops, cafes and contribute to our community. Imposing more parking charges will stop visitors just nipping by, many of which end up staying for longer, spending more money and helping to keep our town alive.</p>
54	Member of the public	28/11/17	Email	WDC	Southwold	<p>I am writing to voice my objection to the car parking charge proposal in southwold. As a town, southwold is already losing small businesses which will only be increased by adding a car parking charge, local businesses are already struggling with increased business rents which</p>

							<p>has been highlighted recently in the news. Furthermore locals who visit southwold regularly will be penalised by a council who simply want to make money from holidaymakers. Adding a car parking charge will discourage people from wanting to visit what is a beautiful town in Suffolk and I for one am highly upset by this proposal.</p>
55	Member of the public	28/11/17	Email	WDC	Southwold		<p>I would like to strongly oppose the steep parking charges you are proposing for Southwold. There is a limited bus service into the town anyway and many workers need to drive to work. Local people also need to pop in for shopping & in turn, keep the town going throughout the year. To charge the locals this amount is ludicrous. Having become such a tourist destination as well, it may well be deemed greedy to enforced such a high day rate. Can you perhaps consider one particular area which is permitted for local staff only? A lot of visitors that come here are from London & possibly earn more/have money put aside for their holiday. Please consider the locals & not just the paying tourists.</p>
56	Member of the public	28/11/17	Email	WDC	Southwold		<p>My children both swim at St Felix and play rugby, so I am in Southwold at least 3 times a week and I regularly enjoy shopping, having a coffee / lunch in the town.</p> <p>I have just seen on social media that you are planning to increase Southwold car park charges to £6 / day and I wanted to profusely oppose to these charges as I find them totally unnecessary as I believe they will have a knock on effect to so many businesses. I certainly will think twice about popping in to Southwold and believe many others will feel the same. Therefore, I ask you to reconsider.</p>
57	Member of the public (small business)	28/11/17	Email	WDC	Southwold		<p>I run a small business in Southwold, my employees, who can't afford to live in Southwold have to drive to work and park. If you increase the parking costs, my staff will have to pay £30 a week / £130 per month / £1560 per year to work for me. As a small business trying to grow. Southwold is already a very difficult place to run a business, now with this additional cost of parking, this potentially means that my staff could leave and look to the bigger towns where they don't have to pay a parking tax.</p> <p>A better idea would be to have residents parking and workers parking.</p>
58	Member of the public	28/11/17	Email	SCDC	Felixstowe	Crescent Road	<p>My comments relate to The Crescent Car Park in Felixstowe though I am sure much the same applies to other car parks.</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. The tariff part of the document placed adjacent to each machine in the car park is printed in such a small type face that anyone with less than perfect eyesight would find it impossible to read, as this is key information I do not believe that this is a fair consultation 2. At the pay machine nearest to the public toilet block the tariff part of the

						<p>document has been affixed to the metal plate displaying the current charges in such a way that it is so far behind the pay machine that it is, even allowing for the above, impossible to read</p> <p>3. Having returned to the car park with my reading glasses I ascertained that the new proposed fees incorporate a 20% rise for the fee for up to 2 hours- even allowing for inflation I do not see that an increase of this magnitude can be justified</p> <p>4. Despite the fact that this car park is designated as Short Stay the increase proposed for parking from 2-4 hours is proportionately less than the increase for a shorter period- this might be justified in a long stay car park but not in a short stay.</p> <p>I believe that these increases have nothing to do CPE but are simply a means to increase the Council's income at the expense of motorists and to the detriment of struggling local traders- they cannot be justified.</p>
59	Member of the public	28/11/17	Email	WDC	Southwold	<p>I am writing in response to the proposed policy of charge for Parking in Southwold's town car parks. Many employees, and villagers from surrounding villages rely on being able to park in the town to go about their day to day business. During busy periods roadside parking is of a premium, the proposed fees going to further exasperate this problem.</p> <p>I work in the primary school, and have two children who also attend the school and being villagers depend on being able to park in the town. Your suggested changes will be a further nail in the coffin of small rural towns, their independent businesses. This is NOT the answer to the parking provision in Southwold. I urge you to re think your proposal.</p>
60	Member of the public	28/11/17	Email	WDC	Southwold	<p>Keeping this short.I live in Bungay with my family.We visit Southend in summer, winter, after school time to go to the beach, for family days out and for rugby matches. £6 is too much for an already expensive destination. We, and many like it will go to other venues.Yes you will keep the rich people coming, but many locals will go elsewhere ruining this lively town.Not impressed.</p>
61	Member of the public	28/11/17	Email	WDC	Southwold	<p>I would like to object to the proposed changes to parking charges in Southwold. We visit Southwold as a family regularly and enjoy spending time on the beach as well as visiting the local businesses in the town. Southwold is a beautiful place but if the parking charges are raised to £6 a day, we would reconsider our day trips and go elsewhere where parking is more affordable. I feel the changes you are proposing would make others feel the same way as I do</p>

							and this would then have a negative impact on trade in the town and ultimately the town itself.
62	Member of the public	29/11/17	Email	WDC	Southwold		I write to object in the strongest possible terms, to the proposed new parking charges in Southwold. High street traders are already struggling to survive with the increased council rates and the proposed new parking charges will deter local shoppers and visitors alike. The character of Southwold is already under serious threat from bland chain stores and this will be yet another nail in the coffin. Leave our town alone please before it is too late!
63	Member of the public	29/11/17	Email	WDC	Beccles	Quay	<p>Beccles Quay car park - local car parking charges: I am very disappointed to see that car parking charges are potentially being implemented within this car park.</p> <p>This is because, in my opinion, this will deter people from visiting the Quay and as a result have a negative effect on the Town's economy, and will also lead to nuisance parking in and around Fen Lane, Pound Road and Bridge Street.</p> <p>This car park is not only used for the Quay use: Local boat users, Travis Perkins customers, NHS Clinical Commissioning Group visitors and members of the nearby Waveney Cue Centre (including myself) also park here: all of these businesses will lose trade as a result of the change.</p> <p>If a charge was introduced, the proposed tariffs (i.e. £1.20 per hour, £6.00 for 4+ hours) is way too high, as the car park is in very poor condition and is neglected by poor maintenance by Waveney District Council. This fee is extortionately high too for where it is, bearing in mind the car park itself is not surface-finished, and has no CCTV protection, which is a basic standard for modern pay-and-display car parks.</p> <p>A case study example that I base my worries on is the Nicholas Everitt Park Car Park in Lowestoft: after the Car Parking cost there, the decline in usage was huge, and was felt by all local businesses on Bridge Street, several of which closed down after the change.</p> <p>I hope you understand my concerns, and take them into account within your consultation.</p>
64	Member of the public	29/11/17	Email	WDC	Southwold		<p>I want to very strongly voice my opinion that to introduce a £6 fee for car parking in car parks in Southwold would be a disaster for the town and for the people who drive to work here. Many people who have to drive here for work will be on minimum wage and a £6 daily fee will affect their wage packet considerably.</p> <p>I have always thought it marvellous that Southwold has a lot of free parking. It has encouraged me over the years to come here with my family and when we come we spend far more than £6.00 on eating, drinking and entertaining ourselves. If we had to pay for parking I would not be so inclined to come but would opt for somewhere nearer.(I live in Norwich)</p> <p>Please think beyond the immediate gain and consider the potential losses both of income and good will.</p>
65	Member of the public	29/11/17	Email	WDC	Southwold		I am writing to state my objections to parking charges in southwold I think it would make southwold a very expensive place to visit and work as already business rates have gone up it

						would make them struggle even more
66	Member of the public	29/11/17	Email	WDC	Southwold	<p>I am writing to state my objections about the introduction of car parking charges in Southwold</p> <p>I disagree that car parking charges should be introduced as it will make Southwold a expensive place to visit and work at</p>
67	Member of the public	29/11/17	Email	SCDC		<p>Firstly, I am puzzled as to why the police have managed to carry out the street parking problem within their budget, but SCDC need £240000 to carry out the same operation especially when the fines imposed by the police went to the treasury and the fines by SCDC are kept in house. Surely it is time that our elected officials and the staff learned the difference between “wanting “ and “needing”, and to negotiate better terms with partner agencies and contractors. We seem to have created a culture which will always need more money to operate. Perhaps we should start by saying we can only do it if we can afford it, and look to make genuine savings. We cannot have a “gold plated “ set up if the money is not there.</p> <p>Why can SCDC not claim some funding from the police budget, and how is the figure of £240000 arrived at?</p> <p>Secondly I think that all car parking charges are a deterrent to people parking cars responsibly. Having spent 30 years on the road it is frightening that one can no longer stop to buy a newspaper , a sandwich for lunch, or even a haircut without incurring a charge for parking which increases the cost of the initial purchase out of all propotion.</p> <p>Traders across the country rely on customers, and you have to make any purchase or visit(in the case of our attractions) as easy and attractive as possible.You only have to see the effect that the out of town superstores with free parking have on the town centres and small county towns. These smaller traders are paying business rates and have usual overheads,so they need all the help that they can get. It seems to me that on one hand we have organisations advertising the wonders of Suffolk in an effort to attract people, and on the other hand we have the authorities trying to fleece them when they get here and try to park their cars.</p> <p>Since the changes in parking charges in Ipswich , I personally have avoided going into the town. Not good news for shopkeepers !!</p> <p>I know that some streets in Ipswich have permit parking, but even this is a nightmare when essential repairs are needed on a property. I recently applied for a temporary permit to carry out some work and was told that it would take 14 days to process it, and that British Gas engineers had permits ,so they could carry out the work. I have my own choice of builders,plumbers and electrians and I fail to see why they should suggest I use British Gas. Also, when there is a problem, it needs fixing quickly.</p> <p>As far as the rural car parks are concerned, I feel sure that a lot of savings could be made by reducing the standards of surfacing etc. Low income families still like to go for a drive and then often park up and walk but these proposed charges will deter even these people.</p>
68	Member of the public	30/11/17	Email	WDC	Southwold	<p>I am writing to express my strong objection to the proposed daily parking charge in Southwold.</p> <p>Firstly, Southwolds' shops, restaurants, tourist attractions, cafes, pubs and countless other businesses rely almost entirely upon people visiting the town from outside the area.</p>

						<p>If there was a daily charge of £6.00 this would severely damage the trade of these businesses. Forcing these valuable customers to use other nearby towns such as Beccles where there is ample FREE parking (Tesco, Morrisons and Roys gives 3 hours for free).</p> <p>Secondly, there are many retail and restaurant workers that have to travel in by car and use the car parks, as they live outside of the town. They work very long, unsociable hours. Often they start work very early in the morning and do not finish until very late in the evening, so using the very poor, irregular public transport is not an option.</p> <p>It is extremely common for these workers to be on the minimum wage so their finances are already stretched, without having the threat of a monthly charge of £144.00 (many work 6 days per week, some even 7 days!!!).</p> <p>The proposed charges would make it extremely difficult, or impossible for these people to afford to work in the town.</p> <p>Southwold already faces many other extremely serious challenges in the current economic climate, for example, increasingly high rents and business rates, multinationals and very very poor public transport links, I strongly believe Waveney District Council should be doing everything in its power to encourage increasing visitor numbers and support the infrastructure of the town.</p> <p>I strongly object to Waveney District Councils' proposed car parking charges as they do not support, promote and encourage the economy and popularity of Southwold.</p>
69	Member of the public	30/11/17	Email	SCDC	Felixstowe	<p>Having read your proposed consultation for the increase to parking charges and in some cases the end of freely available parking in other sites, in the Felixstowe area I wish to raise my concerns as a resident of Felixstowe, as to the practicality of the options that are being considered.</p> <p>Many of the car parks that you wish to introduce tariff's, are hardly used, other than by local residents dog walking, or otherwise enjoying the local amenities, for fitness and leisure. Your proposal to the following sites is not consistent with the Suffolk County Council objectives for health and wellbeing of its residents, and may have the unintended consequence of diminishing use of these facilities by parents of children, dog walkers and those seeking cost effective fitness using local amenities. I trust this is being considered as part of your assessment criteria.</p> <p>Brackenbury Fort, Eastwood Ho, Manor Terrace, car parking facilities apply.</p> <p>In my opinion, charging to use these sites this counts is double taxation for previously free amenities, and therefore restricts local residents from using facilities that are maintained using council tax revenue.</p> <p>I request to see a copy of the business case and cost benefit analysis for these specific sites, which I feel is a reasonable request to understand the decision making process.</p> <p>I find it hard to believe that the installation, support and maintenance and patrolling of these</p>

						<p>sites will provide a positive business case. If your assumption is that there is an overall positive business case by 'lumping' all local facilities in to one opportunity to increase revenue for the council, this is deeply inconsiderate to the needs of your residents.</p> <p>As a resident of the town, I also disagree for the need to increase parking charges above a reasonable annual RPI based level, the approach of a 10% increase begs the question what will happen with these additional funds, will they be made available for re-investment in facilities in Felixstowe. Will more support be provided to local business to help them attract shoppers to the town in other ways.</p> <p>How do you justify a 10% increase, annual increases in-line with inflation are a far more measurable way to increase these fees, RPI is currently 2.9% for the preceding 12 months in October 2017.</p> <p>Whilst I have my own provision for parking at my residence, an increase will lead to more traffic searching for spaces, on already busy streets, a rise in illegally parked cars on the street to avoid paying increased fees.</p>
70	Member of the public	30/11/17	Email	WDC	Southwold	<p>I've worked in southwold for the last 8 years and lived previously for three, and even then with free parking I struggled to park my car near my house.</p> <p>Now I don't live there, and now I just work there i will not be able to afford to work and park in southwold, my partner and I both work in southwold and share a car, and if this charge goes ahead we will park at the residents parking because we will not be able to afford to pay parking everyday that we work.</p> <p>I read and filled in the workers application of southwold parking permits back in august and wonder what happened with that?</p> <p>I just know after the increase of business rates and our independent shop prices having to be increased and independent shops being desperate for customers to help them stay afloat and now we are going to charge for parking?</p> <p>We will become a ghost town if this continues, and southwold will lose all its charm.</p>
71	Member of the public	1/12/17	Email	WDC	All	<p>2 points</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • I think that the one hour free parking in the Thoroughfare Car Park, Halesworth should be extended to all car parks administered by WDC. This will encourage people to come into the town, increasing trade to shops and cafes, rather than just being a source of income to the council • resident parking should be from 1800-0800, as is the practice in many places, eg the City of Gloucester. It makes sense for residents to have reserved parking for when they are at home, but not when they are out or at work. The result is that currently there are many empty spaces which cannot be used, and discourage people coming to Lowestoft because there is insufficient street parking.

72	Member of the public	1/12/17	Email	SCDC	Bawdsey	Amenity Site	<p>I have read the proposal to introduce pay-and-display charges for the so-called amenity area near Bawdsey Quay. From the wording of the consultation, it appears that this is at the instigation of the parish council - something that I was not aware of.</p> <p>I believe this to be a dreadful idea for these reasons:-</p> <p>(a) There is no 'problem parking' in that area and only on maybe a handful of days each year is there heavy use of the area</p> <p>(b) Introducing a formal parking scheme with pay-and-display, and presumably occasional visits from traffic wardens, is completely out of proportion to the needs of the area: this is not a busy area for shopping in the centre of a town but a very remote and little-used area</p> <p>(c) Making people pay, even what the council and presumably parish council see as a small amount, will encourage people <u>not</u> to use the site and to park elsewhere; close by there is a nasty bend in the road with poor sight lines, and if people started parking there, it would create a hazard.</p>
73	Member of the public	1/12/17	Email	WDC	Lowestoft	<p>The following are my thoughts on proposed changes to car parking in Lowestoft.</p> <p>As an independent professional woman, my priority in relation to any car park is personal safety. Car parks and walking routes to/from them must be well lit and not isolated in any way. Getting to and from them must be straightforward, and not involve diversions to avoid lonely side roads and or other poorly lit or isolated parts of town.</p> <p>All parts of car parks need to be adequately lit, to ensure minimal risks to personal safety. If possible, good CCTV coverage of car parks and their approaches would be desirable.</p> <p>I don't really have any other specific points in relation to the proposed changes. My points above represent my views. I do not use any car park at night if it is in a very quiet and isolated area of the town centre, and if the car park itself is poorly lit. I am no expert on this kind of thing, but common sense tends to tell me, that the risks to personal safety increase in any part of a town which is lonely, isolated, and poorly lit. We all know that resources are especially tight, so that cannot always be used as a reason not to prioritise things like personal safety.</p>	
74	Member of the public	1/12/17	Email	SCDC	Wickham Market	Campsea Ashe station car park	<p>I write to raise formal objection to this order as it affects Wickham Market station 'Wickham Market railway station car park, Campsea Ashe is added to the order to enable enforcement of a maximum stay of 18 hour'</p>

							<p>I work in London 3 days a week and leave my car there Tues-Thurs. By making this order I will not be able to do this. It leaves me with a conundrum as to how I can travel. I take the first train on Tuesday (0623). There is no public transport from Snape, nor is there anywhere else near to the station to be able to park. So what do I do other than to abandon existing arrangement, drive to Ipswich instead? That doesn't sound like a very green idea?</p> <p>Could you not at least make some provision for longer term parking?</p>
75	Member of the public	1/12/17	Email	WDC	Southwold		<p>I would like to start with how lovely Southwold is to visit and work in.</p> <p>I am currently working for Adnams, which I and others feel we are one of the main reasons people visit Southwold. So let me ask, as we are doing the hard work of attracting people to this seaside town, we then have to pay for car parking too? I don't think so.</p> <p>There are plenty of car parks that charge around Southwold and as someone who works here, it is an absolute nightmare at times to find anywhere safe and close enough to park! These free parking places are a God send!</p> <p>If you are going to put such measures in place, I suggest looking after the people who work their socks off bringing people in to visit Southwold. It is a bit like working for a good company; a good employer looks after their staff and the staff return the favour.</p> <p>There either needs to be certain free car parks for the workers only, or free parking permits offered to the hard working people of Southwold.</p> <p>I do hope this email will not be ignored, thank you for your time in reading this.</p>
76	Aldeburgh Town councillor	2/12/17	Email	SCDC	Aldeburgh	Slaughden	<p>I am an Aldeburgh Town councillor and would like to add a personal note distinct from ATC's previous response.</p> <p>It occurs to me that regularising the current parking on the Slaughden sea wall may not be a good idea unless advice is taken on the effect of the extra traffic on the stability of the sea wall. I believe this to be just a shingle bank.</p> <p>There are of course ownership issues involved with this proposal and it might be best to restrict vehicular access to the sea wall to only those with access</p>

							rights. If the council proceeds with this idea I will expect at least an engineering assessment of the suitability and measures in place to prevent any damage to the sea defences.
77	Member of the public	2/12/17	Email	WDC	Southwold		Car park changes in Southwold should be reduced not increased to encourage car users to park in car parks instead of parking on yellow lines in the town. In a town that is no longer policed. More car parks with low fees would bring in more money. Higher car park fees will result in more cars parked in the the streets, often on pavements putting pedestrians at risk.
78	Member of the public	2/12/17	Email	WDC	All		W d.c. seem only interested in making money from car parking charges and not encouraging visitors to stay and enjoy our shops and open spaces. We need to get folks back to shop in our towns and spend to boost the local economy. I recently visited Woodbridge which I liked to Beccles. It comes under Suffolk Coastal council and what a supreme. Charges. at both swimming pool and station parks both 50p an hour I applaud them and wish them well unlike our naive councillors in Waveney
79	Member of the public	2/12/17	Email	WDC	Beccles	Quay	I am responding to the consultation on the introduction of car parking charges at the quay. I object to the introduction of charging at this site. Parking at the quay is used by a mix of people: Those who cannot afford central parking charges for shopping and leisure Those who live in the area and have no on street parking Those who own boats and pay for mooring at the quay People who want to walk in the area None of the above should have to pay to park. Please can we support people in living their lives and stop extracting revenue at every turn.
80	Member of the public	3/12/17	Email	WDC	Beccles	Quay north and Waveney Meadow car parks	As a dog walker who walks every day, twice a day, on either the Quay or along the riverside I would strongly object to parking charges being introduced to the car parks which give me access to these places. I have walked here for many years with my dogs and several visitors, to introduce charges in these places would be detrimental. I meet several families who use the play areas and fishermen along the banks as well as boat users, all these people have been able to use these car parks freely and it would cause some financial hardship if they were having to pay to enjoy the use of the play areas etc. The Waveney Walk is several miles long alongside the river, this cannot be completed inside an hour, so those people who are members of the 'Walk for Health' would be somewhat out of pocket with charges in excess of £1.20 per hour, along with any other walkers taking advantage of this beautiful walk. There are very few facilities for the people of Beccles or visitors to this area that can be freely enjoyed. Don't take this one away. The car park near the Waveney Meadow is very underused, several people park along the road due to the awkward entrance to the car park,the danger to scraping the side of your car as you attempt to drive in or out is very real. The car park at the Quay is in a dreadful state with pot holes which would be needed to be repaired.

							The Quay is also used extensively for the various fetes and musical events, with the field opposite being used as an overflow, would charges be made for these as well?
81	Member of the public	4/12/17	Email	SCDC	Woodbridge		I contend if you build many more homes in the district, it goes without saying that the infrastructure to cope with the extra population must be put in. ie: more car parking space is required in Woodbridge if we are going to continue the success of the town. Unfortunately in this country this is the last thing politicians think about.
82	Member of the public	4/12/17	Email	WDC	Beccles	Quay north	<p>I wish to object in the strongest possible terms to the proposal of the local council to introduce charges to the North Quay car park, Beccles.</p> <p>My first point of objection is that this car park is used by the Waveney Ramblers and other walking groups to park cars before going on a day's ramble. There are several country walks that commence in Beccles. Many of the members of these walking groups are pensioners (including myself), and they will not be prepared to pay £6 for the pleasure of parking their car there for the day. The result will be that either they will park on nearby roads, or they will be deterred from going on walks from there altogether. This would be contrary to the government's exhortation that people should take more exercise.</p> <p>My second point of objection is that this car park has a terrible surface, covered in potholes. What moral justification does the council have to make a charge for parking here when it has made no investment whatsoever in the facility?</p> <p>I urge you to abandon the plan to introduce charges at this car park.</p>
83	Member of the public	4/12/17	Email	Both	All		<p>I would like to see planning for a reduction in car parking after 5 years.</p> <p>From that period, we will be seeing the beginnings of more vehicle-sharing (Uber-style) coupled with completely autonomous car and bus journeys, with only a need to drop off and pick up passengers, rather than parking.</p> <p>To that end, it would be great to see any car parking surfacing / re-surfacing in materials that are easily removable to bring the surface back to green leisure / nature / crop-farming use.</p> <p>Naturally, if we are still in the mode of coping with over-population housing, it would be better to site that on already-trashed brownfield sites (such as ex-car-parking), rather than greenfield.</p> <p>Of course, we should all be resisting government's hiding of the over-population problem from the public - they are just furthering the interests of their big business chums, who like population increases pushing up their sales and profits, as it hides their underlying inability to influence or organically grow profits.</p> <p>Like it, or not, the unsustainable growth in population will have to end at some near point,</p>

							especially as IT is already able to replace the vast majority of jobs.
84	Member of the public	4/12/17	Email	SCDC	Woodbridge		During the Woods Lane closure, street parking was suspended along the west side of Thoroughfare, between Orchard Gardens and Pyches Road, but the cones have now been removed and parking is permitted again. Parking should be permanently prohibited here, it is not required by the residents who have vehicular access/parking/garaging via the mews road at the rear, and this parking frequently causes traffic congestion back to the traffic lights at Thoroughfare/Lime Kiln Quay Road as it creates a pinch point where larger vehicles cannot pass.
85	Member of the public	4/12/17	Email	SCDC	Woodbridge		<p>I am delighted you are reviewing car parking in Woodbridge and surrounding areas. I have lived here for over 20 years and parking has become a real issue.</p> <p>The additional new housing in the area, which is more often than not outside normal walking distance to the shops and the lack of bus services, mean more and more cars have to be used. To support town centre shopping we need more car parks than we currently have.</p> <p>Many many roads are chocked with street parking (round Cherry Tree Road, Westholme Close and Kingston Playing Field are prime examples).</p> <p>The bottom end of Warren Hill Road where I live is an accident prone area. Buses or cars come round the corners, either from Ipswich Road or from the top of Warren Hill Road and then, as they round the corner, the drivers are faced with having to make an instant decision of possibly having to slow suddenly and stop or to squeeze through a single gap gently because of parked cars in the way. Even before the parking problem and the new bus route in Warren Hill Road there have been three accidents outside my house. One car crashed through my front hedge, one went through the hedge of the then Notcutts and down the bank and the other was on the road. Most of these happened in frosty conditions.</p> <p>One person I spoke to who had parked her car out side my house said that Notcutts now put a gate across so she couldn't walk into the town from there!</p> <p>It's difficult, visitors and locals need to be supported with good parking ?free parking plus a park for commuters?</p> <p>I'm sure you have heard most of these points before. However, I hope they will be included in your considerations.</p>
86	Member of the public	5/12/17	Email	WDC	Oulton Broad	Residents' Parking	<p>Proposed entry of everett Rd oulton broad into off street resident parking scheme:</p> <p>I wish to register my objection to the above proposal on the grounds that this road is always being used by local businesses, and the general public for parking, usually short term. How is this going to be enforced? Also, as Everett road is unadopted, is it going to have a proper road surface applied? I have a property which has an entrance in the road in question, am I still going to have access for deliveries and this is also used for personal parking too.</p> <p>To get the required clearance to get out of the parking space I have, I require that the spaces</p>

						<p>adjacent to this entrance are kept clear. This does mean that there would only be spaces for three or four cars at most . Hardly cost effective.</p> <p>The businesses that are already under enough pressure to make a living will suffer yet again if their customers are not allowed access to short term parking, delivery drivers already have a problem negotiating the road to carry out their duties, with cars parked either side they will find it nigh on impossible.</p> <p>Also, the concrete section running adjacent to the spar shop is actually their land, the boundary is where the concrete is joined to the road surface. They tolerate it being used for parking and use it themselves to run their cages of supplies to their loading bay. This means that if cars are parked down both sides of the road there is hardly any room for the cars parked at the bottom of the road to manoeuvre to get out. Having been using and running a business along here for forty years I have seen all these problems before. It really isn't going to solve the ongoing parking problems .</p>
87	Member of the public	5/12/17	Email	SCDC	Felixstowe	<p>If you want to kill off towns such as Felixstowe, this is the way to do it.</p> <p>We want to encourage visitors to our town, not drive them away!</p> <p>Motoring is expensive enough without adding to the cost by adopting the ,quite frankly, greedy proposal to increase car parking tariffs.</p> <p>I don't park in town very often as I live within walking distance and tend to either walk or use the bus.</p> <p>Even so, our town is dying, due to too many hairdressers,coffee shops & charity shops.</p> <p>Please don't kill it completely by increasing the already exorbitant car parking charges!</p>
88	Member of the public	5/12/17	Email	WDC	Southwold	<p>I have recently started working in Southwold and am now very concerned about the proposed car parking charges. I have now been working here for over 2 months and love my job and love coming to Southwold. I would be devastated to change jobs now.</p> <p>I object very strongly to this charge because I will not be able to afford the fee as it will be £30 a week or £120 a month out of my wages. It will be very difficult to then buy enough food and to run my car, which I need, as I live 25 miles away from Southwold.</p> <p>As well as affecting people on low wages, it will also be off putting for visitors.</p> <p>Please do not implement this charge.</p>
89	Member of the public	5/12/17	Email	WDC	Southwold	<p>Firstly, I understand some charges have to be made.</p> <p>1) Are workers supposed to pay this amount?, weekly £30, £120 monthly and so it escalates. For most folk they are on minimum wage, like myself. It would take a huge chunk of our wages.</p> <p>2) Surely employees/workers should get a permit?, without workers businesses cannot run, and in turn visitors won't get served.</p> <p>3) Years ago when Simon Loftus was Chairman of Adnams brewery. It was talked about to do a park and ride where the houses are now built at the old St Georges school site. I would lay money most of these dwellings are holiday homes.....heinsight is a wonderful thing!</p> <p>4) Are councils and the powers that be, trying to austrasise locals workers and folks trying to</p>

						<p>make a living.</p> <p>5) Why not open the car park properly opposite the entrance to North Road. Opening this would help the parking issues a lot, again workers to have a permit, like I'm guessing people whom have homes will get?</p>
90	Member of the public	5/12/17	Email	WDC	Southwold	<p>Having spent all my life living and working in Southwold. It is with such great disappointment that you should be considering carparking charges in additional car parks in Southwold. This is all well and good for day trippers but please bear in mind a lot of the business in Southwold rely on employees driving into the town to work and an additional charge of parking will add yet another cost onto being able to work in the town. I feel this is yet another death nail into the survival of business and the town. It is all very well being a chain business but one of the many appeals of Southwold was the small independent shops which sadly has changed.</p> <p>I most strongly hope that you will reconsider this notion.</p>
91	Member of the public	5/12/17 (and again on 6/12/17)	Email	SCDC	Kesgrave	<p>During school term there are a lot of cars parked all day in Ashdale Road (opposite Kesgrave main school). From the Main road right up into Windrush Road. When you go from Windrush road down Ashdale towards the main road, and cars are approaching you, there are not many spaces left for the cars coming up from main road to pull in, and the only way to get past is to drive on the pavement for about 50-75 yards until you get past the cars that are approaching you, then get get back on the road.</p> <p>Also when they come out at about 3.30, it is like an unofficial one way system, (Ashdale-Windrush-Main road.) As cars are also parked at the end of Windrush road it is often difficult to get into Windrush road from main road then due to the numbers of cars coming down Windrush Road out to get onto the main road, so you give up, go to Ashdale road and join the "one way" system.</p> <p>If an ambulance was needed toward the top of Ashdale at that time, it would be delayed for some time!</p> <p>The worst times are about 8.30 am and 3.30 pm.</p> <p>When you look (via google map) there appears to be a lot of spare land to the right of the the school, looks like a small forest, and if about 1/4 or 1/2 acre was available the students could park their cars there.</p>
92	Member of the public	5/12/17	Email	SCDC	Woodbridge	<p>Many thanks for giving the residents of Westholme Close to contact you regarding a real problem we are having in our close.</p> <p>Westholme Close is now being used seven days a week as an all day car park for people working in the town and people using the train. The residents are having real problems with their drives being blocked and at the least access being restricted by thoughtless car owners. In addition the bin trucks are having problems collecting our waste bins, in addition an ambulance recently had problems getting to an emergency in the Close. We had two cars recently parked up for three</p>

						<p>weeks while the owners caught a train to London Airport for their holidays. In addition the tennis and football club add even more cars using our Close as a car park. With Nottcutts fitting gates soon to help solve the same problem this will only increase the problem in and around our area. Please can you help return our Close to the delightful area is once was.</p>
93	Member of the public	5/12/17	Email	SCDC	Woodbridge	<p>I am a resident of Quay Street, Woodbridge, and therefore have no off-road parking at my house. For years we have purchased an annual parking permit and park in the station car park. However, finding a space is becoming increasingly difficult, especially in the middle of the day - this year it doesn't seem to have improved even after the end of the summer holidays. I am aware that the permit does not guarantee me a parking space and I am also aware of the loss of some spaces while the swimming pool refurbishment is under way. However, sometimes I find that I consciously make inconvenient changes to my movements because of the potential difficulty of finding a parking space on my return, a state of affairs that does not seem reasonable for long term residents of the town. It has occurred to me that a partial solution might be to make the area of parking 'within the hedge', ie immediately opposite the station entrance, a 'residents only' zone + one or two disabled spaces. Given the number of annual permits I have observed displayed on cars, this would not be a complete solution, but it might ease our plight to some degree.</p>
94	Member of the public	5/12/17	Email	SCDC	Woodbridge	<p>Pleased to see you are increasing the size of the car park in The Avenue, however, all your proposals are of no value unless the illegal parking on verges and double yellow lines around the Kingston Field area is enforced. Gradually this has increased over time because the offenders know that no one gets a parking ticket anymore.</p>
95	Member of the public	5/12/17	Email	SCDC	Woodbridge	<p>regarding the car parking in Woodbridge I don't think the council look long term to the issue of car parking. I assume the old council officers at Melton hill have been sold as the council have missed a good opportunity to have opened it as a carpark it would made money long term while we still use cars. The council promote tourism in Suffolk i.e Woodbridge but if you look long term there will be no where to park soon. At the moment there are cars parking down both sides of the road down Melton hill, and since Nottcutts have installed a barrier in their car park cars are now being parked in warren hill road and at the top of Ipswich road, that's with out the 2000 houses or 4000 cars in Martlesham in the next few years.</p>
96	Member of the public	5/12/17	Email	WDC	Halesworth	<p>HALESWORTH: From my home in a nearby village I regularly drive to park and shop in Halesworth, where I really appreciate the good mix of friendly shops, all within easy walking distance of the main car park and each other. The diversity and usefulness of the retail offer in the town deserves more support from its local council and should be prioritised over the generation of income from parking. A thriving Thoroughfare will surely bring more income, in the form of business rates and customer spending, than any parking tariffs could hope to achieve. Refusing to acknowledge that most visitors arrive by car and are seeking free convenient parking for a couple of hours seems very shortsighted, especially as the rapid fall in business to all local retailers during the imposition of new parking tariffs in 2016 clearly proves the point. I also strongly disapprove of WDC imposing contributions on local businesses to reinstate the 1 hour free parking. Therefore I agree with the comments in [Cab 37/17 report]</p>

							Appendix A made by Bramfield and Thorington PC (Item 16) and the comments of Halesworth Town Council (Item 49).
97	Member of the public	5/12/17	Email	SCDC	Saxmundham		SAXMUNDHAM: See Item 24 response in [Cab 37/17 report] Appendix A from Saxmundham Town Council. The continuing problems of illegal parking along Saxmundham's High St are highlighted in the Council's response. There is little opportunity to offer car parking along this road, due to lack of space, but I believe more people would shop in the town if they could park for 1-2 hours, free of charge, right by the High Street. This would help shops along this road, who seem to be struggling still. There is a large parking area behind the (closed) Queens Head pub. Could this not be converted (by means of Compulsory Purchase Order if necessary) to offer free short-term parking for shoppers on the High Street? With the agreement of the landowner perhaps this could be trialled in the first instance. Such free parking would help free up the station car park for those using the station for onward journeys and relieve pressure on other on-street parking areas. I also agree with the Town Council's proposal that the diagonal parking offered outside the Royal Mail sorting office is dangerous, and I support the proposal that this area instead becomes a bus stop.
98	Member of the public	5/12/17	Email	SCDC	Felixstowe	Landguard	4+ hours at Landguard and Dock Viewing area should not be charged £4. If someone wishes to take ferry to Harwich or visit fort and museum this puts extra costs to their visit. Either remain free or a nominal fee of £1.50
99	Member of the public	5/12/17	Email	SCDC	Felixstowe		<p>I think it is unfair to impose charges for parking in Felixstowe where previously it has been free! I.e. Landguard look out. Many people enjoy coming and visiting during the summer and winter months in fact all year round. By imposing a charge will deter this happening and affect the local cafe business and ferry business.</p> <p>This is an essential part of tourism in Felixstowe and it should stay as it is i.e. No Charge. As far as residents parking permits go I can understand their frustrations of not being able to park. However having to pay to park in your own street will make it expensive for low income families unless you can address this it should remain as it is.</p> <p>We want to build on our tourism in Felixstowe and not deter people from coming to visit this wonderful sea side town.</p> <p>I note you are not making changes to other resorts why pick on Felixstowe.</p>
100	Member of the public	5/12/17	Email	SCDC	Melton		I am writing to say that there is inadequate parking in The Street area for those of us that use the corner shop, hairdresser and other amenities close by. Surely, the grassed space opposite the corner shop could be used to increase the number of parking plots by removing the grassed area, lowering the curb stones and turning that area into a proper parking area. You could also have notices in this area stating that no overnight parking is allowed.
101	Member of the public	5/12/17	Email	SCDC	Felixstowe	Clifflands	I am writing in connection with the consultation on the Suffolk Coastal District Council (Off-Street Parking Places) (Variation) Order 2018, in particular Variation 3 Application for Special Filming Permits are enabled , and specifically how this relates to the Clifflands car park,

						<p>Felixstowe and complies with the parts of the parking Order relating to nuisance, byelaw breaches and offences.</p> <p>As part of Variation 3 the Council should (in order to comply with the existing car park Order re: nuisance to residents, byelaw breaches and offences):-</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Ensure advance notice of a film units' arrival to Residents of homes opposite the car park; - Appoint a liaison person between Residents and the unit manager: perhaps the SCDC car parks Manager/Inspectors?; - Actively manage both the location of the unit within the car park and then supervise the siting of the attendant vehicles for the duration of the film units' visit. <p>I live opposite Clifflands, and when a film unit for a BBC series was directed to set up there by the then Resort Manager the convoy of (normally banned) vehicles entering the car park late on a Sunday evening caused distress to Residents as we had not been advised in advance of the use of Cliffland for that purpose. The presence of the film unit breached several byelaws: prohibited vehicles such as a double decker bus, lorries, large vans and trailers parking in the car park; nuisance caused by siting a toilet block trailer close to homes and with the cubicle doors facing outwards directly opposite sitting room windows, and the offence of furious driving was committed in what appeared to be a staff car, resulting in damage to the grass surface of the car park.</p>
102	Member of the public	5/12/17	Email	SCDC	Woodbridge	<p>Further to today's copy of coastline there are some points to be considered concerning the current situation vis a vis parking in Woodbridge:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> i. There is no one at all officially monitoring the on street parking. Both yellow lines time limits and other restrictions are unenforced and completely and continuously ignored. ii. Any restrictions observed in one area merely move the problem to another. Restrictions should be officially enforced and be town wide with the possibility of several small park and ride sites with small shuttle buses sited around the town perimeter. iii. Firms and businesses whose employees currently block the town and residential streets all day long should encourage them to either use the official car parks in the town or provide an out of town parking site with shuttle buses or best of all make use of the buses and trains. iii. Deliveries should be limited to certain hours and access. <p>Draconian measures are needed to address the current anarchy of the motor car adversely affecting everyone in the community.</p>

103	Member of the public	5/12/17	Email	Both	On-street		In response to your request for ideas to alleviate car parking problems in our county, can I suggest marked parking bays along high streets in popular seaside towns such as Aldeburgh. Common sense, consideration and courtesy seem to be lacking in most cases and often drivers with more expensive cars take up spaces which could easily accommodate two cars by parking in the middle of a gap, presumably to minimise the risk of damage. I have witnessed first hand the frustration this causes particularly during busier periods. Marked bays could be measured to fit an average sized car and would prevent inconsiderate parking thus allowing many more drivers/ shoppers to park within a reasonable proximity to the shops
104	Member of the public	5/12/17	Email	SCDC	Felixstowe		I haven't seen any news reports about parking but have been told there are plans to put double yellow lines on the road leading to Langer Park which is where I currently park my car as there is often no space in Holland Road and also to start charging on the free car park on Garrison Lane which I use if I can't find anywhere else. Would residents qualify for a reduction on a season ticket if this is implemented as it's going to make parking anywhere near home very difficult. I know that some people flout the rules and park too close to the corner and as usual it's the law abiding people who lose out.
105	Member of the public	5/12/17	Email	WDC	Beccles	Quay and Waveney Meadow	If it is not too late to respond to the proposed introduction of charges at Beccles Quay and Waveney Meadow, I would like to add my thoughts. Parking in the town centre is becoming quite chaotic with cars being parked anywhere. Car parks are expensive for people working in the town or who visit regularly. More will just use Tesco and will only lead to more pressure on their space. Charging for parking creates the impression that the town is only after your money. Providing a couple of free places balances this somewhat and indicates that the town wants visitors. Please leave these as they are and send out a positive image of Beccles.
106	Member of the public	5/12/17	Email	WDC	Beccles		Item 12 in the statement of reasons implies the charges will generate a revenue stream for Beccles Town Council. I would point out that use of council car parks as a source of revenue is not only contrary to national policy, it is illegal. In fact local authorities have a duty to encourage off-street parking, the very reverse of what these proposals would achieve.
107	Member of the public	5/12/17	Email	SCDC	Woodbridge	On-street	I live in Seckford street and the parking is totally out of control. We live at no70 and have a small front area that is tilled. Because car park on double yellow lines large vehicles stray onto my front and break my slabs regularly .All because there is no control by the council or police.Please do something about it
108	Member of the public	6/12/17	Email	SCDC	Felixstowe	Landguard	May I make the following comments on the proposals to change the tariffs for car parking in the district. My comment is solely on the proposals for Felixstowe and the Landguard area in particular, with which I do not agree with your conclusions. To add a little weight to this submission I would wish it to be recorded that I was, for many years, a trustee of Landguard Fort, its manager for 6 years, a founder of the Felixstowe museum and a member of the Landguard Forum as an, introduction, so am aware of the historical arguments presented for what should, or should not, be the details on the areas future. I trust what I write is constructive.

						<p>1.Landguard does not have sufficient car parking to meet its requirements, obviously most apparent when it is in its peak season. Note this is not a reference to special events for which it would not reasonable to provide permanent parking facilities. Space does exist to expand parking when required.</p> <p>2.This has been mitigated, over years, by not encouraging other than short stay parking which keeps the traffic moving and allows good use of the limited facility and has been successful in its intent.</p> <p>3.During the last few years with the increase in visitor numbers to the Fort and Museum, the addition of the visitor centre and upgrade of the Harwich ferry facility has put strain on the length of visitor visits.</p> <p>4.The introduction of charges will hinder this need to keep the traffic moving, particularly with visitors to the Fort and Museum, who's average stay must be in excess of two hours, to enable a reasonably meaningful visit and will, therefore, reduce the potential visits at busy times and discriminate against the heritage visitors compared to the ship watchers. If visitors pay for an hour at the viewpoint they will stay for an hour which will have an enormous effect on the potential. I, like your goodselves, do not know the normal length of stay but would think that fifteen minutes is not an unreasonable punt, so with some arithmetic the enormity of the decrease in potential can be seen.</p> <p>5. To much emphasis is placed on the need to finance the Landguard Partnership - the major influence in reasoning for charges being introduced, whereas not funding this body is a genuine alternative, and in the opinion of the writer, a voluntary body is far more suited to the circumstance. It could also let East Suffolk withdraw its involvement.</p> <p>I am aware that there are differing points of view to mine but I do maintain that the development of the facility since the 1990's has been the finest example of a community driven project in this area and I do not want to see this compromised for the sake of raising short term income without which the function would continue with greater success.</p>
109	Member of the public	6/12/17	Email	SCDC	Saxmundham	<p>Car parking in Saxmundham has been a constant problem, dating back several years, and has continued to deteriorate steadily during the 9 years I've lived in the town. Many people park liberally on yellow lines, crossing zig-zags, up on pavements, and in places where there are clear signs requesting them not to do so (e.g. outside the post (sorting) office, and outside Zorba's - just feet away from 'legal' parking).</p> <p>These problems have been highlighted (years ago) in Saxmundham Magazine, where the Police response has been along the lines of: "<i>we are aware of the problem and intend dealing with it robustly</i>"; needless to say, they have never turned up - even to view the situation - so it's unsurprising that culprits know they will continue to get away with it.</p> <p>If, as seems likely, the Police claim they can't afford the resources perhaps they should employ more officers and spend less on their fleet of BMWs!</p> <p>Further to my email of yesterday I should very much like to add comments which, in my haste, I neglected to mention. Many examples of bad parking in Saxmundham (and, one imagines,</p>

							everywhere else) are the responsibility of Blue-Badge users - many of which, quite frankly, are no more disabled than I am. When I was young 'disabled' meant what it said - that the person concerned was not able to walk without assistance (crutches, walking sticks, wheelchairs, etc.) yet, nowadays, it seems that almost any minor problem is used as an excuse to attain free and easy parking - this on a daily basis - hence my belief that the Blue Badge system is one of the greater scams of our current age, and something which really does need more control than it ever gets. If someone is genuinely disabled then it is entirely fair that he or she should benefit from some concession or another, but it should still not be such a person's right to park selfishly and inconsiderately as is so often the case.
110	Member of the public	6/12/17	Email	WDC	Southwold		I wish to protest strongly against your proposal to charge for your car parking spaces in Southwold. To do so will effectively make Southwold too expensive for the average person who has to travel to work (that is most of the working population who can no longer afford to live in the town and will also make the town too expensive for visitors who are now its life blood. Planning research that parking charges can be the first step in the decline of a community and its shops; please don't let this happen in Southwold
111	Member of the public	6/12/17	Email	WDC	Southwold		I have read the proposed plans to introduce charges to car parks in Southwold as from April next year. As an employee of a large company predominantly based in the town, I am concerned that it would cost approx £120 for car parking per month when most wages only just meet the national minimum wage, also as shift times differ it would be hard to car share to cut costs and use public transport. I also think that it may deter regular and new tourists who come to the area. It would be a shame for such a lovely historic seaside town to lose business over something that didn't need to be changed.
112	Member of the public	7/12/17	Email	SCDC	Woodbridge		<p>I sympathise with the unplanned and unexpected increase in house building, however given the really strain put upon parking BOLD decisions should be taken.</p> <p>1 RESIDENT parking is essential if you want anyone to live in Woodbridge, it is almost impossible to park in the street in which you live, acrimonious spats often occur between town employees and residents!</p> <p>2 What about pedestrianising the centre of the town, making the Secretary of State pay for the purchasing of out of town park and ride centres, the house builders should be forced to pay as well (I am sure the recent BLOOR donation to the Tory party could be used?)</p> <p>3 The increase of tourism will be instant and prolonged, (see BORDEAUX in France a complete transformation!)</p> <p>I think the message should be if you want to develop you SHOULD PAY best of luck, I hope to see rapid and firm planning</p>
113	Member of the public	7/12/17	Email	WDC	Southwold		I have recently heard the news of the proposed daily £6 charge for some of the car parks in Southwold. As an employee who works in Southwold I am disgusted that you are expecting employees to pay this £6 daily charge. There is already a shortage of places for employees to park all day, particularly in the summer time and this charge will

						<p>only add to the nightmare of finding a parking space. The bus service is not frequent enough for me to think of this as an option and as someone who works full time 5 days a week I couldn't possibly take £120 out of my current minimum wage to pay for parking. It would mean £1440 a year! That's absurd!</p> <p>I am incredibly upset that just a few months ago you sent out a questionnaire asking us employees how we come to work and where we park. I thought this may be a hint that you perhaps had us Southwold employees in mind and were considering how you could make coming to work in Southwold and parking better for us. How wrong I was!! I now see this was just to ask us where we park so that you can start charging us for it! I am fuming!</p> <p>I seriously hope that you rethink these proposed charges as if this goes ahead I would seriously consider finding another job elsewhere as I cannot possibly take the cut on my wages. I am not the only employee that would consider this.</p> <p>Please seriously consider the impact these charges will have on all the staff of Southwold and the domino effect it would then have on the high street. If there is little staff who can afford to work here, the high street will suffer and more shops will close. Don't destroy the high street out of greed!</p>
114	Member of the public	7/12/17	Email	Both	All	<p>I'm afraid I read the proposed changes with a high degree of scepticism and alarm. Is this really a consultation exercise? It seems that the changes are going ahead and we have been invited to comment? How does this work? What weight does anything we say really have?</p> <p>My view is that punitive changes that increase the cost of using a motor car in this region are seriously unfair: a callous and unjust imposition, legalised highway robbery. In short, reprehensible!</p> <p>With no alternative way of getting around because bus and train services are so appalling (not enough, unreliable, too expensive, etc.), people in this area are forced to use cars. To penalise them at every turn, extend yellow lines and exact more money for parking near shops etc. is utterly inappropriate. Most people don't choose to park on double yellow lines, they just don't have any alternative, particularly if they have mobility problems (I once got fined for taking a desperate elderly neighbour to the loo in a shop in Lowestoft - all the disabled parking bays were full so I parked in a loading bay - I was penalised for not letting her mess herself, for helping her to get to the loo, for being neighbourly - outrageous).</p> <p>More effort needs to be made to reduce congestion, for example, by building more car parks and more park and ride facilities, by making public transport more readily available and more affordable, by putting footbridges, subways or controlled crossings on dangerous roads, by making more flexible community disability-friendly bus/minibus services available.</p> <p>More thought also needs to be given to how to maximise the use of car parks: the one hour free</p>

						<p>parking is a really good practice, helping local traders as well as ensuring a high level of usage. Maybe there could be other incentive schemes to encourage people to visit shops on less busy days (though research would be needed to explore this option).</p> <p>Just getting to hospitals for appointments is a nightmare. The hospital car parks are expensive, over-used and too often the site of accidents. We need readily available affordable taxi services so that the thousands (perhaps millions) of people who need to attend hospitals regularly can do so safely. At the very least, hospitals need more car parking spaces. But that's not the best alternative. Why are there so few taxi services available in rural areas? If people didn't have to drive, they wouldn't.</p> <p>I know a lot of these options are expensive but they are also essential. It really won't do to keep seeing motorists as cash cows and nothing else. It's immoral and doesn't solve anything, just creates more stress and puts more pressure on road-users.</p> <p>Congestion zones are definitely not the answer. That was just an extremely short-sighted attempt to raise a quick buck. Outside the congestion zone, London is even more polluted and congested than ever. Inside the congestion zone, it's very dangerous to ride Boris' bikes and most people don't want to anyway. Park and ride schemes are much more environmentally friendly, practical and, particularly if fares are properly regulated, far more safe and all-round economical. They also permit more effective use of pedestrian or vehicle-free zones.</p> <p>However short of money local governments have now become under the Conservative regime and however much the Conservatives are determined to pursue a mission to run down all public services, this drive to drive drivers mad with ever-increasing costs and penalties for road use is not right, not on any level or from any perspective. Increased involvement from already stretched community police services is just going to make matters worse. The biggest challenge is to change the punitive mindset and to look for ways of enabling more community-friendly travel.</p>
115	Blythburgh Parish Council	7/12/17	Email	SCDC	Darsham	<p>Blythburgh Parish Council have considered the proposals and while supporting the implementation of Civil Parking Enforcement (CPE) there were concerns expressed about the planned changes at Darsham.</p> <p>Blythburgh Parish Council support the introduction of the West side car park but are concerned about the introduction of charges on the grounds of lack of public transport links to Darsham Station.</p> <p>The lack of public transport servicing Darsham railway station from surrounding areas of population unfortunately leaves people with few alternatives to driving to the railway station or taking a taxi to the station. It was felt the lack of public transport options to Darsham station should be addressed.</p>
116	Member of the public	7/12/17	Email	Both	On-street	<p>The main problem as far as I am concerned is the number of cars parked in</p>

							<p>narrow residential roads - even when the premises have car parking space in front or alongside.</p> <p>I would like there to be a ruling that when such space is available, it MUST be used for parking.</p> <p>But I cannot see how such a regulation could be enforced.</p>
117	Member of the public	7/12/17	Email	SCDC	Woodbridge		<p>I was unaware of your initial consultation period. We received our copy of Coastline on 6th Dec, and the deadline is 8th so I do not feel that it has been well publicised.</p> <p>Whilst I understand the need to increase the charges, if the Civil Parking restrictions are properly enforced I do not believe your shortfall will be as great as predicted. There is a desperate shortage of parking in Woodbridge, and it is deterring both visitors and shoppers. This will be exacerbated when the old Council offices are developed as their planned provision for parking is lamentable. No planning permission should be granted if there is no adequate provision for parking in place. The Thoroughfare Working group have been looking at traffic and parking in Thoroughfare, and I urge you to consider their recommendations. If the new proposals by the Thoroughfare Working group for the Thoroughfare are carried through, evening and overnight parking will only be allowed with a residents permit(issued to those with no parking provision). This should be enforced out of hours. More designated parking for blue badge holders in the centre car parks is vital, and a full investigation into the feasibility of 'Free after 3' schemes should be conducted as is in place in similar towns.</p> <p>The comment in the first consultation re park and ride, is an obvious answer. A bus service has been in place since July but it is so badly advertised and scheduled that no one is aware of the service.</p>
118	The Landguard Partnership	7/12/17	Email	SCDC	Felixstowe	Landguard	<p>With regard to SCDC's Car Parking consultation please note the following comments regarding the income generated from the introduction of car park charging at Landguard:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. In February this year as part of their application to vary the S106 (FSR) it was in mitigation due to delays in providing permanent and enhanced facilities at Landguard (permanent visitor centre and café, new car park etc.) that the Port dropped its objections to car park charging at Landguard. This mitigation measure in the S106 variation (2017) was on the basis that income derived from car park charging would be for the benefit of Landguard. This was reiterated by the Port's representative at the LP meeting in May; that their view is that income derived from the Landguard car parks should be for the benefit of Landguard. 2. To move forward the LP and certain members (i.e. the three volunteer (charity) groups, English Heritage Trust and SCDC) need to look at creating a sustainable governance structure for Landguard. Critical to this will be identifying long term income streams to provide robust management and money for the enhancement, maintenance and conservation of this unique site, much of which is both a Site of Special Scientific Interest and Scheduled Ancient

						<p>Monument. It is the assets at Landguard that attract the large numbers of people there, and those visitors have an impact on what is a fragile environment that needs careful management. Restricting long term, sustainable income streams will prevent Landguard realising its potential.</p> <p>3. Two surveys and visitor feedback have shown there is opposition from the public to Car Parking charges at Landguard. However opposition is significantly reduced if the public are told that the revenue will be for the benefit of the site.</p> <p>4. The three volunteer organisations that manage the site with assistance from the LP, and the café operator (who potentially may see a reduction in income due the introduction of charging) have not objected to car park charging because of the belief that the revenue will be spent on Landguard to provide an improved site with better facilities for visitors and volunteers alike.</p> <p>5. The Landguard Partnership exists due to the goodwill and cooperation of its members, including the Port of Felixstowe. Since it's creation in 2008 It has been accepted by all the LP members that SCDC has always acted in the best interests of Landguard, hence its position as lead partner. It is hoped that this relationship will continue.</p>
119	Aldeburgh Town Council	7/12/17	Email	SCDC	Aldeburgh	<p>The introduction of parking charges to areas in Aldeburgh should be undertaken with the engagement of a number of stakeholder groups including, but not exclusively ATC, ABA and AYC. It is recommended that proposals should take a holistic approach, which also explore the impact of displacement activity and look at longer-term solutions, rather than concentrate purely on revenue generation.</p> <p>Aldeburgh's Town Plan, produced in 2015, sets out a number of proposed actions in relation to parking, based on the views of those who live, work and visit the town. The report is attached and parking is detailed in pages 20/21. Similarly, in recent response to the Local Plan consultation, ATC identifies the use of land within a flood zone for seasonal parking as part of a potential mixed use development.</p> <p>Seasonality was considered in ATC's original submission and it is worth reiterating; 'We would suggest that the charging process would be in line with that at Sizewell – a short period of free parking indicated by the display of a ticket, followed by charging in increments of 2 hours, 4 hours or if longer up until 6pm (or when decided). Possible seasonal variations in line with dog activity on the beach - May to October, with no charge November - April. No overnight stays by RVs or similar, cars may be parked overnight. Aldeburgh Yacht Club to be encouraged to participate in rolling this out, together with</p>

						<p>detering members and the Public from exceeding the speed limit; it is understood that a portion of the road (probably past the area currently under discussion) is owned and maintained by the AYC.’</p> <p>Aldeburgh Town Council's response to this consultation is based on the assumption that SCDC will provide sufficient resources to enforce parking charges. There seems little point in introducing new measures to control parking - particularly during busy weekends and summer months - if the District Council cannot guarantee sufficient human resources to cope with the task.</p> <p>From the detailed analysis of current and proposed car park charges provided by SCDC, the District Council clearly anticipates a significant income from car park charges - but missing from the schedule is the cost of enforcement personnel. Before the full impact of these proposals can be assessed, ATC needs to understand if the town will have dedicated enforcement officers monitoring our streets and car parks. Without that commitment by the District Council, ATC fails to see how the proposals can work effectively. Assuming that Aldeburgh will have dedicated enforcement officers, the Town Council would like to make the following observations:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none">• Slaughden Road: These proposals are vague. The Town Council notes that SCDC now want to charge for an area which is currently free. Presumably, the road will be resurfaced and parking bays properly marked out before charges are introduced. This area is currently used by staff working in the town. Will special discounts be introduced for employees of businesses in the town? ATC is also concerned about the displacement effect of charging cars in this area. Motorists will simply find somewhere else to park, potentially causing disruption in and around the town.• Slaughden sea wall: if car park charges are introduced on Slaughden Road, more cars will park for free on the sea wall, a vital part of the town’s flood defences, which must be preserved. As part of this consultation process, ATC believes the sea wall should be surveyed to assess the long-term impact of vehicular activity.• Fort Green: the area currently used by buses, refuse collection vehicles
--	--	--	--	--	--	--

						<p>and HGVs should be retained.</p> <p>It should be noted that for all three of these sites, motorists have to negotiate the High Street and adjacent roads first to get there – passing several on-street areas which are free to park.</p> <p>ATC has no observations to make about the scale of charges.</p>
120	Member of the public	7/12/17	Email	SCDC	All	<p>I am writing to strongly object to yet another increase in parking charges and the imposition of charges where parking was free i.e. Landguard Fort/Bawdsey Quay.</p> <p>I also stongly object to SCDC/WDC taking over the free Railway Station carpark at Darsham and imposing charges. The Station carpark at Campsea Ashe I note, at the moment is free, I hope this will continue.</p> <p>As a long term Woodbridge resident, I am well aware what happened to the Woodbridge Station car park which was conveniently "absorbed" by SCDC leaving nowhere for specific rail users to park, and nowhere really for anyone to stop to collect tickets, or passengers, since the drop-off area was given over to Taxis only, theroretically one can park for a short time where the bus/coach shelter is but if a PSV is parked there, due to the over zealous, bordering on aggressive, existing car parking enforcement, it causes a problem to any driver trying to park for a short time.</p> <p>The grand scheme behind this, appears to be to rake in extra funds for yet more new enforcement officers for CPE. I would like to know why this cannot be paid for out of the huge savings that are regularly announced [last figure £16million] since the formation of the SCDC/WDC parnership, and subsequent huge reduction in staffing levels.</p> <p>In addition, we have the savings available, reputedly, from the imposition of the street lighting switch-off after midnight (the burglars gift) coupled to that we also have the undisclosed sum that the old SCDC HQ was sold for, all we council tax payers have been told is "it paid for the new SCDC HQ, and has funds left over". Therefore, where is this surplus? It as far as I am concerned can also be used for</p>

						<p>the new CPE officers.</p> <p>I hope that when these "persons" are employed, then you will chose staff that are fair and reasonable and not just "jobsworth" types, I have witnessed the current parking "officers" trawling around the Station Road carpark in Woodbridge, before 08:00, making notes, ready to swoop and issue tickets to any unfortunate soul who had parked earlier in the morning or the night before.</p> <p>p.s. The drawing provided for Woodbridge, "The Avenue", car park extension, fails totally to define the existing carpark boundaries.</p>
121	Member of the public	7/12/17	Email	All	All	<p>My view on car parking in this area is that free or low cost parking in town centres is beneficial in encouraging shopping and reducing nuisance parking in side streets.</p>
122	Member of the public	7/12/17	Email	SCDC	Felixstowe	<p>i would like to give my comments on the parking in Felixstowe. Firstly i do not think it will be advisable to wait until 2019 for change over from Police to Council operatives. The opinion of many here is that you can park where you feel like, and this includes taxi drivers who seem to drop passengers off on corners blocking traffic or on pedestrian crossings. The other problem is that no blue badge drivers use disabled slots to save on car park fees. This is in relation to town centre area.</p> <p>Next is the parking around residential areas. The highway code states that parking on pathway or verge is not permitted unless sign showing it is permitted. Parking on pavements etc can cause damage to underground pipes. Who then should be liable for repairs? Not the house owners but the car owners.</p> <p>one road in felixstowe has cars parking on both sides half on road and half on pavement or parked on grass area etc which makes it driving as in a slalom.</p> <p>The next is the parking outside schools. The worst is the pre school in Wadgate road as they park as above and on corners. This type of parking needs to be sorted out</p>
123	Member of the public	7/12/17	Email	SCDC	Woodbridge	<p>I know of several people who live outside Woodbridge who have, on occasions, not been able to park in Woodbridge itself and have had to go back home. Also, most visitors to the town will arrive by car and if they cannot find a place to park they will simply leave without spending either time or money in the local area. One solution has been missed: turning the old council office site into a car park, and by charging reasonable fees, it would pay</p>

						<p>for itself in time and provide an income for the town. This site is very close to the town centre and would have been ideal. It is a fact that car transport is so much more convenient than public transport and if you already own a vehicle then it does not make economic sense to persevere with public transport. That said, park and ride does make sense.</p> <p>Planners have not helped the situation. I reside in an apartment specifically for the over 55s and the developers were not allowed to provide a car parking space for every apartment, causing some residents to try and park outside on the road in an area of limited parking: a crazy idea.</p> <p>So please look at providing adequate parking for not only residents but for visitors as well.</p> <p>Woodbridge is also subject to a house building programme (Woods Lane to name one) that is going to increase traffic considerably but have failed to provide the commensurate parking facilities in or very close to the town centre.</p> <p>I feel that these issues need to be given a greater priority now, let alone for future developments.</p>
124	Member of the public	7/12/17	Email	SCDC	Felixstowe	<p>Eastward Ho car park: There are fewer and fewer open spaces in Felixstowe where families of all sorts, with and without dogs, can enjoy fresh air and the countryside. Lots of people go there two or three times a day to walk dogs. Many of them are elderly, many of them are families with young children. They are all possibly on a tight budget, not all of them will live within walking distance of the Grove and Eastward Ho and they will now be expected to pay money to park their cars in order to get some fresh air and exercise. My suspicion is that they will not pay to park and will instead park on Beatrice Avenue, Links Avenue, Colneis Road and the road running from the top of Beatrice Avenue to the Grove itself. I suspect the medical centre will have to police its car park too as it will be the obvious place to park.</p> <p>Landguard car park: I have exactly the same concerns. Manor Terrace is a nightmare to drive along - it will get even worse as people park on the road in order to enjoy Landguard Common and the beach. So much is said these days about children not getting enough exercise and fresh air because they are playing on devices all the time and not getting out and instead of encouraging families to get out and about it seems that they are to be discouraged.</p>

						<p>Viewpoint car park: A huge amount of elderly people visit this car park on a regular basis and eat at the Viewpoint Cafe. I'm sure that charging for the car park will have an impact on the Viewpoint Cafe itself as well as the ferry. What about Landguard Fort? They are doing wonderful job there, providing all sorts of entertainment for all sorts of people. I have no problem paying for the family to visit the fort but I wouldn't want to pay for the car park as well.</p> <p>In general: I have no problem paying for town centre car parks - if I am shopping I expect to pay to park my car. However, I wouldn't pay to dash into M&S for ten minutes. Why do away with the free spaces in that car park and the other town centre car parks? I think people will park all over the place to avoid paying to shop for a few minutes - me included! The retailers in our town are having a difficult time as it is - why make it more difficult for them?</p> <p>I have every sympathy with the residents who live along the sea front and the roads adjacent. Surely this will make things more difficult for them if people refuse to pay for car parking? I know there is talk about parking permits - will they be expected to pay for these? If they will it seems as though the council will be doubly remunerated - by the visitors AND by the residents.</p> <p>If I thought the income from car parking would actually go towards something useful like having a real-life policeman in our brand new unmanned police station then I might be a bit more sympathetic but I fear that the money will disappear into some nameless council coffer. We will never know where it has been spent and the likelihood is that it won't improve Felixstowe one jot.</p> <p>[Response sent directing respondent to current proposals etc.]</p>
125	Member of the public	7/12/17	Email	WDC	Southwold	<p>With reference to proposed parking changes in Southwold- Many people who work in Southwold earn minimum wage. £6 a day is a lot out of that if they need to pay to park. How do you propose to make parking charges fairer for local workers if these rises go ahead?</p>

						<p>If these charges are introduced, will the extra revenue be used to help in the town, for example, to provide extra cleaning of public facilities in the summer season, or repairing the terrible potholes in our roads?</p> <p>I notice that notices, which included mention of charges being introduced on land that belongs to SOUTHWOLD, not any other council, have been removed and seek assurance that this will not be attempted.</p> <p>I object to the blanket raising of charges unless it can be proven to benefit the town and unless provision can be made to help local workers have a fairer charge.</p> <p>Our town with its decreasing number of independent businesses and ever increasing rates and rents is struggling to survive and these increases will not be helpful.</p>
126	Suffolk Constabulary	8/12/17	Emailed letter	Both	All	<p>I am writing on behalf of Suffolk Constabulary in relation to the email received on 18th November 2017 concerning Suffolk Coastal and Waveney District Council's consultation on variations to the off-street parking places orders.</p> <p>In relation to the feedback, there are no objections from a policing perspective and the following commentary is offered with respect to the proposed changes to the car parks specifically at Darsham –</p> <p>It is possible that drivers that currently use the car parks look for somewhere free to park, instead of paying. The large load laybys on the A12 either side of the level crossing may require more initial enforcement and the vegetation cut back so the signs are fully visible. The double yellow lines at the entrance to the southbound car park (small layby) might need enforcement. Overall, it is noted that nothing will change at these locations as drivers can't park there now.</p> <p>The only other location that might need consideration of parking restrictions (total prohibition or timed) and would probably require Suffolk County Council to consult with residents, is outside the row of houses just past the tile shop (on the left heading south) and the access road to the houses. It is possible that drivers might try and use these locations rather than pay on a daily basis.</p>

							However, until Civil Parking Enforcement is fully in place in Suffolk, illegal on-street parking enforcement by Suffolk Constabulary will continue to be a lower operational priority based on assessment of threat, risk and harm when deploying resources.
127	Member of the public	8/12/17	Email	WDC	Beccles		<p>With regards to the Waveney District Council (Off-Street Parking Places) (Variation) Order 2018 I am writing to object to the proposal of the 2 hour maximum Short Stay parking restrictions being added to the Order for a limited number spaces at Kilbrack free car park, Beccles.</p> <p>I am one of the residents using the car park mentioned in your reasons given for its addition to the Order. I would like to point out from the outset that it is not only residents who use the car park during the day but also people who work in Beccles who have to commute and have limited options available with regards to free parking in the town itself. Note staff at the dentist's surgery on Kilbrack who requested something is done with regards to parking at this car park, also use this car park whilst at work.</p> <p>If the proposal goes ahead then this will reduce the number of spaces available for residents/commuters which will put more cars on the nearby streets. There are limited options nearby for doing so given the double yellow lines on Blyburgate with people likely to have to park on the single yellow lined area sections of Peddars Lane, which are restricted on Fridays (I assume because it is market day though I'm not sure these days how much additional traffic there is on a Friday compared to other days). With cars parked on the single yellow lined sections of Peddars Lane, this will effectively make the road wide enough for vehicles to only pass in one direction at a time, which given that there are traffic lights at each end of Peddars Lane, and in particular the notorious Blyburgate/Peddars Lane junction, this will cause additional congestion. An impact of this additional congestion/the potential traffic jams on Peddars Lane is the road safety issue given that there is a pedestrian entrance to the Albert Pye school on this road.</p> <p>I understand from the reasons provided that the car park had been included on the Order because visitors to the dentist's surgery struggle to find a space. Could works be carried out to remove the hedge outside the surgery and have 2-3 parking spaces diagonally on directly outside the building? As already stated a number of staff at the dentist also use the car park (I have seen at least three people leave at closing time who all have their own car in the car park) - if they use a number of the unrestricted spaces and patients use the restricted spaces then you may have the situation where you have effectively given over half of the car park to those inside the surgery as you have not defined what "limited" means with regards to the number of spaces that are to be restricted.</p> <p>If restrictions are still to be implemented, then as it was the dentist who was the party that raised the issue in the first place, then any restrictions should be based around the dentist's opening hours, which are currently Monday to Friday 8:30 to 17:00/17:30 and not at weekends.</p>
128	Member of the	8/12/17	Email	WDC	Beccles		I write to object to the

	public						<p>Proposed car parking charges in Beccles.</p> <p>To bring in parking charges will not benefit the town. All that will happen is drivers will scour local residential roads and use these as a free alternative. This will be to the detriment of residents who live within walking distance of the town centre and motorists themselves as the roads become more clogged up with parked vehicles.</p> <p>The Roy's car park is under utilised and the reason is the charges. It is in an ideal town centre location and if this could be offered as free parking, both Roy's and local businesses will benefit.</p> <p>Tesco car park is always near full, the simple reason is it is free. Roy's is just as close to town and should be brought into use by incentivising drivers with free parking.</p> <p>Many of the WDC free car parks are full and used by workers in the town, many of whom earn low wages. These people will not pay to park and who can blame them when they are a few minutes walk from free parking in residential roads exists.</p>
129	Member of the public	8/12/17	Email	WDC	Beccles		<p>The proposed off-street parking places order (variation) order 2018 needs to be varied to identify that:</p> <p>a) residents without offstreet parking should be entitled to car parking places on the Ravensmere Car Park</p> <p>I would also suggest that as part of any planning permission granted to Aldi in the nearby location that a condition should be included allowing free parking on its car park between the hours of 18.00 and 8.00 for residents in the vicinity.</p> <p>I am still waiting to hear from the Council about resolution of the ravensmere issue.</p>
130	Member of the public	8/12/17	Email	WDC	Beccles	North Quay	<p>In addition to the ethical objection on principle to car parking being a source of income, rather than the mere covering of a cost, I am occasional user of this car park. Although living locally, I sometimes stop at that end of the town to walk around the Quay area, on my way after returning to Beccles from a journey. It is nice to be able to pull in to the quay area free from the worry of having to pay or of overstaying a time limit.</p> <p>It has always been a source of pride in fairness and justice that there should be at least one completely free of charge car park in the town with reasonable capacity, one not blighted by the scourge of car parking charges.</p> <p>Given that this car park is full of potholes and not properly surfaced, to charge for it is unreasonable at best and, arguably, dishonest at worst.</p> <p>These "pay on entry" car parks at tourist destinations are also unfair as it forces people to have to estimate how long they will be there and potentially overpay as, for example, when I visit</p>

						<p>Southwold now and then. At the very least these could be pay-on-exit parks with ANPR, similar to those used by the private company at Whitlingham Country Park in Norwich, so that one only pays for what one uses.</p> <p>In general, we have seen over the last few years, creeping capitalism, for local government services; for example, the introduction of fees for green bins (I do not have one myself, this is just an example). Micro-chosen payments for each little thing as the government seeks to claw back any money it can find, compared to an approach of general "taxation".</p> <p>As a citizen of the Town and District, I would remind you that Democracy should mean that the government does not introduce things that the people have no veto over (n.b., I said "veto" not merely "opportunity to consult which can be overridden by government decision makers, whether local or otherwise").</p> <p>Please keep the pleasant, informal, friendly character of the North Quay area unchanged and do NOT include North Quay in the Order.</p>	
131	NHS Great Yarmouth and Waveney Clinical Commissioning Group	8/12/17	Email	WDC	Beccles	North Quay	<p>I wanted to comment to Waveney District Council as part of your consultation on potential parking charges at the Quay in Beccles. This is a car park which is frequently used by NHS Great Yarmouth and Waveney CCG staff, and by staff from Suffolk County Council and North East London Commissioning Support Unit who provide IT support for many NHS partners including all the GP practices in Waveney. Both also use Beccles house for their office accommodation.</p> <p>On behalf of the CCG and the other employing organisations in the building, key public sector employers in the Waveney area and beyond, I wanted to make a number of points as follows:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> · We have limited parking on site at Beccles House. On a daily basis, it's not sufficient for our parking needs, and both staff and visitors regularly use the Quay car park. Our staff currently have free parking both at Beccles House and at the Quay. We don't have many other parking choices as there are not a lot of parking spaces around us. This will become more difficult when the new supermarket opens opposite Beccles House. · Beccles is not a central location, therefore, public transport for staff is not always a feasible option we also attend meetings across the patch and need our cars to do so. · If a pay and display option is introduced, there will be several issues for our staff: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> o Staff might not find a parking space available o Staff might have to pay twice or three times if they have to go on multiple visits/meetings outside the building in one day o The cost would be out of proportion in comparison with other employee parking charges in Norfolk and Waveney i.e. £6*20=£120 monthly vs £30-50 monthly <p>We would be keen to work with Waveney District Council to find a solution to this problem. As a medium size employer in the town we contribute to the local economy. All of our 100 plus</p>

							<p>employees regularly visit the town for lunch or to shop in the local stores.</p> <p>We would be keen once the consultation closes if we could discuss with you the options for our staff in continuing to use the car park if parking fees are due to be introduced by WDC.</p>
132	Martlesham Parish Council	8/12/17	Email	SCDC	Martlesham	On-street	<p>Martlesham Parish Council wishes to highlight that there is a problem with a lack of parking in the Martlesham Retail/Business area which can lead to unsociable on-road parking creating problems for traffic & non-vehicular circulation, in particular in the area of Beardmore Park & Martinsyde. This is exacerbated by the fact that employees of some of the retail outlets are not allowed to park in their own car parks. However, the Council has concerns that if SCDC was minded to introduce parking restrictions on the roads in those areas then it is likely only to shift the problem elsewhere. We are already seeing employees parking in the car park by the Martlesham Surgery in The Square and then walking over the footbridge to work. Therefore a holistic approach is needed.</p>
133	Member of the public	8/12/17	Email	WDC	Southwold		<p>It had come to my attention that you are going to turn the free parking near the common to pay and display to £6 a day,</p> <p>I'm on minimum wage and it would cost a quarter of my wages to park there,</p> <p>Is there no way if you're working for adnams or Work in southwold that you get a permit or anything as it is a big jump and will be working just to park my car,. Firstly, I understand some charges have to be made.</p> <p>1) Are workers supposed to pay this amount?, weekly £30, £120 monthly and so it escalates. For most folk they are on minimum wage, like myself. It would take a huge chunk of our wages.</p> <p>2) Surely employees/workers should get a permit?, without workers businesses cannot run, and in turn visitors won't get served.</p> <p>3) Years ago when Simon Loftus was Chairman of Adnams brewery. It was talked about to do a park and ride where the houses are now built at the old St Georges school site. I would lay money most of these dwellings are holiday homes.....heinsight is a wonderful thing!</p> <p>4) Are councils and the powers that be, trying to austrasise locals workers and folks trying to make a living.</p> <p>5) Why not open the car park properly opposite the entrance to North Road. Opening this would help the parking issues a lot, again workers to have a permit, like I'm guessing people whom have homes will get?</p> <p>Very unhappy.</p>
134	Member of the public	8/12/17	Email	IBC	Ipswich		<p>Just a point perhaps of note but pertinent to parking specifically in Ipswich town.</p> <p>Personally we try to avoid parking in the town and therefore think very hard before going as the</p>

						<p>general parking fee seems much too high. We feel there should be a reduction in the cost and/or the length of time allowed per cost to bring people in to spend. We know a lot of people prefer to go to Colchester or Bury St. Edmunds as the same outlets are there, it's easier to park and the fees are much more reasonable; infact free or much reduced after working hours. Perhaps Ipswich needs to look to the competition and follow suit.</p>
135	Member of the public	8/12/17	Email	WDC	Lowestoft	<p>Follow-on from response no.73.</p> <p>For the record, I endorse and fully support my wife's points about adequate lighting, or lack of it, in municipal car parks. The car park at Clapham Road South, across the road from Lowestoft Central Library.</p> <p>I also think it was a pity that the Battery Green Road multi-storey was closed, as I parked there regularly. I have subsequently been able to find free on-street parking a little further north and west, but the walk to the town centre is longer. I'd be interested to know of any plans to provide additional pay-and-display parking to compensate for Battery Green Road. Is the new surface car park at Newcombe Road designed to do this?</p>
136	Deben Ward Councillor	8/12/17	Email	SCDC	Bawdsey	<p>Although in a rural, coastal location this car park should be evaluated in the context of sustaining and furthering the visitor economy of the Deben Peninsula. While recognising that charging may be necessary at some point pay and display tariffs applied purely on the basis of alignment with SCDC's wider policy, that will deliver nothing for the local area. In assessing the recreational and economic value of the car park to the local communities and wider Deben Peninsula it is necessary to look at the wider context :</p> <p>There are three parking areas at Bawdsey Quay –</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. The Quay - part of the Bawdsey Manor estate and a Byway Open to All Traffic. Historically SCC has marked out some parking bays here but during the holiday season any available space is taken over for informal parking, often resulting in serious congestion. The school bus must turn round here and the new owners of Bawdsey Manor are concerned that safe access to their site for emergency services may be compromised. This is a favoured overnight stopping place for campervans. 2. The roadside verge along the river frontage is heavily eroded and not always wide enough to allow cars to get off the carriageway but this is a preferred parking area which provides uninterrupted views across the river. During the holiday season cars are parked as tightly as possible – sometimes both on the verge and along the side of the road – forcing pedestrians to walk down the middle of the road. 3. The Bawdsey Picnic site is set among trees and with no view of the river. Parking spaces have become overgrown. Visitors (and local residents) prefer to park along the road or on Bawdsey Quay. A local parking survey discovered that many people found the car park gloomy and felt parking there was 'not safe'. Mothers with children often avoided going into the car park if they were on their own. <p>However the site is an important area environmentally – SWT have worked with the community to install a series of bird and bat boxes, recently trees have been cleared in order to allow light to</p>

						<p>reach an historic pond and provide a more open aspect to a picnic area. Despite the pressures on parking the area is becoming increasingly popular with many visitors commenting on the 'unspoilt charm' of the hamlet and surrounding estuary landscape. And other initiatives are affecting the future of the area :</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • The foot ferry now runs throughout the year, offering a 'dial-a-ride' service through the winter. • The Bawdsey Radar Museum has been refurbished and is already drawing more visitors to the area. • Restoration of a listed Tin Chapel, presently part of the Bawdsey Manor Estate but adjacent to the car park site, is being considered. Opportunities to see this used as a Heritage Centre are being explored. • The Deben Coastal Community Team is engaged in promoting opportunities to extend the local visitor economy. • The Deben Estuary Partnership is in looking at how signage can provide information about the Estuary. • A national cycle route and the Coast Path will bring more visitors. • In this wider context dealing with the Bawdsey Picnic Site in isolation would be most unhelpful. <p>The results of a series of local meetings in 2010 / 11 and further discussions in 2015/16 looking at better visitor management and parking arrangements concluded that :</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Parking along the roadside verge must be better managed – by repairing the eroded verge, installing wooden posts (cf Felixstowe Ferry) to prevent parking along some sections of the verge and creating 3 parking bays. • Road signage should encourage visitors into the car park and away from the quay. • At the same time as limiting parking along the road the carpark should see modest, environmentally friendly improvement – more parking bays and a more open aspect - only at this point would pay and display tariffs seem appropriate. <p>The proposals for improved parking outside the carpark have been worked on in conjunction with the County Highways Department and shared with the owners of Bawdsey Manor. The next step is to put partnership funding in place.</p>
137	Member of the public	8/12/17	Email	WDC	Oulton Broad	<p>Waveney District Council (Offstreet Parking) (Variation) Order 2018</p> <p>I would like to express an objection to the Variation no. 9 as registered on the above Order posted outside Nicolas Everitt Park.</p> <p>The Order does not appear to state the consequence(s) of such an action, nor does the Waveney District Council Planning website. It is possible that the incorporation of Everitt Road into the 'Order for Offstreet restricted parking' may have a negative impact on our ability to</p>

						<p>park in close proximity to our house.</p> <p>An understanding of the implications of such an Order would be appreciated.</p> <p>I would suggest that an additional Order could have been posted at the entrance to Everitt Road for better visibility by residents closest to this road.</p> <p>The Waveney District Council website does ask for local resident input to the issues surrounding parking / traffic issues on Bridge Road.</p> <p>Major issues:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Car parking on the cycle lane including Long term / overnight parking • Cars entering Bridge Road from the South in contravention of the One way System.
138	Member of the public	8/12/17	Email	SCDC	Felixstowe	<p>With regard to parking fees down at Landguard and Viewpoint. Plus the parking near the caravan park by beach.</p> <p>I understand a figure of £4.00 a day is being bantered around. In my humble opinion I feel this is excessive and should be limited to £1.50 unless of course you want to deter visitors to the area. Plus residents up in arms because they can't park outside their own property, or their driveways are blocked.</p> <p>I understand funds have to be raised as parking issues and fines are no longer the responsibility of the police but feel such parking charges proposed are not the way forward in encouraging Felixstowe being the place to visit.</p>
139	Member of the public	8/12/17	Email	WDC	Beccles	<p>The Quay</p> <p>I have recently been informed of your intentions to add parking charges to the currently free parking area off Fen Lane at The Quay and would like to state opposition to this.</p> <p>The Quay car park being free of charge brings in lots of tourism to the area in the summer months with people flocking in and the carpark often being completely full at these times. This tourism brings in lots of money to local business around the town as people explore the historic town and our very special part of the broads.</p> <p>By putting charges in place, you will put people off coming to the area and thus lose business in the area money. You may attempt to counter this by saying that the parking charges will make money for the council however this never makes its way back down to the small businesses that inhabit the town.</p> <p>Furthermore, this car park serves as additional parking to the businesses in the area including</p>

						<p>Travis Perkins staff and staff of the NHS CCG. With the current money conditions within the NHS which populate the media I feel it would be disgusting that you would attempt to take even more money away from those members of staff, who are already being extorted by the government, in the name of making a bit more money out of car parking.</p> <p>I implore you to scrap these plans that will simply reduce the number of people who will come and visit the town and will also force even more hardship on those who work in the area and already feel the stress of the lack payrises in rate with inflation and the cost of living both in the private and public sector.</p>
140	Bawdsey Parish Council	8/12/17	Email	SCDC	Bawdsey	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • We note that no work or improvements have been carried out at this site for some years. The area itself is overgrown and when compared with the recently updated parking site at Melton Crossing presents itself as rather derelict. With a minimum of effort, it could be tidied up, creating more car parking spaces and a more welcoming aspect. • The council are not against parking charges per se. However Bawdsey residents should have a permit to park freely and disabled visitors should not have to pay. A small level of charges would be acceptable if they reflect the state of the car park. • SCDC should be aware that there could be considerable pressure on this car park if parking restrictions are introduced on the lower stretch of Ferry Road leading to Bawdsey Quay, measures which the Parish Council and PGL see as necessary over the next few years. Increased housing in the area is going to mean more people driving down to the Quay. The new coastal path currently in development and the all-year-round ferry will also have an impact on numbers. Furthermore, visitors to the new radar museum are bound to mean higher visitor numbers to the Quay where the only cafe in the village lies. <p>There could be further pressure on the car park if any development eventually takes place on the amenity site. Talks about renovating a tin chapel abutting the site are currently taking place with the East Suffolk Building Preservation Society.</p>

							<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Finally, it is difficult to take a forward view, say to 2036, without knowing how PGL will develop the road to the quay or whether the site itself might someday host a visitor centre.
141	Office of the Police and Crime Commissioner for Suffolk	8/12/17	Email	Both	On-street		<p>Thank you for contacting the office of the Police and Crime Commissioner. Mr Passmore has requested I respond on his behalf.</p> <p>Mr Passmore wanted to pass on his thanks for making him aware of the consultation. As I am sure you are aware Mr Passmore is supportive of the change to Civil Parking Enforcement and is disappointed by the delays in finalising the legislation. Until this time Mr Passmore would encourage any instances of dangerous or persistent illegal parking be reported to the relevant local Safer Neighbourhood Team.</p> <p>Thank you again for bringing this to Mr Passmore's attention.</p>
142	Member of the Public	8/12/17	Email	Both	All		<p>I would suggest that towns need more pedestrians not cars to maintain their economy and improve air quality.</p> <p>Perhaps a survey of the origin of drivers wishing to park in existing car parks would reveal where the lack of public transport links lie.</p> <p>Shuttle buses, even rickshaws as in Felixstowe, could then be considered to provide solutions. These could commence in the summer to provide for the increase in tourists when hot spots are congested.</p> <p>In the past Woodbridge had 3 shuttle bus services, W1, W2 and W3. We now have a larger and older population, who would find such a service attractive, especially if the bus fares were competitive with the car parking charges.</p> <p>We have noticed in France that there is often a shuttle bus provided to serve tourist destinations which is free during the holiday periods. The medieval town centres are usually completely pedestrianised during peak periods. The result is that many pedestrian tourists and locals enjoy the traffic free areas. Everyone appears to benefit.</p> <p>More cycle paths and shared footpath/cycle paths along with cycle racks would be helpful too encouraging a healthier lifestyle.</p>
143	Member of the Public	8/12/17	Email	WDC	Beccles		<p>I am writing to object to the proposed changes to free parking areas around Waveney.</p> <p>As someone who doesn't go out much due to the cost of things increasing, by making areas within places such as Southwold and Beccles not free to park you are forcing more people like myself and many constituents to stay at home and not go out, further isolating people, some vulnerable.</p>

						<p>You are also threatening the livelihoods of those with businesses surrounding the areas of the proposed changes, as many people only go to smaller business within villages and towns due to the free parking, otherwise they spend their money elsewhere, usually online.</p> <p>By removing this convenience you will damage the busy and warm atmosphere of many places within the area of Waveney, as well as the livelihoods of many hard working citizens.</p>
144	Suffolk Coastal District and Felixstowe Town Councillor	8/12/17	Email	SCDC	Felixstowe	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • HOW CAR PARKING CAN SUPPORT THE ECONOMY OF THE TOWN CENTRES AND BUSINESSES <p>Communication: I would like to see the new East Suffolk Council – promote online, each individual car park. Currently if you are searching for a car park it takes you to a generic search car park facility – this does not promote the Council, the Town or Parish nor any business and key buildings.</p> <p>Carparks4U . I understand that Suffolk Coastal Norse arrange for the tariffs to be added to this site. This is not user friendly for either the member of the public nor professional for the Council. I think each car park page should include My Ringo Car Park Code Local Businesses and key buildings. I am using my local and closest car park as an example Highfield Road Car Park <u>The new web page should include “ this car park is ideal for Marks and Spencer, Broadway House and Howard House Surgery “ Even providing links to these websites. Let’s make it user friendly.</u> Are all our car parks on Google Maps? I have tried to search for Highfield Car Park on my android phone and tablet – and I cannot find it. I think East Suffolk Council should have a generic email address for people to contact the council eg carpark@eastsuffolk.gov.uk and this should be monitored by Council staff and not Norse. I appreciate adding each car park to the website will be labour intensive but once the details are online – amendments and updates will be easy to do. I do not believe Norse should be responsible for this.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • HOW CAR PARKING CAN BETTER SUPPORT TOURISM <p>I’m using Felixstowe as an example. Felixstowe’s key events held annually eg Carnival and Art on The Prom. A requirement to promote and thank the Council for providing free car parking at Garrison Lane – should be included on all promotional literature. This needs to be extended to Press Releases to ensure that BBC Radio Suffolk clearly deliver the message that free car parking is available. I don’t think this is always emphasised. Again – google maps – where are the car parks? If this is about cost and paying for it then this</p>

						<p>can come from our charges. Again – if the Council have a page for each of the car parks we can add a link to all our promotional material providing decent information and “channelling” drivers to the correct car park.</p>
145	Felixstowe Town Council	8/12/17	Email	SCDC	Felixstowe	<p>Thank you for the further opportunity to comment on Suffolk Coastal District Council’s car parking review. Overall, the Town Council is pleased to note how your proposals largely accord with the principles laid out in our earlier consultation response. We welcome the significant convergence of thinking with Suffolk Coastal District Council on the role of car parking as a service to support the tourist and retail economies of Felixstowe and the wider District. We look forward to a continued partnership in this regard and would like to make the following comments:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • We welcome the proposed retention of the 50p ½ hour entry-point rate in the town centre as this helps to promote the opportunity for short shopping visits. • We accept the need for parking charges to mitigate the cost of Civil Parking Enforcement (CPE) and understand that this may be achieved by modest tariff increases, as a preference to widespread introduction of on-street parking charges. This approach is favoured over the alternative which could end up discouraging visitors or lead to inappropriate parking in residential areas. • We understand the rationale for a modest charging regime at Landguard and support this on the principle that net revenue be used for the long-term management and enhancement of the natural and historic environments and to enhance Landguard as a major attraction to the town and the wider area. • Felixstowe Town Centre is a thriving retail space, supported by sensibly-priced parking. Success, however, puts more pressure on spaces and we therefore support the withdrawal of residents’ season ticket discounts in the two short-stay town centre car parks. • Demand for on-street parking close to the seafront continues to increase during peak times. Therefore, a long-term strategy towards

						<p>increasing the density of off-street parking provisions close to the resort, as well as the town centre, is required.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • The Town Council aspires to improve the connection between the seafront resort and town centre. The existing 'roving' season ticket supports this aim (the continuation of which is welcomed) but 'roving' day tickets could be introduced on similar lines. • We welcome the retention of free parking at Manor Terrace, Garrison Lane and Eastward Ho car parks which helps to lessen the impact of congestion at peak times. • Residents at Manor Terrace, in the immediate vicinity of Martello Park, remain concerned by parking congestion arising directly from that development. The Town Council requests that in the longer term - post implementation of Civil Parking Enforcement - SCDC investigate the feasibility of a limited Residents Parking Zone in the small area directly affected by the creation of Martello Park. <p>As a general comment, Felixstowe Town Council is looking forward to the implementation of CPE as a means by which the regular enforcement of on-street parking restrictions can be undertaken. Moreover, the Council believes that a review of existing restrictions should be carried out on the basis of ensuring the viability of tourism and retail activities – being the primary function of car parking in Felixstowe.</p> <p>We hope that our comments can be taken in to consideration and we look forward to receiving the final proposals.</p>
146	Representative of Aldeburgh Society	8/12/17	Email	SCDC	Aldeburgh	<p>I wish to express concern that the proposal to increase off-street car parking charges to help pay for the planned changes should not reverse the good decision made in 2014 to reduce some charges for short stays in Thorpe Road and Fort Green car parks.</p>
147	Member of the Public	8/12/17	Email	SCDC	Felixstowe	<p>There are many foreign registered cars and vans and mini buses in the area staying all year round with apparent immunity to uk road regs.</p> <p>The only time parking tickets have been issued here is in November when there are only local residents parking outside their houses on yellow lines which has become common practice as no enforcement has been conducted for years. In the summer when the streets are overrun with tourists parking in every spot available causing residents to park in car parks there is no</p>

						<p>enforcement. My garage is regularly blocked of by inconsiderate parking but nothing is ever done. This is grossly unfair to those who go to work and come home to no spaces left.</p> <p>We need residents permits and strong enforcement 7 days a week.</p>
148	Member of the Public	8/12/17	Email	SCDC	Woodbridge	<p>I am responding to your invitation to put forward ideas relating to the above:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. It is widely acknowledged that there is a serious lack of parking spaces for people visiting Woodbridge and I know many people who will no longer come to the town centre because of this problem. It is essential to provide more off road parking accessible to the town centre. It is disappointing that the sale of the Suffolk Coastal District Council site on Melton Hill was not wholly designated for this and I propose that the plans should be amended, at least in part to accommodate public parking. Alternatively, why not build a large underground car park on the site? Underground parking on already existing sites used for parking would also greatly improve the availability and spaces. 2. Most of the roads around the periphery of the town centre are unacceptably choked with parked cars and vans reducing several roads to one way traffic. Also, more and more people are parking on the pavement to the detriment of pedestrians, especially those with prams, push chairs and mobility scooters; also those who are blind or poorly sighted. This is scandalous and also illegal and should be stopped immediately. The relevant law is old but still pertinent. 3. I am aware that many houses directly fronting roads have no alternative to parking on the road. This should be regarded as a privilege rather than a right for it is the general public who pay for the roads via Council taxes or road taxes. Householders who provide parking space on their own property are also likely to be paying higher Council taxes. In fairness residents who park on the road should pay annual charge for the privilege with the level of this related to the length plus width of the vehicle to discourage the use of large cars. Substantial revenue could be generated in this way with, for example an average charge of £500 per vehicle per year.